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TO MY WIFE
COMPANION IN STUDY AND TRAVEL
In 1924 Professor Browne wrote that "we still possess no comprehensive and authoritative statement of Shi'a doctrine in any European language" (Persian Literature in Modern Times, p. 418). While this book was not undertaken with such an inclusive ambition, yet it would be gratifying if it would in some measure further the study of Shi'ite Islam. An effort has been made to interest readers who are not already conversant with the subject, for numerous stories have been included from the lives of the Imams and their companions; and the pilgrimage cities, where the shrines with the golden domes are located, have been recently visited. The western traveller is seriously limited, however, in his personal observations; for he is not permitted to enter these shrines; but after sixteen years' residence in Mashhad, it has been possible to study at close range the largest of the pilgrimage cities, and to therefore undertake to describe them by giving an outline of their history, and by showing in a concrete way the hope and faith of the thousands of Muhammadans in India, Persia, Mesopotamia, Syria and Egypt who make these long journeys for the forgiveness of their sins.

The primary sources for the study of both the Sunnite and the Shi'ite traditions are in Arabic, and while extensive use has also been made of Persian books, and of the works of European and American authors, yet the patience and friendship of several "Arabic" professors has been frequently recalled with gratitude—Professor Jewett of Harvard, and Professors Macdonald and Shellabear of the Kennedy School of Missions in Hartford, where part of the book was written in the first place as a thesis on The Twelve Imams, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Ph.D. Professor Nicholson of Cambridge Uni-
versity graciously gave the first manuscript his valuable criticism, and when Professor Jackson of Columbia University was in Mashhad several years ago, he read the original outline and gave the kind advice "not to give it up." Personal thanks are due to Dr. Samuel M. Zwemer, the editor of The Moslem World, and to Dr. Robert E. Speer of the Presbyterian Board of Foreign Missions, for their part in stimulating interest in further research in regard to the Shi'ites of Persia. Opportunity is taken to also mention affectionately my mother and sister, whose encouragement has made them partners in my work in this distant land.

That the Persian and Arabic names may appear less formidable in transliteration, "j" has been used for the Arabic "jim" in preference to the "dj" that is employed in the Encyclopædia of Islam; and the initial "ain," as distinguished from the "alif," is indicated by a dot under its appropriate vowel. In the text, long vowels are marked with the accent only occasionally, whereas in the index an effort has been made to have the pronunciation of all names and Arabic terms fully shown. The references that have been utilized are shown in the footnotes, but the Classified Bibliography includes the works of additional authorities that are of special value for more detailed investigation of particular aspects of the subject.

Dwight M. Donaldson.

Mashhad, Persia.

January 2nd, 1933.
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INTRODUCTION

NATIONAL unity has never been achieved quickly. The apparent rapidity with which Muḥammad succeeded in uniting the free tribes of Arabia must not be understood to be as complete and thorough going as it was spectacular. The isolated desert homes of the Arab tribes lay between the Romans and the Persians. The protection of the desert was effective, and neither of the two great empires had been able to deprive them of their tribal independence. When however a "prophet" arose among them who interpreted for them things they had begun to hear about from other tribes and peoples, this brought them something of a world vision. He called them to monotheism and gave them an intelligible relationship between themselves and other monotheistic peoples. The stories of Abraham and Joseph and Moses fit into their own environment so well that they looked with contempt upon the changed life of the dwellers in cities, and they readily came to regard themselves, with a conscious sense of their superiority, as the true representatives of the religion of Abraham.

Once the Arab tribes had come together, under the leadership of Muḥammad, they felt the influence of their numbers and recognized also that it was Islam that had brought an inspiring unity of purpose to tribes accustomed to war upon one another. It is doubtful indeed whether their proselytizing zeal would have been sufficient, of itself, to have carried them over the long marches against the neighbouring countries. That larger assertion of their place in the world occurred after the death of Muḥammad, and that because of a great economic necessity that kept pressing them forward. "A vigorous and energetic people, driven by hunger and want," were "to leave their inhospitable deserts and..."
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overrun the richer lands of their more fortunate neighbours.” (Arnold, Preaching of Islam, p. 46.)

A modern instance of what happened in Arabia through the influence of a great leader was worked out during the World War. With British encouragement the Arab tribes united under King Faisal in revolt against Turkey. The unique position of the leader in this movement, holding the tribes together, was not unlike that of Mohammed among the forebears of those same tribes some fourteen hundred years ago. Perhaps a picture of King Faisal carrying on his delicate work may help the reader imagine the extremely difficult political problems that faced Mohammed.

"Faisal swore new adherents solemnly on the Koran between his hands, 'to wait while he waited, march when he marched, to yield obedience to no Turk, to deal kindly with all who spoke Arabic (whether Baghdad, Aleppine, Syrian, or pure blooded), and to put independence above life, family, and goods.' He also began to confront them at once, in his presence, with their tribal enemies, and to compose their feuds. An account of profit and loss would be struck between the parties, with Faisal modulating and interceding between them, and often paying the balance, or contributing towards it from his own funds, to hurry on the pact. During two years Faisal so laboured daily, putting together and arranging in their natural order the innumerable tiny pieces which made up Arabian society, and combining them into his own design of war against the Turks. There was no blood feud left active in any of the districts through which he had passed, and he was Court of Appeal, ultimate and unchallenged, for western Arabia. He showed himself worthy of this achievement. He never gave a partial decision, nor a decision so impracticably just that it must lead to disorder. No Arab ever impugned his judgments, or questioned his wisdom and competence in tribal business. By patiently sifting out right and wrong, by his tact, his wonderful memory, he gained authority over the nomads from Medina to Damascus and beyond. He was recognized as a force transcending tribe, superseding blood-chiefs, greater than jealousies. The Arab movement became in the best sense national, since within it all Arabs were at one, and for it private interests must be set aside; and in this movement chief place, by right of application and by right of ability, had been properly earned by the man who filled it for those few weeks of triumph and longer months of disillusion after Damascus had been set free." (Lawrence, Revolt in the Desert, p. 61.)

Muhammad’s task had however been still more difficult. With a group of outcasts from his own tribe he had taken refuge with the despised tradesmen of Medina, and eight years elapsed before he acquired authority in Mecca. After two more years he made a pilgrimage to the Ka’ba, a pilgrimage that was a veritable procession of triumph, and in his company there was a magnificent representation of the tribes. But it was on his return from this journey to Mecca that he fell ill, and in a few days after he reached his home in Medina he died. Of necessity the immediate question was, “Who will take his place?” Any one of several men of strong character, who would have made determined leaders, could have been chosen from among those who had been his close companions. But they were not prophets, and strictly in this capacity there was no one who could take his place, for he had expressly declared himself to be the “seal,” or the last, of the prophets. And he had no son to succeed him as a general chief of the united tribes. Yet there was no hope that the Arab tribes would hold together without some virtual successor to Muhammad, someone to be their leader in interpreting the system of religion that he had taught them and to exercise his judicia and political authority.

The vital question of a successor for Muhammad quickly revived the old inter-tribal jealousies and revealed the temporary nature of the merely war-time unity that had been achieved. The rise of this question of succession marks the beginning of the most radical and long continued division in Islam. Who was to be the vicegerent of Muhammad? Had he so "designated" Ali, his son-in-law, the father of his two grandsons? Or did he expect his successor to be chosen by the leaders of the people in an assembly of some kind? Did his request that his father-in-law, Abu Bakr, should act in his place as leader in the prayers at the mosque indicate that he intended by this to show his wish that Abu Bakr should succeed him? These were questions that rent
Islam in twain, that led eventually to civil war, and that furnished
the historical setting for the development of the doctrine of the
Imamate.

The party who maintained that Muḥammad had definitely
"designated" ʿAlī, his son-in-law, to be the imām or "leader"
of his people were known as the Shiʿat ʿAlī, the followers or partisans of ʿAlī. In their vast literature they have elaborately and
repeatedly described what they consider to be the divinely
ordered institution of the Imamate, which is the order of what
ought to be, by the expressed intention of God and the command
of the Prophet. The functions of the Caliph, according to the
Shiʿites, belonged rightfully to the Imam, but owing to the vanity,
perversity and disloyalty of particular men from among the
Companions of the Prophet, the rightful Imam was displaced.
it is true that ʿAlī did secure a belated tenure in the
Caliphate, after three of these usurpers had preceded him, but
ʿAlī was soon murdered, and from that time until the present no
ture Imam has ever been Caliph. The terms Caliphate and
Imamate are sometimes used as though they were interchangeable
by Arabian writers who have believed in the Caliphate and have
looked with disdain upon the alleged institution of the Imamate,
but it will save confusion to restrict the term Imamate to the
divinely designated succession of leaders who have been ack­
nowledged by the Shiʿites.

According to "orthodox" Shiʿite belief there have been twelve
of these Imams. They were not a group of contemporaries, like
the Twelve Apostles of Jesus, but Muḥammad designated the
first one, and after that each one designated his successor. The
"historic Imamate," or the period of this series of Twelve Imams,
lasted through the two hundred and twenty-eight years following
the death of Muḥammad. It is the central institution of Shiʿite
Islam, and the fact that the Imams failed to retain the actual
political supremacy in the empire that went with the Caliphate
does not weaken the Shiʿite belief in their inherent right to it, or
in their intellectual and moral infallibility. Thus we shall see
that in order to establish any particular teaching by authority
from the Prophet, the Shiʿite theologian needs only to show
confirming traditions that can be traced to one or more of the
Imams, for it was their function to continue to exercise the
prerogatives of Muḥammad and to guide the believers in the
interpretation of the Koran and the traditions.

To compare the two principal divisions in Islam with the
Catholics and the Protestants in the Christian Church would be
misleading, for both the Summites and the Shiʿites are essentially
Catholic in theory, in that they both call for a vicegerent of the
Prophet on earth. It may be remarked, however, that if the
theoretical Imamate of the Shiʿites had ever come to its own in
secular and spiritual authority, it would have outstripped the
Papacy in its most golden age. As has been observed by a
discerning orientalist who is himself a Catholic (H. Lammens,
Islam, Beliefs and Institutions, p. 147):

"Unlike the Sunni Caliph, a temporal leader deprived of all
authority in the matter of dogma, guardian merely of the Shariʿa
and civil defender of Islam, the Shiʿa Imam becomes its Pontiff
and infallible teacher. He is not only Muḥammad's temporal
successor, but also the inheritor of his dignity, from which he has
received the super-eminent prerogatives of witness and interpreter
of the revelation. He is in very sooth a religious and spiritual
leader, with an even stronger title than that of the Pope in the
Catholic Church, since to the privilege of infallibility, īma, he
adds the divine gift of impeccability. Thus he is the sole and
permanent channel of all sanctifying prerogatives and illuminative
inspirations."

In further explanation of the character of the Imams, authori­
tative statements of the doctrine of their sinlessness, īma, as set
forth by Shiʿite theologians, will be shown to have preceded by
several centuries the final acceptance of the dogma of the
sinlessness of the prophets in the īma, or consensus of opinion, of
the orthodox scholars of the Summites. Explicit illustrations will
be given also from forms of prayer that are used at the present
day to show the practical side of the Shiʿite belief in the Imams as
Mediators. Many of these prayers, and the description of the
rites of pilgrimage, have been taken directly from Arabic and
Persian books that have been prepared for the special instruction of pilgrims.

The belief that the last Imam did not die, but that he disappeared miraculously over a thousand years ago, and that he is expected to return in order to bring about the complete victory of the Muslim theocratic state throughout all the world, is a doctrine that has had most important influence in Persian history. Frequently this expectation has been regarded as politically dangerous, and there have been periods when those who cherished the Shi'ite faith were subjected to severe tests and persecutions. The hope of the return of the twelfth Imam persisted, however, and was reasserted in desperation when the lands of the Shi'ites suffered from the ravages of the Mongols, the Tartars, the Turks, and the Afghans; and when the shrines of their sainted Imams were repeatedly desecrated, and those who refused to take refuge in the doctrine of takiyya (dissimulation) were ruthlessly massacred. But in the beginning of the sixteenth century, this belief in the authority of the hidden Imam made such headway that under the Safavid dynasty Shi'ite Islam became the official religion of Persia. This continued waiting for the Imam to reappear has given rise to heretical sects, for in addition to the various schools of Shi'ites that arose from factions that occurred at the death of an Imam, always over the question of succession, there have been other sects, such as the Sháikhis, Bábis, and Bahá'ís, that owe their origin rather to the recurring centuries of disappointment that the hidden Imam does not return.

CHAPTER I

THE QUESTION OF SUCCESSION

THE study of the Imamate requires an examination of traditions that have been woven into the history and theology of Islam. In the first place, the Shi'ites lay great emphasis on the tradition that when Muḥammad was returning from his farewell pilgrimage to Mecca, he stopped at a place known as Ghadir Khum, and there he announced to those who were with him that it was his desire that Ali should be his successor. The most important one of the classical Arabian historians, in his sympathetic use of traditions favourable to the house of Ali, is Ibn Wadih al-Yakubi (d. after A.D. 891), who gives the least embellished account of what is believed to have been said by the Prophet at Ghadir Khum.1

"Muḥammad set out at night, straight for Medina. When he came to a place in the vicinity of al-Juhfa which was called Ghadir Khum, on the eighteenth of the month Dhu'l-Hijja, he stood up to deliver an inspired utterance. Taking the hand of Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib, he said, 'Am I not dearer to the believers than their own lives?' They replied, 'Yes, O Apostle of God.' He then declared 'Whoever recognises me as his master, mawld, will know Ali as his master.' He went on to say, 'O ye people, I will now go ahead of you, and you will meet me at the drinking fountain in Paradise. And I will ask you when you arrive concerning two treasures, so..."

1 "Ibn Wadih al-Yakubi," writes Professor Nicholson (L.H.A., p. 349), "a contemporary of Dinawari, produced an excellent compendium of universal history, which is especially valuable because its author, being a follower of the house of Ali, has preserved the ancient and unfalsified Shi'ite tradition." This History, edited in two volumes by Professor Houtsma (Leyden, 1883), is one of the earliest and most important works of the Shi'ites.

* This tradition is given repeatedly by Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Musnad, I, 84, 118, 119, 152, 330. Further references are given by Wensinck, H.E.M.T., p. 15.
be careful how you look after them.' They inquired, 'What treasures, O Apostle of God?' He answered, 'The greatest treasure is the Book of God, because it is from him, given as it were by the hand of God, and entrusted to your hands. Hold fast to it and do not lose it and do not change it. The other treasure is the line of my descendants, the People of the Househould.' 1

Fully four years before the stop at Ghadir Khum, but also on the eighteenth of Dhu'l-Hijja, when Muhammad was on his way back from the expedition to al-Hudaiybiya, he is said to have made this statement, "He whose master I am also has Ali for his master." The expedition to al-Hudaiybiya was spoken of as the "farewell to the infidels," and the last pilgrimage to Mecca was called the "farewell pilgrimage." As the essential utterance of the Prophet was the same on both occasions, there is an evident possibility of the one tradition being a repetition of the other. Against this suggestion, however, there is a noteworthy sequence of events that would point rather to the probability that the same utterance was delivered on the two occasions. When in the year 6 A.H. Muhammad made the expedition to al-Hudaiybiya, he had no living son, and his two grandsons, Hasán and Husain, the sons of Ali and Fatima, were at that time little boys of three and four years of age. It could only be by the recognition of Ali as his successor that his grandsons would be able to succeed him for it was not in accord with the genealogical theory or practice of the Arabs for the line of descent to pass through his daughter Fatima. 8

Bearing in mind that Ali was not only Muhammad's cousin and son-in-law, but Ali's father, Abu Talib, was Muhammad's foster-father and protector, it would not have been unnatural for the Prophet to have desired to have Ali regarded as second in authority only to himself. It is possible, therefore, that at the time of the expedition to al-Hudaiybiya, Muhammad did make this statement, "He whose master I am also has Ali for his master." During that same year, and in the year following, Muhammad married three new wives and took two new concubines. This might suggest that he was not altogether satisfied with the probability of the succession of his immediate family through Ali and that he was exceedingly anxious for a son of his own. And the next year, 8 A.H., one of his concubines, Mary the Copt, who had been sent to him by the governor of Egypt, bore him a son. The boy was called Ibrahim. Muhammad was delighted at the birth of his son, and the seventh day afterwards he observed the ceremony of the Akhika, or the cutting of the hair with which the child came into the world. It is related that on this occasion he sacrificed a sheep, as is still customary, and when the baby's head had been shaved, he gave the equal weight of the hair in silver as alms to the poor, and commanded that the hair should be buried. The ceremony of the Akhika had to do with the shaving of the hair of the child, not that of the father, as has been stated by mistake in Muir's Life of Muhammad (edit. 1912, p. 426). The mother, Mary the Copt, received so much of the Prophet's special attention after the birth of her son that she aroused the jealousy and active opposition of the wives in the household (cf. Koran, Surah lxxvi). Whether Muhammad ever contemplated the succession of princely office in his own family is a matter of course that is hard to determine with reference to Ibrahim, for the child lived less than two years. But in the same measure that the Prophet scandalized his wives by his disproportionate attention to Mary, the mother of Ibrahim, so when the child died, his grief was likewise so excessive that his followers were constrained to remind him of his counsel to others to be more moderate.

Here, however, the question is pertinent as to whether the

2 The reference in Mas'udi (Tanbih wa'l-Ishrafl, p. 255, 1, 18, edit. de Goeje) is, "And on his return from al-Hudaiybiya he said in regard to the Amirul-Muminin, Ali ibn Abu Talib, at Ghadir Khum, 'Whoever has me for his master, then Ali is his master.' This was on the eighteenth day of Dhu'l-Hijja, Ghadir Khum is close to the water known as al-Kharrar, in the district of al-Juhfa. The descendants of Ali and his party celebrate this day." See also Goldziher, Muh. Stud., ii, p. 118.
3 Mas'udi, Muruj al-Dhahab, iv, p. 158.
4 Ibid., iv, p. 160.
5 Sn. Hurgronje, Lectures on Muhammadanism, p. 28.
6 Thompson, R. C., Semitic Magic, p. 229-231.
alleged investiture of Ali at Ghadir Khum may not have been brought about by the Prophet’s recent disappointment in the death of Ibrahim, together with the fact that his other new wives had borne him no sons. The date of the death of Ibrahim is given with hesitation as to its accuracy in Masudi’s Muruj al-Dhahab (iv, p. 160), but in his later work, the Kitab al-Tanbih wa’l-Ishraf (p. 274), he states very definitely that Ibrahim died in the month Rabii’l-Awwal, in the year 10 A.H., and that “the time from his birth until his death was a year and ten months and ten days.” It was in the ninth month after this event, on the eighteenth of Dhu’l-Hijja, that the Shi’ites claim that Muhammad repeated the statement, “He whose master I am has also Ali for his master.”

“I may here observe,” in the words of Ibn Khallikan, “that the eighteenth of Dhu’l-Hijja is the anniversary of the Festival of Ghadir, which is the same as that of Ghadir Khum. Khum, situated between Makka and Medina, is a place where there is a pond of water, or, by another account, a morass. When the Prophet returned from Makka, the year of the Farewell (10 A.H.), he halted at Khum and adopted Ali ibn Abu Talib as his brother, saying, ‘Ali is to me what Aaron was to Moses.’ Almighty God be a friend to his friends and a foe to his foes; help those who help him and frustrate those who betray him. The Shi’ites attach great importance to this tradition.”

An interesting feature in the observation of the festival of Ghadir is mentioned in Hughes’ Dictionary of Islam, p. 138: “A festival of the Shi’ites on the eighteenth of Dhu’l-Hijja, when three images of dough, filled with honey, are made to represent Abu Bakr, Umar, and Uthman, are struck with knives, and the honey is sipped as typical of the blood of the usurping caliphs. The festival is named Ghadir, ‘a pool,’ and the festival commemorates, it is said, Muhammad’s having declared Ali his successor at Ghadir-Khum, a watering place midway between Mecca and al-Medina.”

1 Ibn Khallikan, De Slane Trans., iii, p. 383.
2 Wensinck, H.E.M.T., numerous references, p. 15.

The highly esteemed Shi’ite theologian, Mulla Muhammad Bakir, al-Majlisi (d. 1700 A.D.), has given a summary of the traditions that relate what happened at Ghadir-Khum:

“When the ceremonies of the pilgrimage were completed, the Prophet, attended by Ali and the Musulmans, left Mecca for Medina. On reaching Ghadir-Khum he halted, although that place had never before been a halting place for caravans. The reason for the halt was that verses of the Koran had come upon him, commanding him to establish Ali in the Caliphate. Before this he had received similar messages, but had not been instructed explicitly as to the time for Ali’s appointment. He had delayed because of opposition that might occur. But if the crowd of pilgrims had gone beyond Ghadir-Khum they would have separated and the different tribes would have gone in various directions. This is why Muhammad ordered them to assemble here, for he had things to say to Ali which he wanted all to hear. The message that came from the Most High was this: ‘O Apostle, declare all that has been sent down to thee from thy Lord. No part of it is to be withheld. God will protect you against men, for he does not guide the unbelievers’ (Koran, v. 71). Because of this positive command to appoint Ali as his successor, and perceiving that God would not countenance further delay, he and his company dismounted in this unusual stopping place.

“The day was hot and he told them to stand under the shelter of some thorn trees. He then commanded that they should make a pulpit platform out of the pack-saddles about which the people were assembled. Many had fastened their cloaks about their feet to protect them from the heat of the sun. And when the crowd had all gathered, Muhammad walked up on to the platform of saddles and called Ali to stand at his right. After a prayer of thanks he spoke to the people, informing them that he had been forewarned of his death, and saying, ‘I have been summoned to the Gate of God, and I shall soon depart to God, to be concealed from you, and bidding farewell to this world. I am leaving you the Book of God, and if you follow this you will not go astray. And I am leaving you also the members of my household, who are not to be separated from the Book of God until they meet me at the drinking fountain of Kawthar.’ He then called out, ‘Am I not more precious to you than your own lives?’ They said, ‘Yes.’ Then it was that he took Ali’s hands and raised them so high that he showed the whites of his armpits, and said, ‘Whoever has me as his master has Ali as his master. Be a friend to his friends, O Lord, and be an enemy to his enemies. Help those who assist him and frustrate those who oppose him.’
"When the Prophet descended from the pulpit it was time for the noon prayers, after which he went to his tent. Near his own tent he had another tent pitched for the Amirul-Mumínin, the Commander of the Faithful. When Ali was seated in this tent, Muhammad ordered the Muslims, group by group, to go and congratulate him on his succession to the imamate and to salute him as Amirul-Mumínin. Both men and women did this, and ‘Umar was as much pleased as anybody."

It is important to remember that the historian al-Ya’kubi (d. about A.D. 892) was contemporary with "the six" most authoritative compilers of Sunnite traditions, i.e., al-Bukhári, Muslim, Abu Dá’úd, al-Tirmidhi, al-Nasá’i and Ibn Mája. He was in sympathy with the cause of the house of Ali and his "History" was written, not only some eight hundred years before the time of Majlisi, but it is at least twenty-five years earlier than the earliest compilation of Shi'ite traditions that is now extant. He gives the following account of the death of Muhammad:

"When Muhammad reached Medina he halted several days and determined to place Usáma ibn Zayd in superior command to the chiefs of the Muhájjirin and the Ansár. ‘He gave him as his objective a raid on the place where his father was killed in the land of Syria. It is related the Usáma said, ‘I commanded me to go first to Ughzu Yubná, in the land of Palestine, and then to go to Iraš.’"

"The Prophet died at sixty-three years of age. He was bathed by Ali ibn Abu Talib and al-Faqíl ibn al-Abbas ibn al-Muttalib. Usáma ibn Zayd brought the water. While they were in the room they heard a voice but did not see any person who spoke. The voice said, ‘Peace, and God’s mercy and blessing be upon you, ye people of the House. Verily he is to be praised and glorified’ (Koran xi, 76, Palmer), ‘for God only desireseth to put away uncleanliness from you, as his household, and with cleansing to cleanse you’ (Koran xxxiii, 33, Rodwell). ‘Every soul shall taste of death: and ye shall assuredly be taken by those to whom the Scriptures were given before you and from those who join other gods with God. But if ye be steadfast and fear God—this verily is needed in the affairs of life’ (Koran iii, 182-183, Rodwell), ‘for in God there is an escape from all destruction and there is endurance for all affliction. May God increase your recompenses, and may the peace and mercy of God be upon you.’ " Ja’far ibn Muhammad asked the voice, ‘Who are you seeing? ’ and the answer was, ‘Gabriel.’ "The Prophet was wrapped for burial in two red garments and a burda (or outdoor garment of silk). Those who entered his grave were Ali ibn Abu Talib and Abbas ibn Abu Muttalib; or according to another account al-Faqq ál ibn al-Abbas and Shukrán the ‘freedman’ of the Apostle of God. It is said that the Ansár exclaimed, ‘Let our portion in reference to the Prophet be the same in his death as it was in his lifetime,’ and Ali said, ‘One of you may enter.’ So they had Awa ibn Khawałá, one of the sons of Hibla, enter the tomb. His grave was dug by Abu Talha ibn Sahih, the Ansár, with the help of Abu Úbaida ibn al-Jarráh, as there was no one else in al-Medina to dig it. Abu Úbaida grew tired when he had dug the central part so Abu Talha dug the niche on the side. It has been said that they vied with one another in the digging and that Abu Úbaida dug more than Abu Talha. ‘Prayer was made for the Prophet for a whole day and the people came and sacrificed camels. He was buried on Wednesday night and a fragment of his purple saddle was thrown under him. His grave was in the shape of a rectangle and was not elevated. ‘At first when the Prophet died the people said, ‘We did not think the Apostle of God would die before he had subdued the world.’ In fact ‘Umar went out among the people and declared, ‘Before God I swear the Apostle of God is not dead and will not die, but he is only in concealment, as Moses ibn Imrán was concealed for forty nights and then returned, and God cut off the hands and the feet of the people.’ But Abu Bakr said, ‘Surely God himself is the announcer of his death to us, for he said, Thou truly shalt die and they too shall die’ (Koran xxxix, 31, Rodwell). To this ‘Umar replied, ‘By God I had never read it.’ Afterwards he told Abu Bakr that he realized that the Apostle of God had died and that only the violence of his grief had caused him to say what he did.

"Muhammad left no offspring except his daughter Fatima, and it was only forty nights later that she died too. Some say it was seventy nights, and still others say it was six months."
There is a tradition, which is given by both Muslim and al-Bukhári,1 "that when the Apostle of God was approached by death, there were several men in the house, and among them was Úmar ibn al-Khattab. The Prophet said, 'Come and I will write for you a writing after which ye will never go astray.' But Úmar said, 'The pain has overcome him, and ye have the Koran, the word of God is sufficient for you.' The people of the house differed about the matter and disputed with one another. Some of them said, 'Bring writing materials so that the Apostle of God may write for you.' And some of them agreed with Úmar. As the noise and dissension increased, the Apostle of God said, 'Get up from me.' Úbaidulla related that Ibn Abbas used to say, 'Verily the misfortunes, all the misfortunes that have come to us, between the Apostle and his writing for them that writing, have come on account of their disagreement and clamour.'"2

Another tradition that is especially noteworthy on account of its bearing on later Shi'ite beliefs about the imams is that A'isha related, "When the Apostle of God was well, I heard him say that before his departure every prophet was allowed the option between this and the next world. When the disease had weakened him he occasionally exclaimed, 'The pain has overcome him, and ye have the Koran, the word of God is sufficient for you.' The people of the house differed about the matter and disputed with one another. Some of them said, 'Bring writing materials so that the Apostle of God may write for you.' And some of them agreed with Úmar. As the noise and dissension increased, the Apostle of God said, 'Get up from me.' Úbaidulla related that Ibn Abbas used to say, 'Verily the misfortunes, all the misfortunes that have come to us, between the Apostle and his writing for them that writing, have come on account of their disagreement and clamour.'"3

It is generally considered that Muhammad died in the arms of A'isha, his favourite wife. This is on the strength of a tradition that is ascribed to her and which is included in the collection of traditions in the Mishkatu'I-Ma'abih.4 A twig was ordinarily used for cleaning the teeth, and it was such a twig that A'isha softened for Muhammad in her own mouth, and he rubbed it over his teeth and declared, "There is no God but Allah, and verily in death there are agonies." After that he raised his hand and started to pray, "Give me a place with the highest companions," but as he uttered these words his hand fell down and he was dead.

It is not unnatural that the Shi'ites should have another tradition concerning the last moments of Muhammad's life which gives prominence, not to A'isha, but rather to Ali and Fatima. This tradition is ascribed to Ali and is recorded by several Shi'ite authorities.5 It is related that during his last illness the Prophet issued various commands, and the one hundred and tenth Sura was revealed, which begins, "When the aid of Allah shall come." Ali asked if these were his last words, and he replied, "Yes, O Ali, for my heart is distressed in this world." After a few seconds he said, "O Gabriel, aid me and fulfill thy promise." He then called Ali to come near him and he put his head on Ali's lap, and his countenance had changed and his forehead perspired. Fatima was near by, and when she saw this she jumped up in distress. She took the hands of Hasan and Husain and pleaded with her father most tenderly. Again he rallied and opened his eyes, and she asked him if he had chosen the eternal paradise. Then he repeated, "With the highest companions." Then he closed his eyes for the last time.

One is inclined to think that this tradition represents more what the Shi'ites considered an appropriate death for the Prophet than what actually happened, but at any rate it is what they prefer to believe rather than the story of A'isha.

As soon as the Moslem community faced the question of choosing a successor to the religious and political authority of

1 Wensinck, H.E.M.T., p. 161, with references to Bukhari, Ch. 3, No. 19; Ch. 58, No. 6; Ch. 64, No. 73; Ch. 75, No. 17; Ch. 96, No. 26. See also Muslim, Ch. 25, No. 21; Ibn Sa'd, II, ii, 36 sqq.; and Ahmad ibn Hanbal, I, 232, 293, and 324.
2 Selections from Muhammadan Tradition, Goldsack, 1923, p. 303.
3 Bukhari, Ch. 64, No. 84; Tirmidhi, Ch. 46, No. 15; Ibn Sa'd, II, ii, 9, 25, 60; Ahmad ibn Hanbal, I, 297. See also Mirkhond, Ra'wat as-Safa, ii, p. 173, and Eng. Trans. by Keatek, Pt. II, p. 704 sqq.
5 Mirkhond, Ra'wat as-Safa, Eng. Trans., p. 726.
Muḥammad, disagreement arose between the two parties of the Prophet's most intimate associates, the Ansār and the Muhājrīn. The Ansār, who were the Medina "helpers," had welcomed and assisted Muḥammad when he took refuge in their city after his flight from Mecca. The other party were his fellow "refugees," who had shared his persecution and had accompanied him to al-Medina. Even before the death of the Prophet an element of disaffection had sprung up between the two parties, for fealty to Saʿd ibn Vbada, successor in leading the prayers, he is the man to whom the Apostle prayed: 'The Amirs are to be chosen from us and the Wazirs from you.' He then went on to say, 'As for himself, I am ready to agree on one of two men, either ʿUmar or ʿUṣayr.' But Abu Bakr replied, 'The Amirs are to be chosen from us and the Wazirs from you.' He then went on to say, 'As for myself, I am ready to agree on one of two men, either ʿUmar or ʿUṣayr.' But it was at this point that ʿUmar arose and exclaimed, 'The one whom the Prophet was pleased to have as his successor in leading the prayers, he is the man to whom the Prophet has granted precedence.' And on saying this, ʿUmar swore fealty to Abu Bakr, and the people also did him homage. But there were some of the Ansār who said, 'We will not give our allegiance to anyone but Ali.'

Why the Ansār should have been willing to give up their own candidate, Saʿd ibn Vbada, and to suggest a compromise in favour of Ali, who was himself of the Kūraish, is not explained. In addition, however, to this brief reference to the dissatisfaction of the Ansār, recorded by at-Tabari and Ibn al-Athir, Yaʿqūbī has left a report of the speeches that were made and of spectacular things that happened in this first political convention of Islam. The Ansār had already named their candidate, Saʿd ibn Vbada, of the tribe of the Khazraj. They made him sit on a cushion and wound a turban about his head. When Abu Bakr and ʿUmar and others of the Muhājrīn came upon the scene they immediately protested that the Ansār were going beyond their rights. In answer, one of their skilful orators set forth their claims and virtues, and to this statement Abu Bakr replied: 'We do not deny the Ansār their merits, the virtues in fact that you have related. You belonged to Muḥammad, we admit that, but the Kūraish take precedence over you. For example, here is ʿUmar ibn al-Khattab, for whom the Apostle prayed, 'O God confirm his faith.' And here also is Abu ʿUṣayr ibn al-Jarrah, who the Apostle said was a leader of this people. Choose one of these, whichever one you wish, and pay homage to him.' But both the men named objected at once to Abu Bakr's nominating them, saying, 'Indeed we will not take advantage of you, for you yourself were second only to the Apostle of God.' Then Abu ʿUṣayr seized the hand of Abu Bakr, showing his readiness to give his allegiance to him. ʿUmar followed his example. There was then a pause in the proceedings, while conciliatory remarks were made to the Ansār. They were asked to concede that they did not have any men who were so well fitted for this responsibility as Abu Bakr and ʿUmar and Ali. In reply, one of the Ansār, al-

---

1 Bukhari, Ch. 63, No. 1 ; Ibn Saʿd, II, ii, 42.

---

Yāqūbī, History, ii, p. 136 ff., edit. Houtsma. The account of the assembly at the Sakhīfa that is given by Jaḥfūd-Dīn as-Suyūṭī in his History of the Caliphs, Eng. Tr. Jarret, Calcutta, 1881, pp. 67-70, is strikingly similar to that of Yaḥūbī. In fact they both have depended on Ibn Saʿd, III, ii, 170, 2.
Manzar ibn Arkám, rose and said, “We certainly do not fail to appreciate the excellence of the men you mention, and in fact there is one of them whose right no one would dispute if he should seek this authority. That man is Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib.”

At this point, however, Bashir, the son of Sa’d, the Ansár nominee, stampeded the assembly by dashing forward to be the first of the Ansár to pay homage to Abu Bakr. Others of the tribe of Khasraj followed and they were joined by the crowd in general. In the excitement, as the foot soldiers rushed forward, leaping over the cushions that had been piled up for Sa’d, this unfortunate first nominee was literally trampled under foot. Umar exclaimed, “They will kill Sa’d!” but Ya’kubí observes, whether in sheer casuistry or with Shi’ite resentment that Sa’d should ever have been nominated, “But it was God who killed Sa’d.”

The Beni Hashim also made it clear that they were not pleased with the course events had taken. And along with them were several, both of the Muhajírín and of the Ansár, who delayed paying homage to Abu Bakr because they insisted that Ali should have ever been nominated, “But it was God who killed Sa’d.”

The Beni Hashim also made it clear that they were not pleased with the course events had taken. And along with them were several, both of the Muhajírín and of the Ansár, who delayed paying homage to Abu Bakr because they insisted that Ali should have been chosen. Khálid ibn Sa’d was away at the time, but when he returned to Medina he desired to swear allegiance to Ali. It appears that Ali seriously considered whether he ought not to venture to assert his rights, but he decided finally against such a course, for, as he pointed out to his friends, most of the chiefs were supporting his opponents.

When the news reached Abu Bakr and Úmar that a number of the Muhajírín and the Ansár had gathered about Ali in the house of Faṭíma, who was the daughter of the Prophet and the wife of Ali, they took it upon themselves to go in person and see what it was all about. One compensation in the study of these traditions is that they are so delightfully direct and personal. They make good stories, for the most important matters of statecraft were often settled with little official formality. Thus on
have been a consistent recognition of seniority in the choice of the first four Caliphs, for when Abu Bakr was given this responsibility he was past sixty, 'Umar was almost fifty-three, 'Uthman was about seventy, and Ali was either fifty-nine or sixty-four, according as we reckon that he was ten years old or fifteen years old at the time when he first accepted Islam.

Very soon after the death of the Prophet, Ali went with Fa'atimah to Abu Bakr to ask for her inheritance from her father. But Abu Bakr said to them, "I call you to witness before God, do you not know, or did you not hear, that the Apostle of God declared that all his property, except the living expenses of his family, should be for charity; and that there are to be no heirs?" They answered, "Yes, but the Apostle of God always spent his money for his family and was true to them." When she was asked just what property it was that she wanted, Fatima replied, "Fadak and Khaibar, and the tithe lands of Medina—my portion therein, even as thy daughters will inherit of thee when thou diest." But the Caliph answered, "Truly thy father was better than I, and thou art better than my daughters, but the Prophet hath said, 'No one shall be my heir, but that which I leave shall be for alms.'"1

It is said that Fatima was much displeased at Abu Bakr's decision, and that she died not more than six months after her father's death. It is important to notice the shortness of this period as it measures the duration of Ali's alienation from Abu Bakr, for after the death of Fatima, Ali went at once to Abu Bakr and swore his allegiance. From that time on the "historic Ali" accepted his status as one of the counsellors of the Caliph. The positions of primary leadership and responsibility, however, were given to others. 'Umar was made Chief Justice; Attab, the Governor of Mecca, was chosen to represent Abu Bakr at the annual pilgrimage; and 'Usamah and Khalid were the two outstanding generals. But we read that "the despatches were chiefly indited by Ali."2

That Ali had the personal respect of Abu Bakr and was able at times to influence him in his decisions is suggested by the fact that when 'Usamah returned from his successful expedition to Syria, and Abu Bakr was planning to lead the army against Tulaiah, a rebel who had set himself up as a prophet, Ali held to the opinion, which he asserted strongly, that Abu Bakr should remain in Medina. To this the Caliph agreed, and sent Khalid in his place.

Ali had his share also in the perquisites and privileges of the Caliph's courtiers. One of the captives brought back to Medina after the battle with the Beni Hanifa was a beautiful girl named Yamana. Mirkhond records a tradition,3 that Muhhammad had said to Ali, "a girl of the Beni Hanifa will fall into thy possession, and when she bears thee a son, call him by my name and give him also my surname." Accordingly, Abu Bakr gave this Hani'ite girl to Ali. Before the year ended, however, he purchased another girl, Sahba, the captive daughter of Bodeir, the chief of the Beni Taghlib. He had already married, in this same year, Omamah, a girl from his own tribe. Omamah was Zeinab's daughter, a granddaughter of Muhhammad, and the deceased Fatima's niece.

Such a year of matrimonial activity, the first year after Fatima's death, will not impress some readers as exactly in accord with the picture of the simplicity of his life that is given by Ibn Athir:4 "As an illustration of his plainness of living, it is recorded that when he married Fatima, the Prophet's daughter, they had no bed save a ram skin to lie on at night, and to feed their camel from in the daytime. They had no servant. When he was Caliph

1 Tayalisi, Musnad, No. 61. Yaqubi, History, ii, p. 141, says: "Fatima, the daughter of the Apostle of God, came to Abu Bakr to ask for her inheritance from her father, but he said to her, 'The Apostle of God said, 'I am of the company of the prophets, we will not have people inherit from us what we have left of money dedicated to pious purposes.' Fatima replied, 'Is it then that you will be heir to your father and that I will not be heir to my father, for surely the Apostle of God said, 'A man will protect his child.'" See also as-Suyuti, Tarikh-i-Khulafa, Trans. Jarret, p. 74.
2 Bukhari, Ch. 64, No. 38, end; and Muslim, Ch. 32, No. 52. Cf. Muir, Annals of Early Caliphate, p. 63.
3 Mirkhond, Rauzatu's-Safa, ii, p. 199.
4 Zaydan, Islamic Civilization, iv, Trans. Margoliouth, Umayyads and Abbasids, p. 39, with reference to Ibn Athir, iii, 204.
some money came to him from Ispahan; this he divided into seven portions, and, as a loaf of bread remained over, he divided that also into seven portions. He wore a tunic too thin to protect him from the cold. Once when he was carrying a dirhem's worth of dates that he had bought, in a wrapper, some of his subjects offered to carry them for him; but he replied that this was the duty of the pater-familias. Once when asked to describe the proper condition of a Moslem, he replied, 'Pinched with famine, dry with thirst, bleary-eyed with tears.'

When Abu Bakr died he had designated 'Umar as his successor, and a tradition is related on the authority of A'isha that associates Ali with Talha in raising objection to 'Umar's appointment. "When my father was very sick," she said, 'two men entered and said to him, 'O Caliph of the Apostle of God, what will you say to your Lord when you approach him to-morrow, since you have appointed Ibn al-Khaṭṭāb as Caliph over us?' Then my father said, 'Let me sit up.' Is it before God that you threaten me? Truly I shall declare before God that I have appointed Iblīs as Caliph over us.' 'Then my father said, 'Let me sit up.' Is it before God that you threaten me? Truly I shall declare before God that I have appointed over them the very best one of them.'" If this tradition is true, then Ali's prospects of enjoying high favour during 'Umar's Caliphate would not be the brightest. But 'Umar not only heard the criticisms that were made of himself, but he heeded them and showed a real desire that his faults should not stand in the way of the great service he considered that he had been called upon to render. After his inauguration as Caliph, when he mounted the pulpit, the very first words that he uttered were, "O God, I am violent, so do thou soften me; I am weak, so do thou strengthen me; and I am miserly, so do thou make me generous." Such an attitude undoubtedly served to quiet the jealousies of the inner circle of the Companions.

Ali continued to live in Medina, with much the same relations to 'Umar that he had enjoyed with Abu Bakr. We do not find that he took part, however, in any of 'Umar's great military campaigns. And on the literary side, while there is mention of his extraordinary knowledge of the Qur'an, yet the work of managing its official compilation and determining the authoritative text was not given to him, but to Zayd, who had been the amanuensis for the Prophet. He was highly esteemed for his knowledge of traditions, and it is said that Ali had a scrupulous concern about relating only what he had actually heard the Apostle of God say. He called down a dire curse upon himself if he should do otherwise, and he said that he had heard the Prophet foretell that the time would come when there would be all kinds of traditions that would lead people from their faith and to believe even that it was not permissible to read the Qur'an. When questioned as to how he received this great knowledge of tradition, which was said to excel that of all the other Companions, Ali replied, 'For my part, whenever I asked the Prophet anything he revealed it to me, and when I kept silence he would begin telling me things.'

On various occasions, Ali is said to have given advice to 'Umar that was readily accepted and thus to have maintained his position as unofficial counsellor at the Caliph's court. At the time the expedition was made against Jerusalem, Ali advised 'Umar to go and he went; and when 'Umar hesitated as to whether he should personally lead the army to Madain, Ali was one of those who dissuaded him from doing so; and before the battle of Nehawend, it was on Ali's advice that Nu'mán ibn Mukárin was placed in command. In this year, A.H. 16, 'Umar is said to have first begun dating letters and papers as so many years "after the flight of the Prophet from Mecca to Medina," and some have also attributed this suggestion to Ali.

When 'Umar was assassinated and lay at the point of death, he appointed a commission of six members to meet and decide who should be his successor. Ali was one of these six commissioners, which indicates that he had maintained his position as one of the

---

1. Ibn Sa'd, Tabakat, III, i, p. 196, i. 14.
2. Ibid, III, i, p. 196, i. 25.
counsellors of State. It would appear also that he had come to have high regard for Úmar, as is shown by the tradition that when Úmar's body was lying ready for burial, Ali remarked, "There is no name on earth dearer to me than the one God has inscribed on his ledger for this man under the cover."

Of the six commissioners who were to choose the new Caliph, Sa'd and Abdu'l-Rahman were brothers. At their first meeting, which was held in the public treasury, most of the six men were bent on nominating themselves. Finally Abdu'l-Rahmán said that he and his brother would withdraw their names, and as a consequence Abdu'l-Rahman was himself given the right to investigate the general feeling on the subject and to name the man who he felt would be most acceptable. When the meeting broke up they all agreed to abide by the final decision of Abdu'l-Rahman.

In the course of his investigation, Abdu'l-Rahman sent to the house of Ali and asked him to answer this question, "If I should not name you, whom would you prefer?" Ali's answer was, "Úthman." Then the shrewd arbitrator sent a messenger with the same question to Úthman, and his answer was "Ali." He also summoned Talha and Zubair and asked them, "If you are not of sufficient calibre for the Caliphate yourselves, whom would you prefer?" Zubair said, "Ali," but Talha said, "Úthman." Abdu'l-Rahman then asked his brother Sa'd whom he would prefer and he said, "Úthman." In this way he had secured three votes for Úthman and two for Ali, and that very night he sent for them both to come and see him. He met them together and talked with them for a long time, and after his words of counsel he asked Ali, "Do you agree that we should act according to the Book of God the Most High and according to the precedents established (Sunna) by His Apostle, and in agreement with what has been done by Abu Bakr and Úmar?" Ali hesitated in his reply and said, "I will do so in so far as I can, according to my strength and ability." Some have said that he answered, "I hope I can do so, but I am not familiar with the administration of affairs." But when Abdu'l-Rahman asked Úthman the same question, his answer was, "I agree altogether with what you have said." In dismissing them at the close of their interview that night, Abdu'l-Rahman remarked, "If you have nothing more to tell me you may go home now and this matter will be decided to-morrow morning in the assembly."

The next morning, when the chief men of the Muhájírin and of the Ansár had gathered with others in the mosque, Abdu'l-Rahman led the prayer service and afterwards he addressed the assembly. First he spoke to the commissioners, "O commissioners, have you given me the right to appoint the Caliph?" When they answered in the affirmative, he continued, "I have investigated this matter in so far as I could, so as not to feel a lingering preference for the one not chosen, no difference who may be chosen." He then asked Ali to come forward, and taking him by the hand he repeated publicly the same question he had asked him the night before, and Ali answered in the same way. After this, Úthman was called forward and asked exactly the same question. But in answering, Úthman accepted whole-heartedly, without any qualification as to his ability. Abdu'l-Rahman then looked upward and said, "O God, do thou witness, I have placed the burden of the Caliphate on the neck of Úthman." He and the people proceeded immediately to give their allegiance to Úthman. When, however, Ali and Abbas hesitated about doing so, Abdu'l-Rahman reminded them of the words of the Koran, "He who violates an oath violates it against himself." Accordingly, Ali also came forward and gave his allegiance to Úthman.

As he began his administration, Úthman had to face a new problem that had arisen from the effect of city life upon the Arabs. Úmar's policy had been to unite the Arab tribes in Arabia, and he had forbidden them to till the soil, referring to the tradition, "Never has the ploughshare entered a house but humiliation has entered it also." But notwithstanding, many of the Arabs who had associated with him in the government had tasted the joys of city life and were fully ready to change their


desert dwellings for the more comfortable surroundings of the armed dictators in the newly conquered provinces. It has been estimated that there were about two hundred thousand of these Arabs whom 'Umar had looked upon as the nucleus of the empire. Consequently, 'Uthman soon had to meet this problem of the urge of the Arabs to go and reside in the colonies. And he yielded to the pressure that was brought to bear upon him and allowed the Kuraish to leave Medina. They went to live in various cities in Egypt, Persia, Syria and Africa. They carried along with them an arrogant pride in their pure Arab blood. There were peoples dwelling in these other countries who had become Moslems but who were unwilling to be classified among the subject peoples. These communities soon resented the Arab assumptions of superiority and the systematic way in which Arabs were given offices that carried with them valuable perquisites. The result was that before long it was generally believed throughout the empire that 'Uthman was definitely committed to the policy of allowing the native Arabs, particularly those of his own immediate family, to freely exploit the other people, and consequently there came to be a large element of malcontents.

Ali had, in the meantime, remained in Medina and was still holding his de facto position as one of the counsellors to the Caliph. Those who were displeased with 'Uthman's administration began to come to Ali with their complaints. 'Uthman's Prime Minister was his cousin Merwan, and the more rigorously he carried out the policy of undisguised favouritism for the Arabs, the more generally he was hated. First the people placed their hope on Ali's mediation with the Caliph, but before long there was a strong desire for a change in administration.

This situation culminated in armed resistance in the twelfth year of 'Uthman's Caliphate. It began with a concerted protest on the part of the troops that came from Egypt and Iraq. In so much as Ali had received the complaints of these men and had presented their cause to 'Uthman, in the light of later events, there were some who suspected that Ali was himself involved in hostile plans against the Caliph. Mas'udi, however, does not consider that Ali was in any way responsible for the murder of 'Uthman. His detailed and unprejudiced story of the killing of 'Uthman is as follows:

"In the year 35 A.H., Malik ibn Harith al-Nakh'ài set out from Kufa with two hundred men, and Ḩakím ibn Jabala al-'Abdi set out with one hundred men of al-Basra, and six hundred Egyptian troops came that were under the command of Abdu'l-Rahmân ibn Ḥdaïs al-Balawi. Al-Wâkidî and other biographers relate that Abdu'l-Rahmân was among those who took part in the election under the tree, along with others in Egypt such as Amr ibn al-Ḥamîk al-Khuza'i,8 and Sa'd ibn Ḥumrán at-Tujibi. Muḥammad, the son of Abu Bakr, was with them and had concerted with them in Egypt and had urged them to revolt against 'Uthman, for reasons that would be too lengthy to explain. But the chief cause of discontent was on account of Merwan ibn al-Ḥakâm.

"When they assembled at Medina they assembled at a place called Dhu'l-Khushub. 'Uthman heard of their arrival and sent to Ali ibn Abu TaIib and informed him. He asked Ali to go to him himself and to give them his assurance that they would receive what they wanted in justice and good government. Ali therefore went out to them and remained for a long parley. Finally they agreed to what he wanted and started to take their departure from Medina.

"But when the Egyptian troops came to a place called Ḥisma,³ they saw a messenger coming toward them on a running camel. This man they recognised as Warash, who was one of the servants of the Caliph. They stopped him and compelled him to show them a letter that he was carrying. The letter was addressed to the son of Abu Sarh, the Governor of Egypt, and said to him: 'When the army returns to your province, cut off the hand of this one, kill the next one, and treat the third in such and such a way.'

---

1 Mas'udi, Murujul-Dhahab, iv, p. 276 ff.
2 Ibn Sa'd, Tabahat, VI, p. 15.
3 Ibid., I, pp. 17 and 28.
Thus the writer mentioned most of the soldiers and commanded such punishments as he wished.

"It was observed that the letter was in the handwriting of Merwan, and therefore they returned to Medina and got in touch with the discontented troops that had come from Iraq. They went also among the people in the mosque and told what had happened. They then set out against Uthman and besieged him in his house. They shut off his water supply and after some time he came out on a high balcony and asked the people, 'Is there no one who will bring us water?' And he went on to say to them, 'Why do you seek to kill me? I have heard the Prophet say that it is not right to take the life of a Muslim, except for one of three crimes—apostasy, adultery after the marriage had been accomplished, or murder that is not in retaliation. Now I swear before God that I have not been guilty of anyone of these crimes, neither in the time of ignorance nor in the years of Islam.'

"When Ali knew that Uthman was seeking water, he sent him three well-filled water skins, but the water had not reached him when a company of the freedmen of the Beni Hashim and of the Beni Umayyah clamorously surrounded the house, and with their swords in their hands they demanded the surrender of Merwan. But the Caliph refused to give up his favourite to them. Among the assailants were some of the Beni Zuhrah who had come to avenge Abdullah ibn Mas'ud, who was one of their confederates. There were others also of the Hudhail, who were of the tribe of Mas'ud, and there were a number of the Beni Makhzum, with their clients, who had come on behalf of Ammar, and there were some of the Beni Ghaffar and their associates of the party of Abu Dharr. And finally there was Taim ibn Morrah and Muhammad ibn Abu Bakr and still others whom it would be useless to mention.

"When Ali saw that the Caliph's own life was in danger, he sent his two sons, Hasan and Husain, along with a good number of well-armed servants, with orders to defend the Caliph and to repulse the assailants. Zubair gave a similar order to his son

Abdullah, and Talha to his son Muhammad. Other companions of the Prophet followed their example and sent their sons to the help of Uthman. But some of those we have mentioned repulsed from the house with a volley of arrows. The mass of people pressed forward so rapidly that Hasan was wounded. Those who were with them, fearing the excesses of the Beni Hashim and the Beni Umayyah, left them to get out of the way of those who were fighting in front of the house. Some of them took refuge in a (neighbouring) house that belonged to a family of Ansar.

"Among those who were able to reach Uthman was Muhammad ibn Abu Bakr, with two other men. Uthman was in the same room with his wife and children, and along with his slaves, who continued fighting in his behalf. When Muhammad ibn Abu Bakr seized Uthman by the beard, the Caliph cried out, 'By God! Muhammad, if your father could see you how it would grieve him!' At this Muhammad let go and made his way to the door. But the two men who were with him entered the room and fell upon Uthman and killed him. At the time (he was killed) the Caliph was holding a Koran that he had been reading. His wife arose and cried out, 'The Commander of the Faithful is killed!' Then Hasan and Husain came in, with those who accompanied them of the Beni Ummayah, but they found the Caliph dead, and they wept when they saw what had happened. Ali and Talhah and Zubair heard of this, as did also others of the Muhajirin and the Ansar. The mob withdrew and Ali came into the house. He was evidently much grieved and said to his sons, 'How is it that the Commander of the Faithful was killed when you were at the door?' Then he slapped Hasan and struck Husain on the chest, and reviled Muhammad ibn Talhah, and cursed Abdullah ibn Zubair. Talhah intervened and said to him, 'O Abu'l-Hasan, do not strike and revile and curse them. If the Caliph had surrendered Merwan to them he would not have been killed.' Merwan, however, had escaped with some of the Beni Umayyah. They made a diligent search for them, to kill them, but did not find them.
"Ali asked Uthman's wife, Na'ilah, the daughter of al-Karaifisa, 'As you were on the scene, you tell us who killed him?' She then explained how the two men had come upon him, and she told also about Muḥammad ibn Abu Bakr. And Muḥammad did not deny what she said, but declared, 'Before God, I did go in to him, and I intended to kill him, but when he spoke to me the way he did, well, I went out. I did not know that the two men remained behind him. Before God, I swear that I am not the cause of his murder. He has been killed, but I know nothing about the murder.'"

CHAPTER III

ALI THE FOURTH CALIPH

Ali, the cousin and son-in-law of Muḥammad, succeeded to the Caliphate in the month Dhu'l-Hijja, in the year 35 A.H.¹ Eleven of the outstanding chiefs, or knights, and "all the Companions of the Apostle of God who were in Medina" gave him their allegiance. ² His acclamation as Caliph was in the Mosque of the Prophet in Medina, and he ascended the pulpit for the ceremony. Ashtar pledged the support of the people of Kufa, Talha and Zubair vouched for the Muhājirin, and Abu'l-Hīghām and Úkbah and Abu Ayyūb declared the loyalty of the Ansār.³

There were three influential men of the Kuraish, however, who held out against him. They were Marvān ibn al-Hakam, Sā'd ibn al-Aws, and Walīd ibn Úkbah. They protested to Ali that they were unwilling to recognize him as Caliph, because, at the Battle of Badr, he had killed the fathers of two of them, and in his criticism of the policy of Uthman, he had reviled the father of the other. They represented the Beni Manaf and in return for their allegiance they demanded that Uthman be revenged and that their own tribe be allowed to retain their holdings. This angered Ali and he told them that wherein he had injured them it was but right that he should do so, and as for avenging Úthman it would be impracticable, or indeed impossible, as it would mean a war with the tribes whose discontent had been the real cause of Úthman’s death. In regard to their land rights, he said that they need expect no special consideration, but that he would deal

¹ Yaḥubi says Ali's inauguration was on the seventh night before the end of the month, i.e., about the 22nd (Tarikh, ii, p. 206). Mas'udi says it was the same day Uthman was killed (Tanbih, edit. de Goeje, p. 290). Ibn Sa'd says it was the day following the murder of Uthman, which he states was on Friday after eighteen nights had passed of the month Dhu'l-Hijja (Tabaḳat, III, i, p. 20).
² Ibn Sa'd, Tabakat, III, i, p. 20.
³ Yaḥubi, Tarikh, ii, p. 206.
with them according to the Book of God and the Sunna of the Prophet. 1

When this dissatisfaction was observed among the Quraisy, "Talhah and Zubair claimed that they had given Ali their allegiance with aversion and not voluntarily. They set out for Mecca, and A'isha joined them. From Mecca they went to Basra, accompanied by A'isha, to seek vengeance for the blood of 'Uthman. When Ali learned of this he left Medina for Iraq. . . . He halted at Dhu Káir and sent Ammar ibn Yasir and Hasan ibn Ali to the people of Kufa, to induce them to come with him. They joined him and he set out with them for Basra. There he met Talhah and Zubair and A'isha, and those who were with them of the people of Basra, and some others, on the Day of the Camel, in the month Jumadi II, 36 A.H. He defeated them and on that day Talhah and Zubair and others of their number were killed. The number of killed reached thirteen thousand. 2 Ali remained at Basra for fifteen nights and then he returned to Kufa."

In addition to this direct statement of the main facts of the conflict, as given by Ibn Sa'd, there are many points of human interest in the longer account of Yakubi. 3 Some of these will be more clearly appreciated if we recall that A'isha, the daughter of Abu Bakr and the favourite wife of Muhammad, was perhaps the most popular and influential lady in Medina, but she had a long-standing dislike for Ali. Thirty years had passed since the time when the Prophet went on his expedition against the Beni Mustaliq. At that time he had decided which of his numerous wives should accompany him by casting lots, and the lot had fallen to her. She travelled in a litter that was carried by a camel, and on the return journey she had a grave misfortune. She was a little mite of a girl, scarcely sixteen years old, and had been married to the Prophet for more than five years. The litter in which she travelled was covered with curtains, and at one of the stopping-places near Medina she got left behind. Her camel had risen with the empty litter and had gone ahead with the caravan. According to her story, she sat down on the ground and waited until someone would come for her. A young man named Safwan came along, riding a camel. He gallantly let A'isha ride the camel, and he himself walked ahead, leading it by the rein. But when the wife of the Prophet appeared in the camp, arriving in the company of this young man, there was much unfavourable comment. The chief accuser said, "Safwan being handsome and young, it is no wonder that A'isha prefers him to Muhammad." One woman who disliked her said she had often seen A'isha with Safwan. The whole question was taken to the Prophet. And one of those whom the Prophet consulted as to what he ought to do was Ali. Ali advised him to put A'isha away, to repudiate her, but the well-known general, Usama, maintained that she was innocent. To settle the matter the Prophet had a special revelation (Koran xxiv, 11 ff.), in which he put the burden of proof rather heavily on her accusers, when he thus declared, as by divine inspiration, that no charge of adultery is valid unless it can be supported by four witnesses. 1

But A'isha still remembered that Ali had advised the Prophet to put her away, and it was not to be expected that she would be one of those who would support him for the Caliphate. At the time when 'Uthman was killed, she had gone on a pilgrimage to Mecca, and it was on her return journey that she received the news that Ali had been proclaimed the new Caliph. This turn of events she deeply resented, and entertained the idea at first that she should herself lead the opposition against him, but Umm Salmah, another of the Prophet's widows, to whom she ventured to make this suggestion, reminded her that "the virtues of women consist in hiding the eyes, in seclusion of themselves, and in the dragging of the skirts." But when she met Talhah and Zubair in Mecca she readily united with them in their plans against Ali.

1 Encyclopaedia of Islam, art. "A'isha."
and accompanied them to Basra. And on the way they met a certain Ya'la ibn Munyá, who was bringing 400,000 dinars from Yemen. This money they captured as a timely find which they were glad to use to gather further recruits.

When they arrived at Basra, 'Uthman ibn Haníf, whom 'Ali had sent there as governor, was at first unwilling to allow them to enter the city. Since, however, they solemnly declared that they came with no hostile intent and readily signed an agreement to this effect, he gave them permission to come into the city, and was apparently so well satisfied with their good will that he allowed his own men to lay aside their weapons of warfare. Then it was that Talhah and Zubair saw their opportunity, and treating their agreement as a scrap of paper, they seized the all too trustful governor and "cut his beard and moustache, and clipped his eyelashes and eyebrows, and proceeded to loot the treasury."

That evening, however, in Basra, when the time of prayer came, we read that Talhah and Zubair could not agree as to which one should take the lead, which was by no means an unimportant consideration, as it was a recognized prerogative of the Caliph. Each one of them seized and held back the other, while the people called to them, "Prayer! Prayer! O Companions of the Prophet!" But before either one could get ahead of the other, the appointed time of prayer had passed. It is further related that A'isha proposed that in the future they should take turn about and that her suggestion was accepted.

When 'Ali started from Medina to Basra, he had four hundred horsemen, veteran troops of the Apostle, and before he reached Dhu Kár some six hundred men from Asad and Tai joined his force, and while he remained at this halting-place six thousand men from Kufa came as reinforcements. With this enlarged cavalcade he set out across the desert for Basra. 'Ali himself could be easily distinguished by his high white Egyptian hat.1 As to his personal appearance, Mas'údi says that "he was corpulent and partially bald, the hair of his head and beard was white, and he had large, dark eyes. His beard covered the upper

part of his chest, and he did not dye his hair." Almost all the writers mention that he had "a very large beard, white as cotton, which filled the space between his shoulders." There was no doubt that he had reached the age that commanded deference and respect, and he was regarded as one of the four whom God himself had commanded Muḥammad to love.

On the road he encountered the humiliated governor of Basra, who, after being despoiled of his whiskers and his treasury, had left the city in chagrin. When he met 'Ali he remarked, "O Commander of the Faithful, you sent me here with a beard, and I have come to you now with the face of a boy."

They continued their journey until they came near Basra, when the army took their stand at a place called Kháriábah, and Talhah and Zubair came out with their followers from Basra and took position on the battlefield. 'Ali first sent to them, saying, "What is it that you seek, and what do you want?" They replied, "We seek compensation for the blood of 'Uthman." Here 'Ali was in a difficult situation, for the actual murderers of 'Uthman had escaped, while those whose discontent and agitation had led to the tragedy were numerous and were among his most loyal supporters—in fact he may have been one of those himself. He answered with what was no more than a verbal acquiescence, "May God curse the murderers of 'Uthman!" This, however, was not deemed sufficient.

The followers of 'Ali then drew up in ranks, and with the hope that the battle might even yet be avoided, 'Ali commanded them: "Do not shoot the arrow, do not cast the lance, and do not strike with the sword." Thus they were to seek to avoid actual fighting. An arrow that was shot by the opposing side killed one of his followers, and when the man was brought to him, 'Ali said, "Let God be witness!" Another man was killed, and still he said, "Let God be witness!" Abdullah ibn Budhail was then killed, and his brother carried him to 'Ali, who again remarked, "Let

---

1 Ibn Sa'd, III, i, p. 19.
God be witness!" But after this, fighting was judged to be unavoidable and the battle began in earnest.

The most desperate part of the conflict was where A'isha was mounted on a camel, a thoroughbred beast that had belonged to Ya'lab ibn Munya. Of the Beni Dabba, who stood around her to protect her, there were about two thousand killed. And of the tribe of al-Azd, who rushed forward to take their places, there were two thousand seven hundred killed. No one was able, however, to seize the halter of A'isha's camel without forfeiting his life. The battle lasted until four hours after sunrise, and both Talhah and Zubair were put to death. When Ali saw that his two chief opponents were killed and that their army was routed, his herald called out that permission was not given "to finish the wounded, or to pursue the retreating, or to defame those who turned back." Amnesty was proclaimed to all who would throw down their arms.

After the battle was over Ibn Abbas went to interview A'isha. In talking with him she was proud and haughty, and declared, "Twice, O Ibn Abbas, you have violated the Sunna, first, in that you entered my house without permission, and second, in that you seated yourself on my rug without my command." But when Ali entered, he addressed her familiarly by a name the Prophet had sometimes called her, "Hello, red face (yā humaira'), are you not through with this journey?" She replied, "O Ibn Abu Talib, you have gained the power, so grant me pardon." He then said to her, "Depart for Medina, and return to the house in which the Apostle of God told you to stay." She answered humbly, "I will do it." Others have said that "seeing how much stronger Ali's party was, A'isha suggested to him that she should stay with him and be his companion on subsequent expeditions against his enemies. But Ali declined this offer and intimated that she had to depart." ²

In the division of the spoils after the Battle of the Camel, Ali is said to have made no distinction between the slaves and the free born. He is said to have buried the dead and to have waited three days before entering Basra, where he divided among the people the money he found remaining in the treasury. After spending a few days in Basra, he returned to Kufa, where he arrived in the month of Rajab, 36 A.H.

As we continue to follow the account given by Yakubi, we read of another Zubair whom Ali had dismissed from his position as governor of Hamadán, who made representations to Ali that he had much influence with one of the tribes that was following the leadership of Muawiya. Against the advice of his friend and supporter, Ashtár, Ali allowed this man Zubair to go to Muawiya and to carry to him a letter. When Zubair came to Muawiya, and was seated and the people were around him, he presented the letter from Ali to Muawiya, who read it. Then Zubair arose and said, "O people of Syria, truly the one to whom a little does not bring advantage a great deal will not help. At Basra there was indeed such a battle that Islam could not suffer another like it and still continue. Therefore be earnest in serving God, O people of Syria, and consider what is best in regard to Ali and Muawiya. Examine your own minds, for there is no one else to examine them except yourselves." He then ceased speaking, and Muawiya also said not a word. Then Muawiya did say, "You make me swallow with surprise, O Zubair."

But that same night Muawiya sent for Amr ibn Ḩās. He wrote to him: "Since that which you have heard has happened in regard to Ali and Talhah and Zubair and A'isha, and since Marwan, one of the fugitives from Basra, has taken refuge with us, and Zubair ibn Abdullah has come to me with a proposal in regard to the recognition of Ali, I am most anxious that you should come to me. So come, by the blessing of the Most High God." When this letter reached Amr ibn Ḩās, he called his two sons, Abdullah and Muhammad, and consulted with them. Abdullah said, "O Shaikh, the Apostle of God died, and he was pleased with you, and Abu Bakr and Umar died, and they were pleased with you, and now if you corrupt your faith for a minor material advantage, you will get that profit along with Muawiya, but to-morrow you will make your bed in Hell." Then Amr said to
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his son, Muhammad, "What do you think?" He answered tersely, "Hasten to this affair, and be the head in it before you turn out to be the tail." And in the morning the father called his slave, Wardan, and said to him, "To horse! O Wardan," and then he said, "Dismount!" Thus Wardan mounted and dismounted three times, when he said to Amr, "You must be confused, O father of Abdullah, but if you will permit me I will tell you what is on your mind." He said, "Go ahead." And Wardan replied, "In your heart you are balancing the world and the hereafter. What I say is that your going with Ali means the world without the hereafter, and your going with Muawiya means the hereafter without the world. . . . My opinion is that you should remain here in your house, and if the people of the faith are successful, then act in accord with their faith, but of the world gain the advantage they will not be able to get without you." Since, therefore, Muawiya had already sent for him, Amr felt that the world was calling for him, and he did not resist the appeal, but united with Muawiya in his plans.

When, in about three months, Muawiya had assembled his army, they numbered as many, perhaps, as a hundred and twenty thousand. The fact that they were designated as "the people of Syria" does not mean that they were a non-Arab people, but rather the Arabs who had been carrying on the Muslim conquests in the West, and at the same time the Arabs, who had gained most from the favouritism of Úthman. As they marched down from the north, it was virtually to challenge Ali's right to the Caliphate, but their battle cry or slogan was only "to avenge the murder of Úthman." Ostensibly it was because Ali was considered as having a share in the responsibility of Úthman's death that this hostile force from the west did not consider him worthy of the Caliphate, and Muawiya carefully kept his own ambitions well in the background.

When they came into Mesopotamia, they established their camp near the Euphrates, in the valley of Siffin, not far from Ra'ḳa. Siffin was separated from the bank of the Euphrates by marsh land, overgrown with willows and full of water-holes. This marsh was seven or eight miles long and "an arrow shot broad," and there was only one paved road that led through it to the river. When Ali arrived with his army, by way of Ctesiphon and Ra'ḳa, he found that Muawiya and the Syrians "were already encamped in the ruins of the city of Siffin," which dated from the Roman period, and a detachment of troops from Abu'l-A'war held the road to the Euphrates. Ali attacked at a disadvantage but succeeded in gaining the approach to the river in the month Dhu'l-Hijja. But he generously allowed the Syrian water-carriers to come and draw water, which led to a period of fraternizing and negotiations for peace, with intermittent fighting, which was followed, however, by a truce during the sacred month of Muharram. It was after this, at the beginning of the month Safar, that the battle of Siffin really began.

Mas'udi mentions that "they were at Siffin 110 days, and there were ninety engagements." This applies, of course, to the prolonged conflict in that vicinity and not to the duration of the battle itself, which continued about a fortnight. Mas'udi also asserts that of the ninety thousand troops that Ali had there were seventy thousand killed; and that of the one hundred and twenty thousand with Muawiya there were forty-five thousand killed.

If this is true the last two weeks of the struggle must have been most sanguinary indeed, and it is not surprising that in the end "the people shrunk from the battle and called for peace." At last, on the 13th of Safar, or on the 17th, the agreement was reached to abide by the decision of two arbitrators.

After the attempt to decide the issue by warfare, with so many engagements and for such a long period, most probably both armies were thoroughly exhausted, and in that case the so called agreement for arbitration ought not to be hard to explain. Nevertheless, volumes have been written about it. Some have said that Ali and his army had victory in sight and that they
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made a mistake in agreeing to arbitrate. Certainly the man who was conspicuous as peace-maker, who is said to have suggested the idea of arbitrating the difficulty according to the Koran, is most cordially hated by the friends of Ali. He was Muawiya’s esteemed counsellor, whom he had summoned before initiating his campaign, Amr ibn Aws, whom Yakubi represents as having sold his happiness in the hereafter by devotion to Ali for advantages in this world by allegiance to Muawiya. He it was who suggested that some of Muawiya’s men fasten manuscripts of portions of the Koran to their lance heads and march forward, demanding a decision according to the book of God.

The story is told without elaboration by Ibn Sa’d,1 “The people of Syria raised the Korans to appeal to what was in them, a scheme which Amr ibn Aws had suggested to Muawiya, who accepted it. The people shrank from the battle and called for peace. They appointed two arbitrators. Ali chose Abu Musa, al-Asfar and Muawiya chose Amr ibn Aws. They wrote an agreement that they would meet at the beginning of the year at Adhrur and arrange for the government of the nation. Then the people dispersed. Muawiya relied on the friendship of the people of Syria and Ali returned to Kufa, on account of difference of opinion and suspicion.” Accordingly, “the people assembled at Adhrur in the month of Sha’bán, 38 A.H. Among those who were there was Sa’d ibn Abu Waqqás and Ibl Amr, and others of the companions of the Apostle of God. Amr insisted that Abu Musa take precedence over himself, so Abu Musa spoke first and deposed Ali. After that Amr spoke and confirmed Muawiya (in Ali’s place) and expressed his allegiance to him. In view of this the people dispersed.”

It has been suggested that an element of bias in favour of Ali is shown in the story of the arbitration at Adhrur,2 and that Ali’s admirers had added this story as an embellishment to explain the unsuccessful issue of the battle. Dinawari calls attention to the fact that there were readers of the Koran (Kurrá) with both armies and that they were eager advocates of peace,1 and there is also a tradition recorded by Ibn Sa’d,3 “in which we are told that the two armies were tired of war and reluctant to shed more blood, which induced Amr to propose to Muawiya to have the Korans displayed, and to summon the Irákis to the book of Allah, and thus effect a split among them.”3

Among Ali’s supporters against Muawiya were many Persians or non-Arabs who resided in the new cities in Mesopotamia, who had come to resent strongly the arrogance of the Arabs from Medina who had gone forth during Uthman’s caliphate to rule the world.4 There were also a number of the Kurrá, or readers of the Koran, who had been in open opposition to Uthman and some of his policies, and who now allied themselves to Ali. These bitter foes of Arab domination were ill disposed towards any compromise, and after the unfavourable arbitration, they decided that their purposes could no longer be served by loyalty to Ali. The summary statement of Ibn Sa’d is that this group of irreconcilables who “went out” from the army, the Khawaríj,5 “left Ali and his companions and those who were with him. They said, ‘Judgment belongs to God alone,’ and they set up their camp at Harura. This is why there are called the Haruríya. Ali sent to them Abdullah ibn Abbas, and others too, who disputed and contended with them. A large number did return, but a part of them stood firmly by their opinion and withdrew to Nahrawán, where they cut off the road. Besides that, they killed Abdullah ibn Khabbab ibn al-Arish. Ali therefore set out against them and defeated them at Nahrawan. One of those killed was Dhu’l Thadiyad. This was in the year 38 A.H. Ali then returned to Kufa. But the Khawaríj did not cease to be on the alert for him from that day until he was killed.”

Ali, who they had hoped would be their champion, had
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turned out to be their merciless foe. Resistance with an army was no longer practicable, for their defeat had been decisive. But they knew who was responsible for the killing of their fathers and brothers, and when they considered what had been the promise of the empire of Islam, torn by civil strife, they came to look with particular hatred on the personal aspirations of the men whom they regarded as barriers to national unity. The tradition which gives a detailed story of the plot which ended in Ali’s assassination, though regarded by a recent writer as apocryphal, is nevertheless accepted by al-Mubarraz, and repeated by Yakubi, is given also by Dinawari, and is the obvious background of the account given by Mas’udi. 8

"In the year 40 A.H. there was an assembly of the Khawarij at Mecca. They were conferring about the war and the rebellion. Three of them pledged themselves to kill Ali and Muawiya and Amr ibn Aws. They agreed that each was to pursue his man until he had killed him. The partners to the agreement were Abdu’r-Rahman ibn Muljam, of the family of Tujib and the tribe of Murād; Hajjaj ibn Abdullah, Šarimi, who was called al-Burak; and Zadawaih, a client of the Beni Anbar. Ibn Muljam declared, ‘I will kill Ali’; al-Burak declared, ‘I will kill Muawiya; and Zadawaih declared, ‘I will kill Amr ibn Aws.’ The seventeenth night of the month of Ramaḍan was the night arranged for the murders, though some say it was the twenty-first. Ibn Muljam went out against Ali, and when he came to Kufa he went to Kutām, who was his cousin on his father’s side. In the battle of Nahrawán, Ali had killed her father and her brother also. She was one of the most beautiful women of her time, and Ibn Muljam asked for her in marriage. ‘I will not marry you,’ she said, ‘unless you are generous with me.’ ‘You shall not ask anything,’ he answered, ‘that I will not give.’ Her demand was for three thousand dirhems, a slave girl, a slave boy, and the death of Ali. ‘You shall have what you ask,’ he said, ‘except the killing of Ali, for I do not believe that you should plot against him.’ ‘His blood entreats me,’ she replied, ‘if you will pour it forth you shall gain my hand and person to your advantage. And even if you should be killed, your lot with God will be better than on earth.’ He then exclaimed, ‘I swear before God that this is indeed what brought me to this city, and I will leave here and I will not return until I have accomplished the deed. I will give you what you ask.’ He left her presence, saying:

‘Three thousand dirhems, a slave and a maid, And killing Ali with the poisoned sword; No dowry more costly, but let it be paid, For none will be braver than Ibn Muljam.’

"When he left, a man of the Ashja’ met him, a man named Shabib ibn Najdah. He was also one of the Khawarij, and Ibn Muljam addressed him, ‘Do you want to be distinguished in this world and the next?’ ‘Well, how so?’ he asked. Ibn Muljam replied, ‘Help me in the killing of Ali.’ ‘May your mother grieve for you,’ he answered, ‘it is a shameful project. I have heard of the trouble he has suffered in Islam, and I know of his intimate association with the Prophet.’ But Ibn Muljam reproached him, ‘Woe to you, for God has cursed him. Understand that he condemned men who believed in the book of the Most High God, and that he put to death our brothers who were Muslims. It is on behalf of our brothers that we shall kill him.’ They proceeded then together until they met Kutām herself at the Great Masjid (in Kufa), where she had pitched a tent for herself, in a place of religious retreat for Friday. It was the thirteenth night of mourning in the month of Ramaḍan. She told them that Mujāshi‘ ibn Wardān had been asked to help them kill Ali, and thus she encouraged them and got them excited. ‘Seizing their swords they went and sat in front of the gate of the vestibule through which Ali would have to come on his way to the masjid, for he usually came every morning at the time for the call for prayer. Ibn Muljam, may God curse him, met al-Ashath in the masjid, and the latter said, ‘May God expose you!’"
Hajar ibn Adi heard this and added, 'You kill him, you one-eyed man, and God will be the one to kill you.' And at this juncture Ali came and called, 'O people, come to prayers!' At once Ibn Muljam rushed upon him, aided by his companions, and together they cried out, 'Judgment is with God and not with you.' Ibn Muljam struck him a blow with his sword on the top of the head. Shabib's blow fell on one of the side posts of the door, and Mujashfi ibn Wardan ran away. Ali shouted, 'Do not let the man escape!' and the people pressed hard upon Ibn Muljam, stoning him until they were able to seize him. ... It is related that Ali did not sleep that night, but continued walking back and forth from the gate of his house to his room, and that he kept saying, 'God be witness, I have not deceived nor been deceived; truly it is the night appointed.' At one time when he went out several geese came upon some small boys, which frightened them so that they began to cry. The servants wanted to chase the geese away, but Ali restrained them, saying, 'Let the boys cry, for they are weeping for my funeral.'

He lived, however, through Friday and Saturday and did not die until Sunday night. Some say he was buried in the great cemetery beside the mosque at Kufa, but there is much difference of opinion as to the place of his burial and the modern Shi'ites generally consider that his body lies at Najaf, a few miles away from Kufa.

It is said that when Ali died 'they did not find more than six hundred dirhems, which was a sum that remained from his share of booty, and which had been designated to secure the services of a eunuch for the women's apartment. According to another account what remained amounted to only two hundred and forty dirhems, a Koran and a sword.'

CHAPTER IV

ALL THE FIRST IMAM

A CAREFUL study of the Imams reveals the fact that very ordinary men have been made immortals. The best that we can do is to gather what we can from the earliest sources to enable us to determine what these men were in real life, in their unglorified and unsanctified existence. Yet at the same time it is necessary to endeavour to picture the halo that later legend has given them. Unless we go beyond what they were to what has been said about them, we will fail entirely to enter into the spirit of Shi'ite Islam and will not be able to explain the growth of the amazing and all-inclusive doctrines that are now considered fundamental to that faith.

The earliest traditions show that Ali's claims to the caliphate were not regarded by his friends and supporters as merely political aspirations, but as his divine right. The teaching and agitation of a comparatively obscure figure in the history of Islam had much to do with the rise and spread of this point of view. As early as the caliphate of Uthman, an itinerant preacher named Abd Allah ibn Saba' had travelled widely throughout the empire, as Tabari says, 'seeking to lead the Moslems into error.' According to Wellhausen he was said to have been a Jew before he became Muhammadan. Originally, he had come from Sana, in the Yemen, and he had worked in the Hijaz and in the new cities of al-Basra and Kufa, and had afterwards journeyed to Syria, and had ultimately settled in Egypt. In Egypt he had taken a leading part in the conspiracy in favour of Ali, and had declared that Abu Bakr and Umar and Uthman were usurpers. The malcontents during Uthman's caliphate had been in secret correspondence with Ibn Saba', and he had accompanied the
troops that marched from Egypt to Medina immediately before the murder of Uthman.1 Ibn Sa‘d makes only the remark that he was one of the readers of the Koran who accompanied Ali.2 Mirkhond, in the Rauzatu’s-Safá,3 relates that this Jewish priest came to Medina and made a profession of the Muhammadan faith with the hope that Uthman would respect and honour him. He met, however, with disappointment, and soon began to associate with those who were dissatisfied, and he did not hesitate to openly censure Uthman’s administration. Finally, Uthman asked, “Who is this Jew, after all, that I should bear all this from him?” and gave orders that he should be compelled to leave Medina. Then it was that he went to Egypt where he was an active conspirator against Uthman.

Part of the text of the protest of Ibn Saba’ was that it is a saying of the Christians that Jesus will return, descending from heaven to earth. If, therefore, Muhammad is to be considered superior to Jesus, he is more likely to return first, especially in the light of the verse in the Koran (xxviii, 85), “Verily he who hath given thee the Koran for a rule will certainly bring thee back home.”

But another of his teachings that was more immediately influential was that every prophet has a wasī (executor or plenipotentiary) and that Ali was the wasī of Muhammad, and had indeed been so designated by him. When the people had disregarded the wish of Muhammad, they had therefore committed a palpable injustice to Ali. It became necessary, accordingly, for all the people to champion Ali’s divinely sanctioned rights and to obey him implicitly. In fact, the extreme or ultra Shi‘ites (Ghul‘at), who went so far as to claim that Ali was himself divine, have been said to have derived this conception also from Ibn Saba’.4 who taught that the Divine Spirit which dwells in every prophet, and passes successively from one to another, was transferred at Muhammad’s death to Ali, and from Ali into his descendants who succeeded him in the Imamate.5

Thus there was much discussion as to whether Ali had actually received the designation (naṣṣ) of the Prophet at Ghadir Khum, and whether there were not other sayings of the Prophet also that should have been understood as expressing his intention that Ali should have been his successor. Some of the oldest collections of traditions of orthodox Islam include sayings that appear to support this position of the Alids,1 who were never able to accept the authority of the ijma‘-umma, or agreement by the consent of the community. In subsequent periods they adapted themselves to the necessity of circumstances and recognized the ruling Caliphs and gave them their nominal allegiance, but they still maintained that one of the living descendants of Ali was in point of fact the rightful Caliph (successor) or Imam (leader) of the people of Islam.

The most ordinary usage of the word imam was that of prayer leader, and this function was retained by the Caliph. The fact that the Prophet, in his last illness, had asked Abu Bakr to go and lead the prayers in his stead, was considered as contradicting the assertion that Ali had been intended as his successor. By this act it was thought that any possible previous designation of Ali had been abrogated. And Ali was not given any special status as Imam during the caliphates of Abu Bakr or Umar or Uthman. He was recognized and respected as an honoured counsellor and as one of the family of the Prophet, but he was not regarded as a spiritual pontiff. After he succeeded to the caliphate there is still no trustworthy evidence that he claimed to be more of a spiritual authority than other caliphs had been. In actual status, he was the one of the Companions of the Prophet who was chosen to succeed Uthman, by the not unnatural consent of the community at the time, and who was murdered in the course of his efforts to overcome persistent and widespread rebellion against his authority.

But, unfortunately, by the time that even the earliest of either the six canonical or the four Shi'ite collections of traditions were compiled, Ali had found his place in folklore and was celebrated by all kinds of legendary tales. For example, we may consider what the traditions relate about his valour in battle. At the Battle of Siffin, he alone was said to have killed 523 men in one day. When he accompanied the Prophet on his expeditions, "at Badr and at every mashhad (place of witnessing by martyrdom)," he carried the standard. His honour as standard-bearer before Khaibar is also frequently mentioned, which may well be true for there seems to have been no doubt of his loyalty and bravery. His great sword was called Dhin'i-Fahd, the "owner of the vertebrae." It was a sword that had been captured at the battle of Badr from an unbeliever named As ibn Munabbih, and it had been given to Ali by Muhammad. With this sword Ali could cut off heads with ease and he would sometimes dash at his opponents on horseback and hew their bodies in two, "the upper part rolling on the ground while the lower part remained on horseback"; or he would await the attack of the enemy, and by simply extending his arm he would knock down thirty-three assailants. It is related that he seized a gate near the fort of Khaibar, "and used it as a buckler to guard himself, and it continued in his hand while he was fighting, until the Lord gave us the victory. Then he cast it from him, and verily I know that we eight men tried to turn over the same gate and we were not able to turn it over."6

We must be prepared to find exaggerated statements also in regard to Ali's other personal virtues, for as Ahmad ibn Hanbal says, "there hath not come down to us regarding the merits of any one of the Companions of the Apostle of God what hath been transmitted concerning Ali."7 At times they are represented as so outstanding that it was for this reason that the Beni Umayyah were jealous of him and came to hate him. That he did have the special confidence of Muhammad is acknowledged by all. His friends remembered that even his boyhood had been spent with the Prophet, whom he never deserted. He was one of the very first believers, perhaps the first after the Prophet's wife, Khadijah. They recalled that at the time of the expedition to Tabuk, when the Prophet had asked him to remain behind in Medina, he said to him, "Is it not fitting that you should be in the same relation to me that Aaron was to Moses?"1

However, like Aaron, on occasions when he was asked to undertake difficult administrative tasks, his answers sometimes betrayed a consciousness of his youth and a modest hesitancy. He is reported to have related, "When the Prophet sent me to Yemen as a judge, I said, 'O Apostle of God, do you send me to a people where there are old men of experience? I fear that I may not be successful.' But the Prophet answered, 'Surely God will establish your speech and guide your heart.'"2 And Umar himself considered that Ali was the best of all the judges of the people of Medina and the chief of the readers of the Koran.3

Students of the Arabic language will observe with interest the assistance that Ali is said to have given to Abul'Aswad ad-Duwalai in the task of systematizing Arabic grammar. "Abul' Aswad was one of the most eminent of the Tābis, an inhabitant of Basra, and a partisan of Ali ibn Abu Talib, under whom he fought at the battle of Siffin. In intelligence he was one of the most perfect of men, and in reason he was one of the most sagacious. He was the first who invented grammar. It is said that Ali laid down for him this principle: the parts of speech are three, the Noun, the Verb, and the Particle, telling him to find a complete treatise upon it... A scribe belonging to the tribe of Abdu'l-Kais was brought to him, but did not give him satisfaction; another then came and Abul'Aswad said to him, 'When
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you see me open (fatah) my mouth in pronouncing a letter, place a point over it; when I close (damm) my mouth, place a point before (or upon) the letter; and when I pucker up (kasar) my mouth, place a point under the letter.' This the scribe did. The art of grammar was called nahw because Abul-Aswad had said, 'I asked permission of Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib to compose in the same way (nahw) as he had done.' God knows best if this be true.'

Considerable pains have thus been taken to represent Ali as no less mighty with the pen than with the sword. Hasan al-Basari called him "the scholar of God in this community." "According to the historian, al-Wakidi, at the time of the appearance of Islam, among the tribe of the Kuraish, to which Muhammad belonged, there were only six or seven men who could write. Among them were those who were afterwards the caliphs, ʿUmar, ʿUtman and Ali. The Prophet is said to have been unable to write, but he had four secretaries who wrote the text of the Koran as it was revealed on whatever came to hand—branches of palms, bits of leather, or dry bones. . . . There is a Muhammadan tradition, also, which claims that Ali, the last of the first four caliphs, had great skill in writing the Kufic characters. He was able to make the elongated kaf which is characteristic of that script, with such uniform exactness that it was scarcely possible, even with a compass, to distinguish any difference between the kafs that he had written."8

It is not unlikely, however, that Muhammad could write, and at all events it would be misleading to over-emphasize the use of palm branches and bits of leather and dry bones by his secretaries. Probably no time was lost in transcribing what was so recorded, and we know that the number of copies of the Koran was rapidly multiplied. Their newly acquired "book" was a source of Arab pride, and copies were produced in such a number and with such variation that the Caliph ʿUtman felt the necessity of getting out an officially approved edition. And when a selected company from the army of Muawiya shrewdly advanced against the forces of Ali; with manuscripts of the Koran, or portions of it, attached to their lances, and demanded arbitration according to the "word of God," it would suggest that numerous copies were already extant.

There are traditions that affirm that Ali had a copy of the Koran of his own, a special copy which he had annotated according to conversations he had held with Muhammad. This additional writing on the margin of his own Koran is apparently all that Ali claimed to have, in the nature of revelation from the Prophet, that others did not have. As the question of the nature of this Sahifa that Ali referred to has a bearing on the later Shi'ite belief in the existence of a mysterious book that they called the Djafr1, representative traditions on the subject are here recorded. First, it may be observed that the plural of the word sahifa is suhit, and in the plural the term is used "for the one hundred portions of scripture said to have been given to Adam, Seth, Enoch, Abraham and Moses," which are referred to in the Koran (lxvii. 19), "this is truly written in the books of old, the books of Abraham and Moses."8 In the singular the word sahifa means a written page, and in the following traditions it is used uniformly with the definite article or with the demonstrative pronoun, and should be translated the writing or this writing. In his chapter on al-Im, or Learning, al-Bukhari has cited a tradition that is referred originally to Abu Juhafa, who said, "I asked Ali, 'Is there any book with you?' He answered, 'No, none except the Book of God, or the understanding which is given a man who is a Muslim, or what is in this writing.' I said, 'What is in this writing?' He replied, 'Reason, and the separation of captives, and not killing the one who submits in unbelief.' "8 A tradition attributed to at-Taymiya is mentioned twice by al-Bukhari,4 in which the father of at-Taymiya reported that in a speech that Ali made he said, "There
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is no book among us that we should read except the Book of God, the Most High, and what is in the writing." Then he said, "In it are instructions about the wounded, what to do with the older camels, and the extent of the sacred territory about Medina, that lies between Ayr and Kadha." Ahmad ibn Hanbal, who has frequently given this tradition, has mentioned the bounds of the sacred territory as lying between Ayr and Thur. And he has attributed to Ali the saying that whoever relates false traditions, or gives shelter to one doing so, upon him be the curse of God and of the angels and of all mankind; God will not accept his offerings.

If Ali was from ten to fifteen years of age at the time when he professed Islam, and if he was one of those who could write, with as much as we have of testimony from the traditions, it is not at all improbable that he did have a Koran with marginal notes which he had made in his conversations with the Prophet. The purport of those notes is set forth in the traditions that have been cited. They are practical instructions and pronouncements, and have nothing mystical or peculiar about them, and they do not correspond at all with the elaborate claims made by the Shi'ites of later times for the secret book Djafr.

Typical of these later statements is the remark of al-Kulaini, "that when the Apostle taught anything to Ali, Ali evolved from it a thousand other things." He declares also that the sahifa in Ali's handwriting was seventy cubits in length, as measured by the arm of the Apostle, and that it contained everything permitted and forbidden, and everything necessary for mankind. And in the Djafr, or secret book, he assures us that there was to be found "The knowledge of the prophets, and of the representatives of the prophets, and of the scholars of the Beni Israel." Majlisi shows how the later Imams were accustomed to refer at times to these secret books that Ali was supposed to have left in their keeping.

Belief in the existence of these sacred and secret books among the Imams was persistent, and later writers are more explicit in describing them. For example, in one of the popular books that Muḥammad Baḵir Majlisi wrote in the seventeenth century, the Hayatu'-Kulub, or Life of Hearts, it is related that at the time when Muḥammad appealed to the Nasará (Christians) in Najrān in the Yemen to accept him as a prophet whose coming had been foretold by Jesus, a great book called the Jāma' was referred to in the course of the debate. It was supposed to be a collection of the writings of the 124,000 prophets. The first part was the book of Adam, "which related to the kingdom of the Most High, what he has created and what he has decreed in heaven and earth respecting things temporal and eternal. This book, which contained all sciences, was transmitted by the father of mankind to Shays." Shays added his contribution to the great work and handed it on to Ḫeris, and likewise there were the writings of Abraham, and Moses, and Jesus, until at last the time came for the great and final work of Ahmad (or Muḥammad).

A Persian manual on the lives of the Imams, which is a compilation from the voluminous works of Majlisi, was written in Persian and lithographed in Teheran in 1912. It is called the Tadhkiratu'l-A'imma, and here we find it stated that the Jafri-Jāma' is a book that the scholars agree that Ali had in his possession, and that the part that now exists consists of twenty-eight portions, that each portion has twenty-eight pages, and each page twenty-eight divisions. "and no one beside God and the Imams knows the character in which it is written, unless the sinless Imams have taught it to him."

The same modern manual mentions also "the book of Ali" (the sahifa), "which the Prophet dictated and Ali wrote. It is seventy metres long and the width of a sheepskin. It is also called the Jāma', and it shows what things are permitted and what things are forbidden." Two other minor works of the same sort are the Jafr Abyād (the white Jafr), which has fourteen...

---

1 Bukhari, Sahih, text Leyden, ch. 58, sec. 10 and 17.
3 Kulaini, Usulul-Kafi, p. 85.

---

portions, and each portion has fourteen divisions, etc., and the Writing of Fatima, with many traditions, to show that God taught Adam twenty-five of the divine names: Noah knew eight; Abraham had six; Moses had four; Jesus had two; and Aasif ibn Barkhia had one, whereas the Apostle of God knew seventy-two of these names, which he taught to Ali.\(^1\)

Collections have been made of maxims and aphorisms that are said to have originated with Ali. A hundred of these were collected by the Persian poet Rashid al-Din and have been translated in German.\(^2\) There are one hundred and sixty-nine of these moral sentences given in Ockley’s History of the Saracens, (p. 339), and the following are a few of those that are quoted by As-Suyuti.\(^3\)

In reply to one who persisted in asking him, “What is Fate?” Ali answered, “O questioner, hath the Lord created thee for what he hath willed, or what thou hast willed?” He replied, “Indeed for what he hath willed.” Ali answered, “Then He will use thee as He thinketh fit.”

It was said to Ali, “What is generosity?” He replied, “that from which the initiative proceedeth, for what cometh after a request is liberality and munificence.”

A man went to Ali and praised him and spoke extravagantly, and it happened that Ali had heard somewhat of him before that, so he said to him, “Verily, I am not as thou sayest, yet I am above that which is in thy heart.”

Once he said, “I make it incumbent upon myself when I am asked what I know not, to say God knoweth best.”

On another occasion he remarked, “He who seeketh to do justice unto men, let him desire for them what he desireth for himself.”

And seven things he said were of the Devil: excessive anger, excessive sneezing, excessive yawning, vomiting, bleeding at the nose, clandestine discourse, and sleeping during devotional exercise.

It is not, however, as a warrior, nor as a scholar, nor as a sage that the legendary Ali has attained the greatest distinction.

---

\(^1\) Tadhkiratul-A’imma, compiled from Majlisi, lithographed Teheran, 1912, p. 56 ff.
\(^3\) Encyclopædia of Islam, art. “Ali.”
ness, not of sleep. On this head, among the ancient ulama of the Shi'ites there was no disagreement. The doubts which some have entertained whether the ascension was bodily, or only in the spirit, have arisen from want of examining the subject, or from disbelief of its divine attestations, and listening to people who are without faith themselves." In his portrayal of all that Muhammad heard and saw on his great night journey through the seven heavens, Majlisi mentions what was heard and seen of Ali:

"It is related that Muhammad declared that on the night of the ascension, the Most High commanded me to inquire of the past prophets for what reason they were exalted to that rank, and they all testified, We were raised up on account of your prophetical office, and the imamate of Ali ibn Abu Talib, and of the imams of your posterity. A divine voice then commanded, Look on the right side of the empyrean. I looked and saw the similitude of Ali, and Hasan, and Husain, and Ali ibn al-Husain (alias, Zaini'Abidin), and Muhammad Bakir, and Ja'far as-Sadiq, and Musa Kazim, and Ali ibn Musa, ar-Rida, and Muhammad Taqi, and Ali Naki, and Hasan Askari, and Mahdi—all performing prayers in a sea of light. These, said the Most High, are my proofs, vicegerents, and friends, and the last of them will take vengeance on my enemies.

"The Prophet declared that when he performed the ascension, the angels inquired so particularly about Ali that he began to conclude that Ali was better known in heaven than himself. When I arrived, he continued, at the fourth heaven, I saw the angel of death, who said that it was his office to take the soul of every creature except mine and Ali's; your spirits, said he, the Most High will himself take away, by the hand of his power. When I came under the empyrean, I saw Ali ibn Abu Talib standing there, and said to him, O Ali, have you got here before me? Whom are you addressing, said Jibrail. My brother Ali, I replied. This is not Ali, said he, but an angel of the merciful God, whom he created in the likeness of Ali; and when those of us privileged to approach near the Deity wish to behold Ali, we visit this angel.

And so Jesus and Moses and Abraham all inquired about Ali and congratulated Muhammad on having left so good a Caliph in his place. And according to a book recently published, the Apostle related, "On the night of the mi'raj, on every one of the curtains of light and on every one of the pillars of the empyrean to which I came, I saw written, 'There is no God but God, Muhammad is the Apostle of God, and Ali ibn Abu Talib is the Commander of the Faithful.'"

1 Merrick, Life and Religion of Muhammad, p. 203.
2 Usajal'-Ahmadiyya, by Shaikh Muhammad Ja'far, 1325 A.H., p. 193, Nos. 35 and 36.
CHAPTER V

THE SHRINE OF ALI AT NAJAF

It is related on the authority of the Imam Ja'far Sadiq that Ali had requested that he be buried secretly, because he feared that the Khawarij or others might desecrate his tomb.\(^1\) But as there were few who knew the secret, some have thought that Ali was buried in his own house in Medina. We find evidence of this idea in the travels of Thomas Forster in the sixteenth century,\(^2\) for in his description of Medina he says that beyond the mosque of the Prophet "are two other sepulchres covered with green cloth, and in the one of them is buried Fatma, the daughter of Mahomet, and Ali is buried in the other, who was the husband of the said Fatma." Others have said that Ali was buried either in the courtyard of the mosque or in the public square at Kufa, while still others say that he was buried in Karkh, a quarter of the old city of Baghdad. But notwithstanding these various suggestions, the Shi'ite scholars are generally agreed, and it is the more popular belief, that Ali ibn Abu Talib, the fourth caliph and the first Imam, was buried in Najaf, which is a little more than four miles away from Kufa.

Ibn Jubayr tells us that "in the mosque at Kufa there is a pulpit which is surrounded by a circle of steps of sandal-wood. It is elevated above the court and is like a small mosque. This pulpit is a memorial to the Amiru'l-Muminin, Ali ibn Abu Talib, and it was at this place that the miserable and accursed Adbu'l-Rahman ibn Muljam struck him with a sword. People repeat a form of salutation here and pray and weep."\(^3\)

But that the Shi'ite community as a whole have usually regarded Najaf as the place where Ali was buried is plainly indicated by the thousands of graves that are seen just outside the town. Any visitor will remember vividly that to the north and the east of the town there are "acres of graves and myriads of domes of various colours and in various stages of disrepair."\(^4\) Whoever goes to Najaf will follow a road that approaches the town by a winding course through this vast cemetery, and if he has a well-informed Shi'ite guide he may be told that Abraham is supposed to have come to this village along with Isaac; that there had been many earthquakes in the vicinity, but that while Abraham remained there were no more tremors. On one night, however, Abraham and Isaac went to a different village, and sure enough Najaf was visited with another earthquake. When they returned the people were most eager for them to make Najaf their permanent dwelling-place, and Abraham agreed to do so on the condition that they would sell him the wadi, or valley, behind the village for cultivation. Isaac is said to have protested that this land was not fit for either farming or grazing, but Abraham insisted and assured him that the time would come when there would be a tomb there with a shrine, "at which seventy thousand people would gain absolutely undisputed entrance to Paradise, and be able also to intercede for many others."\(^5\)

The valley that Abraham wanted to buy is called the Valley of Peace (Wadiu's-Salam), and it is related on the authority of the fourth imam that Ali once said that this Valley of Peace is part of Heaven, and that there is not a single one of the believers in the world (i.e., the Shi'ites), whether he dies in the east or the west, but his soul will come to this Paradise. "As there is nothing hidden in this world from my eyes," Ali went on to say, "I see all the believers seated here in groups and talking with one another."\(^6\) And he mentioned also that there is a Wadi Barahut, "and whoever disbelieves in Muhammad and his successors, of whatever nation he may be, will go there when he

---

1 Majlisj, Tofatu'z-Zd'irin, lithographed in Persia, 1274 A.H., p. 53.
3 Majlisj, op. cit., p. 103.
5 Majlisj, op. cit., p. 111.
This declaration is with reference to the famous spring of Barahut in Haramawt, which is said to be a fissure, 33 feet long and 25 feet broad, and that its entrance is filled with burning sulphur.¹

The name of the town Najaf is explained in the traditions. At first there was a mountain there, and when one of the sons of Noah refused to enter the ark, he said that he would sit on this mountain until he would see where the water would come. A revelation came therefore to the mountain, "Do you undertake to protect this son of mine from punishment?" and all at once the mountain fell to pieces and the son of Noah was drowned. In the place of the mountain a large river appeared, but after a few years the river dried up and the place was the earliest is given by Ibn Jawzah, who wrote in the tenth century.²

Of the notices of Najaf in the works of the Arab geographers, the earliest is given by Ibn Hawkal, who wrote in the tenth century. Ibn Hawkal states that the governor of Mosul, at some time from 292-317 A.H., "had built a dome on four columns over the tomb of Mashhad Ali, which shrine he ornamented with rich carpets and hangings: also he surrounded the adjacent town with a wall."³ The ordinary tradition about the founding of this shrine, however, is related by Mustawfi, who wrote in the fourteenth century:⁴

"Two leagues distant from Kufa towards the south-west lies Mashhad Ali, the shrine of Ali, the Commander of the Faithful, known as the Mashhad-i-Gharwa (the Wondrous Shrine). For when Ali had received his death wound, in the mosque at Kufa, he gave it as his will that as soon as he was dead his body should be placed on a camel; then the camel was to be given its head and set in motion, and wheresoever the beast knelt down, there they should bury his body. This being done, it came to pass that the camel knelt at the place where now is the Shrine, and here in consequence was he buried. Now during the reigns of the Umayyad Caliphs his blessed resting-place could not be disclosed, and so it was also under the Abbasids until the reign of Harun-ar-Rashid. But in the year 175 (791) Harun happened to go hunting in these parts, and his quarry fleeing from him took refuge in this very spot. And however much the Caliph urged his horse into the place, into it the horse would not go; and on this awe took possession of the Caliph's heart. He made inquiries of the people of the neighbourhood, and they acquainted him with the fact that this was the grave of Ali. Harun ordered the ground to be excavated, and the body of Ali was discovered lying there wounded. A tomb was afterwards erected, and the people began to settle in its vicinity.⁵

Perhaps the statement that Ali's body was discovered lying there wounded, about 130 years after he had been killed, is one of the passages that may indicate that Mustawfi was himself a convinced Shi'ah. But he goes on to say that a hundred and ninety odd years later than this hunting experience of Harun-ar-Rashid's, "Adud-ad-Dawlah, the Buyid, in the year 366 (977), raised a mighty building over the grave, as it now exists, and the place has since become a little town, the circuit of which is 2,500 paces." We are informed by Ibn Athir that the great Buyid prince, the Adud-ad-Dawlah, and two of his sons were buried here. However, during a persecution of the Shi'ites in 443 (1051), this shrine, that had been built for only a little over seventy years, is said to have been burnt to the ground. But it appears to have been soon restored, at least before the time when the Wazir Nizam-al-Mulk accompanied Malik Shah on his visit in 479 (1086).⁶ Mustawfi adds the observation that when Sultan Malik Shah, the Seljuk, visited Najaf, he noticed a minaret "which was all crooked, so that half rose straight from the ground and half was falling over. He enquired of the matter and was told that Ali had once passed by here, when this minaret, to pay him respect, began to bend over: but the Caliph Ali made a sign to it that it should remain thus."⁷

¹ Encyclopaedia of Islam, Leyden, art. "Barahut."
² Majlisi, op. cit., p. 131.
³ Le Strange, Lands of the Eastern Caliphs, p. 76 seqq.
⁵ Le Strange, op. cit., p. 77.
THE SHI'ITE RELIGION

It is from the latter part of the twelfth century that we have a reference to Najaf in the account of the famous traveller, Ibn Jubayr, has written of his visit to Kufa, for he mentions that he was told in Kufa that the Shrine of Ali was at a point about a farsakh away, where the camel had stopped that carried Ali's corpse, which was wrapped in a curtain.

In the thirteenth century Khulagu Khan captured Baghdad, and there was widespread destruction in the region round about. But apparently the Shi'ites themselves had invited and encouraged the coming of the Mongols, on account of various outrages that had been committed against their community. The Sunnite troops of Baghdad, under the command of the Caliph's son, had deliberately dishonoured the Shi'ites who lived in the village Karkh, by dragging their women out of their harems and carrying them on their horses' crappers with their faces and feet bare in the public streets. "The Visier, who belonged to this sect, was outraged, and sent a letter to the Seyid Taj ud din Muhammad, Ibn Nasir el Hoseini, the rais of Hillah, a famous seat of Shia influence, complaining, inter alia, that Karkh had been plundered, that the sons of the house of Ali had been robbed, the people of the stock of Hashim made prisoners, and the dishonour which had formerly been put upon Hussain, the grandson of the prophet, in the plundering of his harem, and the accompanying bloodshedding, had been renewed. The Seyid replied in the names of all the relatives of the Prophet: 'The heretics must be put to death and destroyed, and their race be uprooted. If you will not side with us you will be lost. You will be despised in Baghdad, as henna, which delights women, is despised by rough men, and as a ring is despised by him who has had his hand cut off.' Khulagu at this time had captured the Ismaelite fortress at Alamut, and the Vizier wrote to him, pointing out the weakness of Baghdad, and inviting him to march thither.' Accordingly, when the Mongols came, we find that "during the siege of Baghdad some of the chief people of Hillah, where the Seyids or descendants of Ali were influential, sent an embassy to

Khulagu with their submission, and stating that it was a tradition among them, derived from their ancestors, Ali and the twelve Imams, that he (Khulagu) would become the master of that district (i.e., of Irak Arab)." This explains why these Shi'ite communities in the vicinity of Baghdad were spared in the Mongol invasion, and this is the reason that, on Khulagu's command, one hundred Mongols were designated "to protect the tomb of Ali at Najaf."" When a little later the Mongol Il-Khans were doing everything possible to enhance the glory of their new city, Sultania, which was about one hundred miles from Kazvin, Uljaitu "entertained the project of transporting the bones of Ali and Hussayn from Najaf and Kerbala respectively, and erected a superb building to receive the sacred remains." He did not live, however, to realize this scheme, and the building he had planned became his own mausoleum.

As Mustawfi's fourteenth century description of Najaf had nothing to say about restorations, so also Ibn Ba'tuša, who wrote about the same time, makes no mention of repairs to the Shrine after depredations by the Mongols.

"We next proceeded to the city of Mashhad Ali, where the grave of Ali is thought to be. It is a handsome place and well peopled: all the inhabitants, however, are of the Rāfīza (or Shi'ah) sect. The inhabitants consist chiefly of rich and brave merchants. About the gardens are plastered walls adorned with paintings, and with them are carpets, couches, and lamps of gold and silver. Within the city is a large treasury kept by the tribune, which arises from the offerings brought from different parts: for when anyone happens to be ill, or to suffer under any infirmity, he will make a vow, and thence receive relief. The garden is also famous for its miracles; and hence it is believed that the grave of Ali is there.

"Of these miracles the 'night of revival' is one: for, on the seventeenth day of the month Rajab, cripples come from the different parts of Fars, Rum, Khorasan, Irak, and other places, and assemble in companies from twenty to thirty in number.

Howarth, op. cit., p. 132.
They are placed over the grave soon after sunset. People then, some praying, others reciting the Koran, and others prostrating themselves, wait expecting their recovery and rising, when, about midnight, they all get up sound and well. This is a matter well known among them: I heard it from creditable persons, but I was not present at one of these nights. I saw, however, several such afflicted persons, who had not yet received, but were looking forwards for the advantages of this 'night of revival.'

In so much as the Abbasid caliphate had been finally and completely overthrown by the Mongols, Baghdad itself very soon became a minor provincial town, and the elaborate system of irrigation that had sustained the surrounding region rapidly fell into disrepair. But for some fifty or sixty years, "while those survived whose education had been completed before Islam suffered this great disaster," there was a distinct survival of culture, so that during the period of the Jalâ’ir or Il-Khanî dynasty there was unwonted literary activity.1 Pilgrimages to the shrines of the Imams who were buried in the Baghdad vicinity were not prohibited, but in fact we observe that frequently these later Mongol princes bore the names Ĥasan and Ĥusain, which suggests their tolerant and sympathetic attitude toward the Shi’ite shrines.

Likewise the Shrine at Najaf does not appear to have suffered destruction during the raids of Timur. When in A.D. 1393 Timur definitely made up his mind to conquer Arab Iraḵ, one of his stopping-places on the way to Baghdad was at the tomb of the saint at Ibrahim Lik, where he "paid his devotions and distributed alms." He arrived at Baghdad on the thirtieth of August and the people opened the gates of the town. The Jalâ’ir sultan, Ahmad Khan, had fled towards Hilla. Timur’s troops combed the surrounding country in search for the fugitive sultan, and finally they came up with him on the plain of Kerbala. It was a hot day and the fighting was indecisive, except that the sultan escaped. The Timurid chiefs, who were in pursuit, feared that they would perish with thirst, and retraced their steps until they reached the Euphrates, at a placed called "Makad" (perhaps mashhad), where Ĥusain, the son of Ali, was killed.

1 Browne, Literary History of Persia, iii, p. 172.
In the eighteenth century Nadir Shah undertook to weaken the influence of the Shi'ite hierarchy. It was with this end in view that he abolished the position of sadr-u's-sudur, but notwithstanding, the imam-jum'a of Isfahan was still generally regarded "as representative of the invisible imam of the house of Ali, who is the true head of the Church." The story was told me in Najaf that while Nadir Shah was not at first a true believer, and sought to do away with the Shi'ite schism and thus to reunite Islam, yet he was subsequently convinced as to the right of the claims of the imams by miracles that happened at the shrines.

For example, it had been commonly said that wine would turn to vinegar inside the walls of Najaf, and also that no dog would enter this city. Nadir Shah accordingly tried to take a bottle of wine along with him when he visited Najaf, and also undertook to make his own dog enter the city. But at the gates of Najaf, Nadir's dog made such violent resistance to their efforts to compel him to enter that they had to kill him, and sure enough the bottle of wine that was taken into the city did turn to vinegar. In consequence of these and other miracles, Nadir is said to have professed his belief in the Imams.

It is recorded that in 1794, Agha Muhammad Khan, the founder of the Kajar dynasty, was so angry at the escape of his rival, Lutf Ali Khan, that he cut off the hands and put out the eyes of the unfortunate and innocent secretary whom he had captured. "The next day, to atone for his cruelty, the Prophet having upbraided him in a dream, he gave the secretary mules, tents, and equipment to go and spend the remainder of his days at the tomb of the holy Ali," at Najaf. This instance shows that although the Shrine was under Turkish jurisdiction, devotees and pilgrims were still accustomed to go there. Even after the great crusade of the Wahabites in 1843, when the shrine at Najaf was looted and lost all its accumulated treasures, it still continued to be recognized as a place of pilgrimage, partly perhaps as an appreciated concession to the Shi'ites of Persia, and partly, doubtless, because its pilgrims were its chief source of income.

While during the Kajar dynasty the shrines of Mesopotamia were under the political authority of the Ottoman empire, the Shi'ite clergy who were resident in Najaf were less subject to interference from military or civil authorities than they would have been in Persia. They were not always content with the influence they exercised in Persia through their theological schools and their association with pilgrims. With Najaf as their centre, the old Shi'ite hierarchy, that had been suppressed by Nadir Shah, began again to assert its authority, and on several important occasions they brought powerful pressure to bear that affected the decision of political questions in Persia. It was from Najaf that the command was issued in 1891 for all believers to cease using tobacco, in order to defeat a concession for a tobacco monopoly, and the consequence was that there was a serious riot in Teheran and the Persian government withdrew the concession. Also, at the time when the Persian constitution was adopted, there was a tacit recognition embodied in it that the hidden imam is the one in ultimate authority. As the Shi'ite clergy are his recognized representatives, this accounts for the number of the clergy who were members of the Parliament during the period of the Kajar dynasty.

At the time when the overthrow of the Kajar dynasty was being openly discussed, when the present ruler of Persia had achieved the virtual position of military dictator, he and his supporters seriously considered declaring Persia a republic. To this proposition the authorities at Najaf protested that a republican form of government would be contrary to Muhammadan law and custom, but intimated that the law would allow a change of dynasty. Accordingly, after a few months of preparation, the Minister of War declared himself to be Shah of Persia, placed the crown on his own head, and founded the new Pahlevi dynasty.

As the Shrine at Najaf appears at the present time, lying beyond the acres of graves, there is a small town, of perhaps twenty-thousand inhabitants, that is enclosed within almost...
square walls. Above these walls one sees standing out conspicuously the gold-plated dome that rises above the tomb of Ali. The interior is decorated with polished silver, with mirror work, and with ornamental tiles. Over the grave itself is a silver tomb, "with windows grated with silver bars and a door with a great silver lock," and in the courtyard there are two graceful minarets that are plated with finely beaten gold. 1

The significance of the pilgrimage to the tomb of Ali is based on traditions from the other Imams. Typical of these is the saying attributed to the Imam Ja'far Ṣadiq, "that whoever visits this tomb of his own free will and believing in the right of Ali—that he was the Imam to whom obedience was required and the true Caliph—for such a pilgrim the Most High will register merit equal to one hundred thousand martyrdoms, and his sins of the past and the present will be forgiven." And when a visitor came in person to visit the Imam Ṣadiq, and remarked that he had neglected to go to the tomb of Ali, the Imam rebuked him: "You have done badly, surely if it were not that you are one of our Shi'ite community; I would certainly not look towards you. Do you neglect to make the pilgrimage to the grave of one whom God and the angels visit, whom the prophets visit, and the believers visit?" The pilgrim replied, "I did not know this." The Imam answered, "Understand that the Amiru'l-Muminin is in the sight of God better than all the Imams, and to him belongs the merit of the works of all the Imams, in addition to which he has the merit of his own works." 2

Before making the visit to the Shrine, according to the Imam Ja'far Ṣadiq, the pilgrim should first bathe and put on clean clothing and afterwards anoint himself with perfume. The formal prayer of salutation that is given by al-Kulaini, 3 and which is very similar to that given by Ibn Babawaihi, 4 begins as follows:

Peace be unto thee, O Friend of God;
Peace be unto thee, O Proof of God;
Peace be unto thee, O Caliph of God;
Peace be unto thee, O Support of Religion;
Peace be unto thee, O Heir of the Prophets;
Peace be unto thee, O Guardian of the Fire and of Paradise;
Peace be unto thee, O Master of the Cudgel and the Brand-iron;
Peace be unto thee, O Prince of the Believers.

I TESTIFY that thou art the Word of Piety, the Door of Guidance, the Firm Root, the Solid Mountain, and the Right Road.

I TESTIFY that thou art the Proof of God to His Creation, His Witness to His Servants, His Trustee for His Knowledge, a Repository of His Secrets, the Place of His Wisdom, and a Brother of His Apostle.

I TESTIFY that thou art the First Oppressed and the First whose right was seized by force, so I will be patient and expectant. May God curse whoever oppressed thee and supplanted thee and resisted thee, with a great curse, with which every honoured king, every commissioned prophet, and every true worshipper may curse them. May the favour of God be upon thee, O Prince of the Believers—upon thy Spirit, and upon thy Body.

A complete translation of any one of these prayers of salutation would be tedious. In his Manual for Pilgrims, Majlisi gives eight long prayers that are appropriate at the time of the pilgrimage to Najaf, 1 and most of these prayers are attributed to different ones of the Imams and have been handed down for centuries, and have been used over and over again by hundreds of thousands of trustful pilgrims to the Shrine of Ali at Najaf.

1 Encyclopedia Britannica, 11th edit., art. "Nejef."
2 Majlisi, Tafṣir e-Zadrīrī, p. 50.
CHAPTER VI
HASAN, THE CALIPH WHO ABDICATED

ACCORDING to Masudi,1 "Hasan ibn Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib was proclaimed Caliph at Kufa two days after the death of Ali, his father. It was in the month of Ramadan, 40 A.H. He sent his agents to al-Sawad and al-Jabal (Persian Iraq). Hasan killed Abu’r-Rahman ibn Muljam, as we have mentioned. Mu’awiya entered Kufa after Hasan ibn Ali had made peace, five days from the end of the month Rab’I, 41 A.H.

The death of Hasan when he was fifty-five years of age was caused by poison. He was buried in the Baki’a cemetery beside his mother, Fatima, the daughter of the Apostle of God."

After the murder of Ali it is related by Ahmad ibn Hanbal2 that it was Hasan who addressed the people, declaring: "A man, indeed, left you yesterday, whom those who preceded him did not excel, and whom those coming after will not equal, for the Apostle of God had surely designated him." Whether this was true in the case of Ali has already been discussed,3 and we wish now to consider whether there is evidence that Hasan was thus formally designated to succeed Ali.

It is very probable that the idea of divine right, expressed by each Imam designating his successor, was not clearly discriminated at first from other ideas of succession. The custom of the tribes of Arabia was to choose the next man in importance in their community, with an eye to his actual authority and capacity for leadership. This was undoubtedly the principle that had determined the appointment or election of the successive caliphs, Abu Bakr, ʿUmar, and ʿUthman. It may well be considered, also, that Ali came into the Caliphate at a time when circumstances

2 Ahmad ibn Hanbal, I, 109.
3 See Chapter I and the Ghadir Khum tradition.

had brought him to the front, independent of any question of heredity or of special designation. It could have been naturally anticipated, therefore, that after the death of Ali, Mu’awiya would succeed to the Caliphate, for as governor of the province of Syria under ʿUthman, he had proved himself to be an exceedingly capable administrator.

But Islam was no longer a united Arabian community, to be governed solely by the ancient customs of the tribes. Ideas of succession by heredity had come to be familiar to those who had shared in expeditions of conquest against the Byzantine Empire in the west, and also to those who had made up armies of occupation in the vanquished empire of the Persians. And there was the teaching of Ibn Saba', that Ali, as Muḥammad’s wasi (or executor), had received from the Prophet a certain divine light or spirit that he could transmit to whom he chose. And during the four years of Ali’s caliphate the Moslem empire had been divided. They had been years of civil strife which had drawn sharp lines between the East and the West. The arbitration plan that was provided for in the armistice after the battle of Ṣiffin had failed to effect any united sentiment. For a time the two rival caliphs, Ali and Mu’awiya, had publicly cursed one another in their prayers. The whole community was exhausted by the years of warfare, and while both sides were recuperating, there was continued tension and uncertainty, such as to make stability of government impossible.

It was in this time of general confusion that Ali was assassinated, and we know that Hasan was acknowledged almost immediately in Kufa as Ali’s successor. According to the accepted Shi’ite tradition,1 before Ali died, in the presence of his family, the “people of the Household,” and the leaders of the Shi’ites, he gave to Hasan the (secret) books and his personal armour. He then addressed him: “O my son, the Apostle has commanded me to give you the designation, and to bequeath to you the secret books and the armour, in the same way that he gave them to me. And when you die you are to give them to your brother Husain.”

1 Kulaini, Usul al-Kafi, p. 110.
At this point Ali turned to Husain, and said to him: “The Apostle has commanded you to give these (secret) books and the armour to this son of yours” (i.e., Ali ibn Husain), and at the time Ali took the little boy’s hand in his own. Then he said also to the boy, “The Apostle of God commanded me to tell you to give these (secret) books and this armour to your son, and to convey unto him, from me, the salutation of the Apostle.”

That Ali actually accomplished any such comprehensive designation of the four succeeding Imams is scarcely probable. Other traditions that are given in the same connection are less ambitious. One narrator says that Ali said to Hasan, “Come near to me so that I may whisper to you what the Apostle of God whispered to me, and confirm to you what he confirmed to me,” and so it was done. Again it is related that Ali called Hasan the walii’-amr, in the sense that he gave him his authority to command; and that he called him also the walii’-dam, in so far as he left it to his judgment as to whether he should avenge his blood. In this connection he is said to have remarked, "If you forgive my murder, then it is forgiven, and if you kill my murderer, let him be killed with only one stroke.”

Perhaps the most reliable Shi’ite account of what occurred after the death of Ali is that which is given by Abu Hanifa ad-Dinawari (A.D. 895), who wrote the Kitab’l-Akhbar al-Tiwid, or Book of Long Histories. This book is most important, as it is written from the Shi’ite point of view, earlier than any of the canonical traditionists, a full hundred years before the Moslem invasion of India, and as a matter of fact Dinawari died only twenty-two years after the last Imam is supposed to have disappeared. According to the account of Dinawari,1


"Ali was buried at night. Hasan offered the prayer, and repeated the takbir five times. No one knows where he was buried. They have related—And when Ali died, Hasan went to the largest masjid and the people gathered about him and gave him their allegiance. Then he spoke to the people as follows:"

'See what you have done, you have killed the Amiru'l-

HASAN, THE CALIPH WHO ABDICATED

Muminin, but before God, observe that he was killed on the same night that the Koran descended, and on the night the book (al-kitab) was taken up and the pen became dry, the same night in which Moses ibn Imran died, and the night in which Jesus was taken up.

They have related—And when Mu’awiya heard of the killing of Ali, he began to get ready and sent on in advance (an army under) Ubaidullah ibn Amr ibn Kuraiz. Then he seized Ayn al-Tamar, and came down to Anbar, with Ctesiphon as his objective. This news reached Hasan ibn Ali when he was at Kufa, and he therefore set out for Ctesiphon, intending to meet Ubaidullah ibn Amr ibn Kuraiz. When he arrived at Sabat, however, he saw that some of his companions were faint-hearted and he withdrew from the battle and camped at Sabat. There he arose and said to the people:

'O people, truly I awoke this morning without malice toward any Muslim, and I perceive that you share this feeling with me. I believe, indeed, and you will not oppose me in the opinion, that the one you dislike from those who have united together (al-jam’a) is more worthy than what you love from the separate group (al-farka); and also I perceive that most of you have given up the fight and have grown fearful of the battle. It is certainly not my desire to lead you to what you dislike.'

When his companions heard this, some of them looked significantly at others. Then some of those who had sympathized with the Khawarij declared, ‘Hasan has spoken blasphemy, as his father blasphemed before him.’ Then one of their number rushed towards him, and they jerked his prayer rug from under him, and seized his clothing, and pulled off the cape from his shoulder. He called, therefore, for his horse and mounted and cried out, ‘Where are Rabia’a and Hamdan?’ They then hastened to him and drove away the people from him. He started to flee towards Ctesiphon, but a man was waiting for him, one of the Khawarij sympathisers, a man called Jarra’il ibn Kabiaf, from the Beni Asad. (This man was hidden) in the darkness of a covered passage, and when Hasan came upon him, he stood up before him with an iron-pointed stick, with which he pierced him in the thigh. But Abdullah ibn Khajal and Abdullah ibn Zabyan fell upon the Asadi and killed him.

‘Hasan, severely wounded, proceeded until he came to Ctesiphon. There he took up his abode in the White Palace, where he was cared for until he recovered. He then prepared for a meeting with Ibn Amr.
Mu‘awiya had approached as far as Anbar, where Kais ibn S‘ad ibn Ubada had camped with his troops on the side of Hasan. Mu‘awiya, therefore, surrounded him.

Hasan had departed (from his army at Suhayt), and when Abdullah ibn Amr arrived, he took his stand and called out, “People of Iraq, truly I also am not anxious for battle, but I am in command of the advance guard of the army of Mu‘awiya, who has halted at Anbar with the troops of Syria. Therefore, convey to Abu al-Hasan my desire for peace, and say to him on my behalf, (to Hasan’s army): ‘I swear to protect your life and to value highly the company that is with you.’” When the people heard this they ceased fighting.

Some of his companions were fearful, he sent Abdullah ibn Amr as an annual grant, (5) that he should give to his brother Ahwaz as an annual grant, (5) that he should give to him (Hasan) the tribute of Anbar and (6) that he should honour the Beni Hashim in his gifts in the same way that he would honour the Beni Abelu’l-Shems. Mu‘awiya wrote them all out with his own hand and sealed them with his seal. He then formally written statement of these conditions and deceptive witness to them. Abdullah brought the agreement to Hasan and Hasan assented to it. In accordance with the agreement, Hasan wrote to Kais ibn S‘ad concerning the peace and to order him to give up the authority to Mu‘awiya and to return to Ctesiphon. When the letter containing this command reached Kais ibn S‘ad, he stood up before the army and said, ‘People of Iraq, you can choose one of two possible courses of action, either to fight the battle without the Imam, or submission to the authority of Mu‘awiya.’ The army chose to submit to Mu‘awiya. They marched on, therefore, to Ctesiphon, and Hasan accompanied the army from Ctesiphon to Kufa, where Mu‘awiya came and the two met. Hasan confirmed to him personally the conditions and stipulations, and then he departed with the ‘people of the Household’ for the city of the Apostle (al-Medina).

Mu‘awiya required the people of Kufa to recognize him as Caliph, and accordingly they gave him their allegiance. He then appointed Mughairah ibn Shu‘bah as their governor, and set out with his army on his return to Syria.”

A similar account of Hasan’s brief caliphat is given by Ya‘kubi, who was contemporary with Dinawari, and who also wrote from the Shi‘ite point of view. He has added, however, a few interesting details. For example, when Jarrah, the Asadî, wounded Hasan with the iron-pointed stick, “he seized him by the beard and twisted it, and pounded his throat till he almost strangled him.” And he tells us that Hasan was terribly exhausted, that sickness oppressed him, and that he was wholly discouraged, for the people had deserted him and Mu‘awiya had captured Iraq and had thus succeeded in establishing his authority. It was therefore when he was very ill and when he saw that he had no more power that he made peace with Mu‘awiya.

When he did so, he entered the pulpit (probably at the time when he returned to Kufa), and after praising God, he said:

“People of Iraq, surely it was God who led you by the first of us, and who has spared you bloodshed by the last of us. I have made peace with Mu‘awiya, and I know not whether haply this be not for your trial, and that ye may enjoy yourselves for a time” (Koran, xxi. 111)."

As-Suyuti says that Hasan sent to Mu‘awiya, “offering to resign the government to him on the condition that the caliphate should revert to himself after him,” but the translator of as-Suyuti observes that he has not been able to find this stipulation mentioned elsewhere. Perhaps the two books that are most referred to in Persia at the present day for details about the lives of the Imams are the Jannatu‘l-Khulud, “Perpetual Gardens,” which gives the biographical data for each Imam in tabular form, and the Rau’datu’sh-Shuhada, “Garden of the Martyrs,” which is frequently read at the services for weeping in memory of the

---

Imams. These books give little additional information about Hasan's abdication. They mention that he had forty thousand troops at first, as against Mu'awiya's sixty thousand, but that Mu'awiya was successful in bribing Hasan's commanding officers. They both show a conscious effort to justify the Imam, and to throw the blame on the cupidity of particular commanders and on the repeated disloyalty of the people of Kufa.

There is uncertainty as to the exact length of the short period that Hasan served as Ali's successor. Masudi says that it was six months and three days, and describes him as "the first caliph to depose himself and surrender his authority to another." The accepted Shi'ite statement is that "his caliphate lasted for ten years and six months, that for fourteen months he himself exercised the caliphate, and for nine years and four months he resigned it to Mu'awiya out of policy (takiyah), and in order to preserve the lives, property, and families of his followers from the aggressions of Mu'awiya and his companions." The fourteen months that he is said to have exercised the caliphate, when compared with Masudi's statement, leaves a discrepancy of almost eight months. This is explained by the fact that during the time when Mu'awiya and Ali were each claiming the caliphate, when Mu'awiya had sent Busr with three thousand men to secure for him the allegiance of Mecca and Medina, and Ali had afterwards sent an army of four thousand to relieve the two sacred cities and to reverse their allegiance, Mecca had sworn fealty to Ali, and Medina, strange to say, had declared allegiance to Hasan, while Ali was still living. If the later Shi'ites dated the beginning of Hasan's caliphate from this first acknowledgment in Medina it would account for the difference of seven or eight months.

There are few apologetic explanations of untoward events in the early history of Islam that have not been incorporated in the traditions. One of the most striking examples of this tendency to explain why things turned out adversely for the "people of the Household," by citing sayings of Muhammad as predictions that such things would happen, is the tradition that Masudi says he found in several books of history, namely, that the Apostle had said, "The Caliphate after me will be for thirty years." As-Sayuti mentions this supposed prediction, and his translator observes that Muhammad died in the year 28 A.H. and that Hasan's abdication occurred in the year 40 A.H., "Whence it is plain," says al-Bukhari, that not only was Muhammad a prophet but Hasan was his rightful successor. Another interesting characteristic of the traditions about the Imams is that there is almost invariably some unique circumstance connected with each Imam's birth. Ali is said to have been born in the Kaaba itself, and while this was not said of Hasan, yet we read that he was born in the house of Ali and Fatima in Medina, which was the only house that was allowed, by the angel Gabriel, to have a door opening into the courtyard of the mosque of the Prophet.

Among the traditions that describe Muhammad's fondness for his two grandchildren, Hasan and Husain, is one that ascribes remarkable foresight to the Prophet, when he had taken little Hasan with him into the pulpit and declared to the people, "Verily this son of mine is a prince, and perchance the Lord will unite through his means the two contending parties of the Muslims." The two grandchildren are said to have resembled both their father and their grandfather, but in different ways. Hasan resembled Muhammad from his belt upwards, and was like Ali from below the belt; whereas Husain resembled Ali in his upper half and Muhammad in the lower. But whatever his superficial likeness to the Prophet may have been, the records show clearly that Hasan lacked the moral force.

1 Janadil al-Khulaf, table number nine; Rawdat al-Shukadd, by Hasan Wa'iez-Kashfi (910 A.H.), ch. vi, pp. 197-177; and Browne, Persian Literature under Tartar Dominion, pp. 441 and 501-4.
4 As-Sayuti, op. cit., p. 191; al-Bukhari, 53/9; Tirmidhi, 46/30; Zayadini, No. 874; and Wensinek, Jannat al-Khulud, table number nine; al-Bukhari, 61/23; Tirmidhi, 51/60 and Zayadini, No. 136.
continued his dissipation, in consequence of which their daughters and continually divorcing to account of his recognized inferiority or for other reasons, there are actual achievement to relate. Mu‘awiya paid his expenses and he daughters to which the following are representative.

The Shi‘ites themselves acknowledge that Hasan had sixty wives and numerous concubines, for we read that “the wives to whom he was legally married numbered sixty, besides concubines and temporary wives. The numbers three hundred and nine hundred have also been given. But he divorced many of them. On this account his Excellency was called al-mīlāk (or the man who divorces many wives).” Complaint had been made to Ali by prominent men that Hasan “was continually marrying their daughters and continually divorcing them,” and Ali’s only answer had been that “they should refuse to give him their daughters to wife.”

During his period of retirement in Medina, there is little of actual achievement to relate. Mu‘awiya paid his expenses and he continued his dissipation, in consequence of which he is said to have died of tuberculosis when he was about forty-five years of age.

But aside from matters of actual history, whether it be on account of his recognized inferiority or for other reasons, there are a smaller number of miracles attributed to Hasan than to most of the other Imams. There are only sixteen mentioned, of which the following are representative.

1. One day Hasan was out in a date grove with one of the children of Zubair. The child wished for dates. There were none on the tree, but Hasan prayed, and the tree produced ripe dates at once.

2. When challenged to do something unusual, he once raised the dead. On another occasion he let his challenger see a vision of three men, chained to a rock, from the crevices of which fire gushed forth. The three (i.e., Abu Bakr, ‘Umar and ‘Uthman) were thus suffering punishment for not having recognized the right of Ali.

3. In Medina, one time he let his whip fall, and a negro brought it to him. In return for this kindness he prayed for the negro, whose skin was immediately made white.

4. A certain Zabir of Ju‘fa had been opposed to Hasan’s making peace with Mu‘awiya. In reply to his protest, Hasan told him of the tradition that the Prophet had said, “My son is a prince, through whom the Lord will unite two contending parties of the Muslims.” But the man appeared not to be convinced, so Hasan made a motion and uttered a sound, and behold the Prophet himself came before them. Hasan therefore appealed to him, and the Prophet told Jabir to believe that the Imam was right in what he did. Ali and Hamza and Ja‘far appeared along with the Prophet, and as Jabir stood amazed, he saw them all ascend up into the sky.

5. The confusion of another doubter was miraculously brought about, when the man in question said, in ridicule of Hasan, “Pray that I may be changed into a woman and my wife into a man.” Hasan was angered and took him at his word. He prayed for just that and so it happened. After a time, however, the two came and humbly repented, and they were restored to their former state.

6. A man came from Mu‘awiya to ply Hasan with difficult questions. He asked him first, “What is the difference between truth and folly?” Hasan answered, “The difference between truth and folly is the breadth of four fingers” (i.e., the distance measured by the fingers between the eye and the ear).

The account that is ordinarily given of Hasan’s death, and the account that the Shi‘ites accept is that after several unsuccessful attempts, he was finally poisoned.

---

As the story goes, arrangements had first been made with Hasan's table servant to poison his food. The servant wrote that he had tried three times and that nothing had happened. A messenger was sent with a letter to the servant and with a vial of such deadly poison that it was said a drop of it in the sea would kill the fish. This particular poison, zar-i-halhid, was described by a Persian shaiikh as a liquid that a peculiar animal (perhaps a skunk) emits sometimes on a hillside, and it is of such virulence that it will kill any other animal it strikes, and if it falls on the ground, it makes crevices in the surface of the earth. But the messenger was hungry and got down from his camel to eat, and when he had eaten he was seized with a violent stomach-ache. As he lay there in misery a black wolf came and devoured him. His camel, however, went on in safety, and the letter and the vial of poison for Hasan's servant were given to Hasan himself, him. His camel, however, went on in safety, and the letter and the vial of poison for Hasan's servant were given to Hasan himself, at a time when he was entertaining some of his friends. When he read the letter he placed it under the rug on which he was sitting, and would not say anything about it, though his friends urged him to do so. He had turned pale, however, and one of his more intimate companions managed to get the letter, and when he and some of the others had read it, in the indignation of the moment, they immediately killed the table servant, whose fell purpose had been thus disclosed.

At another time, in Medina, one of Hasan's wives had been induced to try and poison him. She had been deceived by Marwan, as the Shi'ites relate, into thinking that Yezid, the Caliph's son, was eager to marry her. But of course he could not do so while Hasan was still living. In this way they got her consent to undertake to give Hasan poison.

On her first attempt she put the poison into honey and it made him violently sick. He surmised what had happened and went to the near-by tomb of Muhammad, where he prayed, and rubbed himself on the tombstone. By and by he felt easier and considered that he had been miraculously healed. But from that time on he was gravely suspicious of this particular wife, Ja'dah, the daughter of al-Ashath ibn Kais. She was sometimes called Asama, daughter of al-Ashath ibn Kais. She was eager to marry her. But in Mosul there was a blind man who was at enmity with him, who took occasion to poison the metal tip of his staff, and one day as he came before the grandees for alms, as Hasan was sitting with his legs crossed, having but one foot on the ground, the blind man managed to bring the point of his staff on top of Hasan's foot, and to press it in with all the weight of his body. The surgeons declared that the blind man's staff had been poisoned, but they gave him immediate attention and the wound was not fatal.

But Hasan had not found the peace of mind he wanted in Mosul and returned to Medina, where he arranged to live without having anything to do with the wife whom he suspected, and to observe the greatest precautions about his eating and drinking. Notwithstanding, Asama came at night, with a poison that had been made with powdered diamonds. She found that Hasan and his family were all asleep. Beside Hasan was the vessel that contained his drinking water, and her thought was to put the poison in that water. This vessel was corked with a cloth that was tied tight and sealed. But the cloth was moist and she rubbed the poison well into it. Apparently it seeped down into the water all right, for when Hasan had his daughter break the seal to give him a drink, very soon afterwards he became so desperately ill that he was almost literally turned inside out. At all events, the
graphic descriptions say that he cast off his liver in little bits, in as many as a hundred and seventy pieces. A Persian shaikh remarked that modern doctors say that this would be impossible, and that thus some doubt has been thrown on the details of the story of Hasan’s martyrdom.

The traditions tell us that when Hasan was dying, he predicted that the one who had given him the poison would not attain her object, and accordingly we find it related that after the deed had been done, Mu‘awiya sent her this message, “I value the life of Yezid, otherwise we would surely arrange with you to marry him.”

The story is told that in compliance with Hasan’s request, they took his body to the place where the Prophet had been buried. He had forewarned his friends that probably A‘isha would protest and not allow him to be buried there, in which case they were to take him to the Bak‘i’ cemetery, and bury him beside his mother. When, therefore, they took Hasan’s body to the Prophet’s tomb, Marwan carried the information to A‘isha, and she came out to protest. She was mounted astride on a mule. She declared that it would be a dishonour to the Prophet to bury Hasan there. This so angered Hasan’s half-brother, Ali’s third son, Muhammad, the son of the Hanifite woman, that he answered her, “When you came out against my father, you were mounted on a camel; now that you come to insult us, you are mounted on a mule; and the next time you come out to disgrace Islam, you will be mounted on an elephant.”

Provoked by this abuse, A‘isha turned to the Beni Umaiyya and asked if they would stand by and hear her so disrespectfuly addressed. They asked what they should do, and she replied, “Shoot your arrows into the corpse!” This they did, seventy arrows in all, and after that the Alids buried Hasan in the Bak‘i’ cemetery, as he had requested, beside his mother, Fatima.

1 Mas‘udi, op. cit., p. 3.
2 Dinawari, op. cit., p. 235.

CHAPTER VII

HUSAIN, THE IMAM WHO WAS KILLED IN BATTLE

Ali is said to have preferred Husain to Hasan, saying, “Hasan is a spendthrift, thinking of nothing but the pleasures of the table and of entertaining, but as for Husain, he is mine and I am his.” They were both along with Ali at the time of the uprising in Medina against Uthman, and when Uthman was killed, Ali was either actually displeased with them both, or else he feigned displeasure, because they had been so near at hand and yet had not prevented Uthman’s murder. During the lifetime of Hasan we do not find that the people expressed any preference for Husain, and there is no mention of any sect that believed that the Imamate should have passed directly from Ali to Husain because of outstanding personal qualifications. When Hasan abdicated, Husain also retired with him to Medina, and for the remaining years of Mu‘awiya’s reign he refused to allow himself to be induced to lead in any active opposition.

The suggestion to constitute the Caliphate an hereditary office was made to Mu‘awiya by his able assistant, al-Mughairah ibn Shu‘bah, who is said to have been the earliest Mu‘ammaran forger of false coins. It was on his advice that homage was obtained for Yezid while Mu‘awiya still lived. This aroused considerable indignation among the Alid party in Kufa, who were waiting only for the death of that dominant and efficient leader in Damascus, Mu‘awiya, until they would reassert the claims of the Prophet’s household.

Following the account given by Mas‘udi for the straightforward story of the martyrdom of Husain, and omitting for the

1 Encyclopedia of Islam, art. “Husain.”
moment the mass of legendary material the Shi'ites have added, we read that when Mu'awiya died, the people of Kufa sent to Husain and professed their desire to pay homage to him and declared that they would be loyal. He would have not a mere party in his favour, so they said, but they would restore the whole union of the tribes. Husain himself had not as yet paid homage to Yezid, and had managed to go from Medina to Mecca.

Mu'awiya is said to have foreseen some such development, for on his deathbed he cautioned Yezid, "As for al-Husain, the restless men of Irak will give him no peace till he attempt the empire; but when thou hast gotten the victory, deal gently with him, for truly the blood of the Prophet runneth in his veins." 1

From Mecca Husain sent his cousin Muslim to Kufa, saying, "You go on ahead to the people of Kufa, and if what they have written to me is the truth, let me know and I will overtake you." In agreement with this commission, Muslim started out from Mecca about the middle of the month of Ramadan, and he entered Kufa on the fifth of Shawwal. The deputy governor of Kufa at the time was Nu'man ibn Bashir, al-Ansari.

Muslim entered the city secretly and put up in the house of a man called Awsaja. News of his arrival soon got abroad, however, and twelve thousand men, some say eighteen thousand, declared to him their readiness to swear allegiance to Husain. Muslim therefore sent word of this to Husain and urged him to come.

But when Husain was ready to comply with Muslim's request, Ibn Abbas came to him and protested, "Cousin, I understand that you are planning to go to Irak, but let me assure you that the people there are faithless and will not support you in battle. Pray do not act thus hastily, and in case you are determined to fight this tyrant, and if you do not like the idea of remaining in Mecca, then set out for Yemen. There you would be in retirement and there are your brothers and your true helpers. That is the place for you to stay, and from there you could publish your proclamation, and from there also you could write to the people of Kufa and to those in sympathy with you in Irak. They could then dismiss their governor. If they should persevere in this and drive him out from them, there would then be no one to oppose your coming to them. But if they should fail to do this, you could still remain in your place until God would make the way clear. And you would be where there are strongholds and mountain passes."

"Cousin," Husain replied, "I recognize the truth and friendliness of your advice, but on the other hand, Muslim has written me that the people of the great city had pledged themselves to acknowledge my right and to defend me. I must therefore consent to go among them." "But they are a people," Ibn Abbas interrupted, "with whom I have had experience. They were companions of your father and of your brother. If you start fighting to-morrow with their governor, as soon as you begin your undertaking, Ibn Ziyad will hear of your expedition, and will succeed in frightening them so that they will be afraid to support you. Before God, I bear you witness that the very ones who wrote you are your enemies. At any rate, if you refuse my warning and are determined to go, I pray you not to take the women and the children, for I solemnly declare that I am afraid that you will be killed as Uthman was killed, and his women and children saw it happen." "If indeed I die on the battlefield," said Husain, "I witness before God that that will be better than to live in dishonour in Mecca."

When Ibn Abbas got this final answer, he left his presence, distressed and disheartened.

Ibn Zubair had also heard that Husain was planning to go to Kufa, and he likewise came to see him. But he was personally jealous of Husain and nothing would please him more than the departure of his rival. When therefore he came into his presence he said, "O father of Abdullah, what are your plans? I have been afraid at times that you were giving up the holy war of this people against those who oppress them, and who treat with contempt the honest servants of God." Husain informed him, "I am planning to go to Kufa." He replied, "May God prosper you! Truly, if I had as many supporters as you have in that place

---

I would prefer Kufa to any other city.” Then he feared that perhaps he had been too insistent, and he added, “On the other hand, if you remain in your camp, and if you ask us and the people of the Hedjaz to recognize you as Caliph, we will gladly do so and will obey you, for you are more worthy of that office than Yezid or the father of Yezid.”

When Yezid heard what was under way he made ʿUbaidullah ibn Ziyād the military governor of Kufa. Accordingly, ʿUbaidullah left Basra in great haste and arrived at Kufa the following day at noon. As he entered the city, he mingled with his officers and his family, and he wore a black turban that covered his face, and he rode on a mule. The people were expecting the arrival of Husain, and in response to the salutes of Ibn Ziyād they called out, “Peace to the son of the Prophet, may his way be prospered!” And as the company advanced, Nuʾman ibn Bashir, who was the deputy governor of the city, and who had taken refuge in the fortress, shouted to him, “O son of the Prophet of God, what difficulty has arisen between thee and me; that you should come to my city before all others?” To this Ibn Ziyād replied, as he raised the covering from his face, “My dear Numan!” And Numan knew him and opened the door to him.

When Muslim heard of the arrival of Ibn Ziyād, he changed his residence and went to stay with Hani ibn Urwa, al-Muradic. But Ibn Ziyād found out where he was and sent Muḥammad ibn al-Ashath to ask Hani about him. Here the accounts differ. Maṣʿudi says that Hani sent Ibn Ziyād a disrespectful reply, in answer to which the latter had Hani brought before him, and he struck him in the face with a rod, struck him a blow that broke his nose and split open his eyebrow and tore his flesh. He then broke the rod over his head. But the companions of Hani heard him and started the cry, “Our master is killed!” Ibn Ziyād did not kill him, therefore, but had him imprisoned near by, and his companions dispersed. But Yaḵubī explains the matter differently. He said that when Ibn Ziyād came to Kufa, he heard that Hani was seriously ill, and as he was one of his particular friends, he went at once to inquire for him. But Hani had conspired with Muslim, that he and his company should hide within the house, and when Ibn Ziyād came, he would receive him himself in the courtyard, “and when Ibn Ziyād comes and is seated, I will call, ‘Bring me some water!’ and on this signal you will come out and kill him.” But although Hani gave the signal three times, Muslim and his men failed to act. Ibn Ziyād’s suspicions were aroused and he arose and left abruptly. It was after this, according to Yaḵubī, that he sent and demanded Muslim, specifying certain conditions on which he would be safe.

However this may be, fighting ensued near the house of Hani, and Muslim gave the rallying signal for the friends of Husain to assemble, shouting “O Mansur!” Immediately eighteen thousand men gathered together, and Muslim led them against Ibn Ziyād, who had taken refuge in the fortress. But these men were no sooner assembled than they began to disperse, and Muslim found that he had scarcely one hundred men, and hence he withdrew to a quarter of the city called Kanda. When he arrived there he had only three men who still followed him, and then he passed in through a door, and behold there was not a man left.

Perhaps this statement that Maṣʿudi has recorded is an exaggerated representation of the perfidy of the people of Kufa. At least we know that Yaḵubī says simply that in the fighting that ensued at the house of Hani, Ibn Ziyād captured Muslim, killed him, and dragged him by the feet in the bazaar, and that he also killed Hani for having rendered assistance to Muslim.

But Maṣʿudi goes on to say that when Muslim found himself utterly deserted by his followers, he got down from his horse and walked confusedly through the streets, not knowing where to turn, when he came to the house of a slave woman, who belonged to al-Ashath ibn ʿĀis. He asked this woman for a drink of water, which she brought for him, and as she gave it to him, she asked him who he was. When he told her she waited on him and gave him shelter. But her son came home and
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learned about the refugee and his hiding-place, and early in the morning this son went and told Ibn al-Ashath, who immediately informed Ibn Ziyād. "Seize him and bring him to me," was the reply, and to accomplish this Ibn Ziyād sent Abdullah al-Sulami, with a force of seventy men. These men rushed heedlessly upon Muslim at the door of the house, but Muslim resisted them so effectively with his sword that he succeeded in driving them out. They came upon him a second time, but again he put them out. When they saw the difficulty they were having, they climbed up the outside walls of the house and threw stones down upon him, also baskets of reeds that they had set on fire. When he perceived what they were doing, he cried out, "What a multitude has gathered to kill Muslim ibn Ākil!" With sword in hand, therefore, he dashed out on to the road and fought desperately. First he exchanged blows with Bukair ibn Ħumrān al-Āmār. Bukair's blow hit Muslim on the mouth and lashed both his lips. But in return, Muslim struck him one blow on the head and another on the shoulder, and the latter blow cut close to the heart. And as he struck these mighty blows, he kept reciting a verse of poetry, which was to the effect that he did not fear death, but only lest he should be the victim of falsehood or wrong desire.

Muḥammad ibn Ashath approached him and assured him of protection, and he trusted his life to him. They mounted him on a mule and brought him to Ibn Ziyād.

At the gate of the palace, he saw a vessel of fresh water and asked for a drink. When they gave it to him, he put it to his lips, but the blood from his mouth filled the cup, so he poured it out and asked for another. He put this second cup to his lips, but his teeth fell into it and it was again filled with blood. "Praise be to God!" he exclaimed, "if he has not destined me this means of living, I will not drink." They took him, therefore, before Ibn Ziyād, who had him beheaded, and his body was hung up in Kūfa on the same day that Husain was to start out from Mecca, the eighth of Dhu'l-Ḥijjah, 60 A.H., and his head was sent to Damascus. He was the first of the Beni Hashim, as Maṣʿūdī says, whose body was suspended to the public gaze and whose head was sent to Damascus.

When Husain reached Kādisiyah he was met by al-Hurr ibn Yezīd al-Tamimī, who asked him, "Where are you going, O son of the Apostle of God?" Husain replied, "I wish to go to this great city." He informed him then of the killing of Muslim, with all its gory details, and said, "You had better return, for there is no hope of your success." When they started to return, however, the brothers of Muslim said to him, "Before God we swear that we will not return until we have taken vengeance, or until we perish in the attempt." But Husain answered, "Life without you would mean no more to me," and he continued on the march.

Yaḵubi says that this Hurr ibn Yezīd had been sent by Ibn Ziyād, and that he forbade him to return to Medina.

At this juncture they saw a troop of cavalry approaching, troops of Ibn Ziyād, under the command of ʿUmar ibn Sʿād ibn Abu Wakkas. They turned, therefore, towards Kerbala, with their small body of five hundred horsemen, which consisted of members of Husain's family, and followers, and about one hundred other men. And when he saw the number of their enemies and that there would be no escape, Husain cried out, "O God, judge between us and this people, who indeed summoned us and promised to defend us, but who are now fighting against us."

To complete Maṣʿūdī's graphic story, Husain "did not cease fighting until he died, may God be pleased with him. And the man who gave him the fatal blow was an Arab of the Beni Madhhij, and it was this man who cut off his head and who took it to Ibn Ziyād. Ibn Ziyād ordered him to take the head to Yezīd ibn Muʿāwiyah, and when he came into the presence of Yezīd, who was sitting with the Abu Barza al-Aslamī, and the head was placed before Yezīd, he struck it on the mouth and said, 'We have taken the lives of those who were dear to us, but who became rebellious and unjust.' And Abu Barza protested, 'Withdraw your staff, for have I not seen the mouth of the Prophet on this mouth in a kiss?'

"All the troops that took part in the battle that brought
about the death of Husain were from Kufa. There was not a single Syrian among them. And those who died with Husain, on the tenth day of Muharram, were eighty-seven people. Among them was his oldest son, Ali ibn al-Husain. Hasan's sons, Abdulla and Kāsim and Abu Bakr, were also killed. And the brothers of Husain that were killed, all of them sons of Ali (but not of Faṭima), were Abbas, Abdulla, Ja'far, ʿUthman, and Muḥammad the Younger.

"Husain had reached the age of fifty-five (some say fifty-nine). On his body they counted thirty-three strokes of the lance and thirty-four blows of the sword. Zorāh ibn Sharīk gave him the severest blow with the sword. There were four Ansāri who perished with him, but all the rest were from various Arab tribes. ʿUmar ibn S'ad ordered his horsemen to trample the body of Husain underneath their horses' feet, for he had lost eighty-eight men in the conflict."

While Mas'ūdī, who has been called the Heroditus of Islam, was not disposed to sacrifice a good story in order to adhere too scrupulously to the bare facts of history, nevertheless, this description of the death of Husain, which has been translated with some condensation, and with a few sidelights from Yaḵūbī, is distinctly different from the more legendary accounts of later Shi'ite writers.

In the earlier traditions there is not much recorded about Hasan or Husain, except as it had to do with Muḥammad's affection for them as his grandsons. On one occasion when he was speaking, the little boys stumbled and fell, and the Prophet is said to have stopped to lift them up. It is considered that they both resembled Muḥammad in their personal appearance. And Tirmidhī and Ibn Madīja and al-Dārīmī all mention a time when the Prophet took them with him on his riding mule. And Muslim has recorded that Muḥammad once said that Allah intends to purify ʿAlī, Faṭima, Hasan and Husain. There is also the tradition related by Ahmad ibn Hanbal, that Muḥammad had told ʿAlī with distress that Ġabriel had just appeared to inform him that Husain would be killed on the banks of the Euphrates, which will be recognized as typical of many of the traditions that appear in the works of later Shi'ite theologians.

There has been much discussion as to what was done with the head of Husain. Ibn Khallīkān points out that it was in Ascalon that the head of Husain, the grandson of Muḥammad, was interfered before its removal to Egypt; and that al-ʿAfḍal Shāhan-shah built the "Chapel of the Head" at Ascalon. Ibn Baṭūṭā remarks that "from Jerusalem I paid a visit to Ascalon, which was in ruins. In this place was the mashhad (place of martyrdom), famous for the head of Husain, before it was removed to Egypt." In Cairo there is a mosque of the Ḥasaneyn, where, during the month of Muḥarram, there are particular days when dervishes go solemnly around a sacred tomb that is said to contain the head of the martyred Husain.

But the Shi'ites of Persia look with the greatest reverence upon the plain of Kerbala, where Husain's body was trampled under foot. They recall that one of his wives was the daughter of Veẓdegīr, the last Sassanian king, and look upon his death at Kerbala as a great national calamity, which they have kept fresh in their memories by frequent services of weeping, and by the widespread Persian Miracle Play in the month of Muḥarram. The shedding of the blood of Husain, the grandson of the Prophet, on the plain of Kerbala, has also come to be regarded as having a sacrificial-value. This is evidenced in the development of doctrine and in the growth of pilgrimage customs that are distinctive of the Shrine of Husain.
CHAPTER VIII
KERBALA, THE MOST SIGNIFICANT SHI'ITE SHRINE

WHEN we visited Kerbala, I remember leaving the hotel in Baghdad at four o'clock in the morning, and how we dashed across the desert at top speed in an old-fashioned "Model T" Ford car. Shortly before six o'clock we went more slowly in heavy sand, as we wound our way through groves of date palms that surround the sacred city. It was summer time, and here and there we saw folks who had spent the night under the trees. They were lighting their samovars to make ready the early morning tea, or were leaning over a little stream of water in an irrigating ditch, vigorously washing their teeth with sticks that they had softened on one end.

The city lay three or four miles further on, within the gardens, and without any protecting wall. Accordingly, a few minutes later we were seated at a coffee-house, almost opposite the Shrine of Husain, and were making a hearty breakfast of hot Persian bread, tea and ripe dates. Strange to say, in the Persian coffee-house one always drinks tea, for there is rarely anything else available. I had gotten out of the car to take a photograph on the main street, which approaches the Shrine, but from this angle the view of the golden dome was spoiled by the conspicuous clock-tower.

From where I sat in the coffee-house I could see the delicate and intricate designs of the tile work on the Shrine entrance and on the minarets. Across this entrance was the iron chain which marked the barrier beyond which the "unclean unbeliever" must not pass. A visit to this Shrine with faith in Muhammad as a prophet, and in Husain as the God-appointed Imam, is a momentous and significant undertaking, for it entitles the pilgrim to such privileges as that the roof of his house will never fall on his head, and that he will never be drowned or burned or injured by wild beasts. But for one who was not a believer to go beyond those forbidding chains was an offence that might very likely be punished by death, as the result of mob violence, for such an act would be regarded as a desecration of the sacred area around the tomb.

As I thought how easy and incidental my coming to Kerbala had been, I realized that a mere traveller belongs in an entirely different category from the pilgrims. There were some of these pilgrims in the coffee-house, who had just come out of the bath. They sat there, with their bright red towels wrapped about their naked bodies, and busied themselves sipping tea. In a few moments they would don clean clothes and make the "ziarat," or formal visitation, with scrupulous regard to all the ceremonial regulations.

One old man, with a kindly, trustful face, was letting his tea get cold, for he went on saying his prayers. And every time he prostrated his head to the ground, his forehead touched a little clay tablet. Several shops in the main street had hundreds of these tablets, presumably for sale, but I was told that one of the Imams had said that to sell the clay that had been taken from the area made sacred by the blood of Husain would be like selling his very flesh. But when we left Kerbala, the chauffeur gave twenty cents for a box of matches, and he took several of these little clay tablets as the change. Pedlars of these tablets would bring a hundred or so of them on a tray, as a nominal present to a likely looking pilgrim, saying that he would not name a price, but that he would collect the price from him on the Judgment Day. It is because they usually get their reward in this life, however, that the custom has come to be such a nuisance to the wealthier pilgrims. Indian merchants arrange to buy these tablets at about Rs. 3 per hundred, and in many places in Kerbala there are cellars that are literally full of them.

The clay for these tablets is supposed to be taken from the spot where Husain was killed. A rosary of this clay is the one most commonly used. It consists of thirty-four beads, and with this
rosary the devout believer utilizes his spare moments in saying 
"God is great" thirty-four times, and "Thanks to God" and 
"Praise to God," each thirty-three times. When a Shi'ah dies, 
he is most fortunate if he can have a necklace of clay beads 
around his neck, a clay ring on the forefinger of his right hand, an 
armlet of clay on each of his arms, and a little of the dust that is 
swept from the tomb should be bound in a cloth and gripped in his 
right hand, and it is well if the sheet, in which the body is wrapped 
for burial, should have words of the Koran written upon it with 
this clay.

The most authoritative guide-book for Shi'ite pilgrims is the 
Tofatu'z-Za'iran, or "A Present for Pilgrims," which was written 
by that voluminous and popular writer, Muhammad Ba'kir 
al-Majlisî, in the sixteenth century. This book specifies very 
particularly that it is only the clay from the sacred area around 
the tomb of the Imam Husain that has healing properties. The 
seventh Imam, Musa ibn Ja'far, is said to have declared that had earned the lasting hatred of all the Shi'ites by ordering the 
clay from a tomb is generally forbidden, for it is like eating the 
God's will that a man should die, then even eating this clay will do no good. Any one who keeps a tablet of this magic clay on his person is said to be protected from disease and misfortune. If 
a man eats the forbidden flesh of the pig, and dies, the Imam 
Ri'da gave it as his opinion that he would refuse to conduct his 
funeral service unless the offender had on his person the clay from 
the tomb of the Imam Husain. And if evil spirits or unbelievers 
of jinns should pass a man who has this clay with him, it is 
believed that they would perceive a sweet fragrance, though the man himself might not be conscious of it.

The clock in the tower said it was three o'clock (i.e., three hours 
after sunrise), and sure enough, as I looked at this tower, I saw 
the makeshift repair work that had been done with petrol tins 
that Kermit Roosevelt had noticed. I then walked over to the 
forbidding chains and looked eagerly into the courtyard. How 
I wished I could go inside and spend the whole day studying the 
tile work and reading the inscriptions. I had what had been 
gleaned from the Arab geographers in Le Strange's indispensable 
book, The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, where the author pointed 
out that there must have been some sort of a shrine here even 
previous to A.D. 850, for it was then that the Caliph Mutawakkil 
had earned the lasting hatred of all the Shi'ites by ordering the 
Shrine of Husain to be destroyed by flooding the place with water. 
Afterwards we read that the site was ploughed and sown, and 
that the pilgrimage was forbidden under heavy penalties.

That some sort of a building was again erected, early in the tenth 
century, probably, is indicated by the references of Istakhri and 
Ibn Hawkal, but a few years later, when the Buyid dynasty 
came into power, not assuming to displace the Abbasid caliphs, 
but at the same time effectively relieving them of their secular 
authority, the Aqūd ad-Dawlah, the same prince who built the 
Shrine of Ali at Najaf, put up a magnificent memorial to Husain, 
here at Kerbala in A.D. 979. But as the power of the Buyids was 
short duration, we read that in the year 1016 the dome of this 
splendid shrine was burned down. The next traveller to visit 
Kerbala, apparently after the dome had been restored, was 
Malik Shah, in 1086.

There is no description of Kerbala and the Shrine of Husain, 
however, that is earlier than that of Mustawfi, in the fourteenth
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century. This also is far from satisfactory, for in addition to ascribing the original building to the Aḍūd ad-Dawlah, the author remarks merely that in his own time a small town had grown up around the shrine, 2,400 paces in circuit. But Ibn Batūta, who wrote at about the same time, mentions an influential theological school, and gives some particulars about the shrine. "The holy threshold of the actual tomb," he says, "which the pilgrims kissed on entry, was of solid silver; the shrine was lighted by numerous gold and silver lamps, and the doorways were closed by silken curtains." As to the little town, he adds that "it was then mostly a ruin, from the ceaseless fighting of rival factions among its inhabitants, but it stood among many groves of date palms, well watered by canals from the Euphrates."

I was standing there at the entrance, wondering how best to get to the historic points of interest, when I heard what sounded like antiphonal singing. A caravan of pilgrims was arriving, under the escort of a professional guide. As they approached, they were chanting after their leader a special pilgrimage psalm of salutation, the same, I thought, that has been translated as follows by Garcin de Tassy:

"Que l'Éternel daigne accepter les vœux que je forme pour le repos de l'âme glorieuse des deux braves imams, des deux martyrs bien-aimés de Dieu, les innocentes victimes de la méchanceté, les bien heureux Abou Mohammed el-Haṣan et Abou Abd-Allah el-Hoṣain; et pour tous les douze imams, les quatorze purs et les soixante-douze martyrs de la plaine de Kerbela."

There they were, the weary pilgrims, arriving from distant places. They had not come in cars, but had been from four to eight weeks on the road, rising every day at sunrise and spending eight to twelve hours riding their mules. There were men and women, most of whom looked as though they were villagers, or perhaps artisans or shopkeepers. Interestingly conspicuous among them were several old women, grandmothers whose life ambitions were now being realized. I studied their faces as they passed by, wending their way to a near-by caravanserai. There was something sternly serious about it all.

Could we realize what this pilgrimage meant to them? All their lives, whenever a child was circumcised, whenever a couple was married, whenever anyone died, a priest had been called in and some part of the tragic story of what happened at Kerbala had been recited, while everybody wept. They knew the marvellous blessings the Imams had solemnly promised to all who would make this pilgrimage. And were not these promises written in books and had they not heard them read every night or so on the journey? From childhood they had heard that there are 4,000 angels surrounding Husain's grave, angels that are weeping day and night, and whatever pilgrim comes from any place, even from the frontiers, these angels go to meet him. If he falls ill, they go to his assistance, and if he dies, they go to his grave and pray for his forgiveness. They had been told that God himself is responsible for all the material needs of the pilgrims, and that he will pardon his sins for fifty years. Most of them had come with little money, and they knew just how many days they could afford to buy bread in Kerbala and still have enough money left for the return journey. There were gratuities also that must be sparingly given, for their money had come from family savings that had been accumulated slowly, the kind of money that goes into insurance policies in countries where religious pilgrimages are no longer in vogue.

The analogy suggested by the insurance policy is useful, for the pilgrimage manual promises not only additional years of life to those who pray with faith in this shrine, but stipulates what one might call insurance benefits, that anyone who makes this pilgrimage with great eagerness will have the merit of 1,000 pilgrimages to Mecca, and of 1,000 martyrdoms, and of 1,000 days of fasting, and for freeing 1,000 slaves. The following year, also, the devils and evil spirits will in no way harm the pilgrim, but God himself will be responsible for him. And if he should die, the angels will see to burying him, and on the Resurrection Day, he will rise with the followers of the Imam Husain, whom he will

---
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recognize by the flag that he will carry in his hand, and the Imam will triumphantly escort his pilgrims directly to Paradise. Or, according to another statement, if the pilgrim dies in Kerbala, we learn that the angels will wash his body and take him to Paradise, where there are ten thousand dirhems awaiting him in compensation for what he spent on the pilgrimage. On the day of resurrection, also, all those who are buried in any one of the shrines of the Imams, no difference what sins they may have committed, will be subject to no examination, but will be tossed, as it were from a sheet, directly into Paradise, and the angels will shake their hands in congratulation.

For here on the plain of Kerbala, according to the best authorities, the body of Husain was trampled under foot by the four thousand mounted troops of Úmar ibn S'ad. Here, with sixty-two, or seventy-two, of his companions of the "people of the Household" (of the Prophet), he gave his blood to mingle with the soil. It is thus that Kerbala has become sacred to that great group of Muḥammadans whose first loyalty was always to the family of the Prophet. They commemorate the death of Husain in their Muḥarram procession, which is the climax of a sort of passion play in which they act out all the tragic events that occurred at Kerbala.

It is not strange that the traditions relate remarkable things about so sacred a place. On the authority of the sixth Imam, the Prophet Muḥammad is said to have remarked that the angels brought the sacred dust of Jerusalem to Kerbala, knowing that Husain was to be buried there, and that it was a full thousand years beforehand that they began to prepare the place for his burial. The fourth Imam, who was Husain's own son, is said to have given out the information that the virgin Mary came miraculously from Damascus to Kerbala, and that Jesus was born at the very place where Husain is buried, and that the same night she returned with the child to Damascus. We read also that Ali passed across the plain of Kerbala with some companions, and when he arrived at the place where Husain was to be killed, he said, "Truly two hundred prophets, and two hundred repre-
sentatives of prophets, and two hundred sons of prophets have longed to be buried here.¹

We know that the ḥā'ir or "enclosed area" that is sacred to Husain in Kerbala was mentioned by Tabari as early as A.D. 915, and that there was at that time a cult of official priests of Kerbala, "who were supported by endowments founded by Umm Musá, mother of the Caliph al-Mahdi."² It was when Tabari was only twelve years old that the Caliph Mutawakkil had attempted to do away with the graves of Husain and his companions by flooding them with water. But according to Shi'ite tradition, the immediate area containing the graves was miraculously kept dry, and it is this area that is designated in their guide-books as the ḥā'ir.³

The photograph taken from the air shows that there are two shrines in Kerbala, both of which are considered as lying within this sacred area. They are very similar, for each has minarets, a clock tower, and a large covered pavilion; each has a surrounding sahn, or courtyard, with groups of rooms like those in a caravanserai; and the two central buildings are of nearly the same shape and size, with space to allow the pilgrim who enters to make the tawdīf, or circumambulation of the tomb. But there are also distinguishing features between the two shrines that are immediately observed. The one in the foreground has three minarets instead of two. This is the Shrine of Husain, the dome of which gleams forth in the sunlight, resplendent with its gold plate. The shrine in the background of the picture was built in honour of Abbas, the half-brother of Husain, and its dome is of that lustrous blue tile that is so pleasing against the clear Persian sky, and that appears like a massive turquoise when you see it under a cloud. This Abbas is said to have been most courageous in battle, and the tradition has grown up that the most dangerous place to take a false oath is at his shrine, for as a Shi'ite friend explained, "he was not an Imam, who would be expected to have mercy, but a most exacting man of valour."
The best way to appreciate the significance of both of these shrines is to read an account of the final suffering of Husain and his immediate followers. If we follow the direct narrative of al-Dinawari (A.D. 895), who was one of the earliest and best of the historians with Shi'ite sympathies, we read that the companions of Husain did not cease killing and being killed until there remained only the people of his immediate household. Of these, the first one to advance and fight was Husain's son, Ali Akbar, who did not cease fighting until he was killed. He was struck by a lance and knocked to the ground, and afterwards killed by the sword. One after another, six more of them were killed in individual combats, and among them were Abdulla, the son of Muslim, and Kasim and Abu Bakr, two sons of Hasan.

They say that when Abbas ibn Ali saw this, he said to his brothers, Abdulla and Ja'far and Uthman, "Charge with me, and defend your master until you die fighting before him!" They charged, therefore, all together, and threw themselves between the Imam Husain and the enemy, to protect him with their persons. But one after another, Abdulla, Ja'far and Uthman were killed. Abbas, however, still stood by the Imam Husain, to fight in front of him and to move with him wherever he went, until he also was killed. The Imam Husain was then alone, and Malik ibn Bishar al-Kindi came forth against him and struck him with a sword on his head. He was wearing a burnus, a cloak of silk and wool, apparently over his head, and the sword cut this garment and wounded him on the head. He then put aside the cloak, and called for a cap and put it on with a turban and sat down. He called a small boy, perhaps the one who brought the cap, and had him sit with him on a rock. But while this boy sat on the rock with the Imam Husain, he was shot by a man of the Beni Asad, with a broad-headed arrow that killed him. Husain remained a long while, sitting there, for every tribe relied on the other and hated to advance to kill him. He was thirsty, however, and called for a vessel of water, but when he was ready to drink, a man called Husain ibn Numair shot him with an arrow that entered his mouth and prevented him from drinking. He set the vessel down. When the people saw this they withdrew, but when he stood up to walk to the river, they took a position between him and the water, and he returned to his former place. A man from the people shot an arrow that stuck in his back. He removed the arrow, but Zur'ah ibn Sharif struck him with a sword. Husain tried to ward off the blow with his hand, but the sword made quick work with his hand. At last, Sinan ibn Aws al-Nakha'i came forth against him and thrust him with a lance, and he fell. Ḥawf ibn Yazid al-Āṣbaḥ then fell upon him to cut off his head, but his hands trembled, so his brother, Shibal, cut off the head and handed it over to Ḥawf.

It is more than a thousand years since this tragedy occurred at Kerbala, and tens of thousands of pilgrims are still coming every year. Chiefly from Persia, but to some extent from almost all parts of the Muslim world, they come to visit these sacred tombs. They often carry with them the remains of particular ones of their relatives, who stipulated in their wills that they were to be buried in Kerbala. To the right of the entrance to the Shrine of Husain there is a stairway that leads to a huge underground vault that is perhaps two hundred yards long. The bodies of foreign pilgrims are brought in boxes, and those that are accepted for burial here are kept in stacks in this vast sepulchre. There is a similar arrangement for burial in connection with the Shrine of Abbas, and I was told that bodies were not placed in either of these great underground caverns for less than a fee of five hundred rupees. Inside the Shrine of Abbas, and next to his grave, the guide points out the Treasure Chamber of the Martyrs, where the sons of Husain and others of his household are buried.

At the entrance to the Shrine of Abbas, the visitor is warned by a verse in large characters, "Do not put your feet on this threshold irreverently, for this is the place for the prostration of angels and emperors." The dome here is not of gold, for they say that Nadir Shah, who built it, was admonished in a dream, in

---

which he thought that he saw Abbas, who is usually called Abu Fazl, and that he heard him say, "As I am younger than Husain, and am the dust of my master's feet, so in your construction you should consider the difference between the master and the slave." Inside the shrine, however, are many fine Persian rugs, which have been given by merchants, whose names are woven in the corners. There are silver and gold chandeliers hanging inside the dome, and the grave itself is surrounded by a simple silver grating. Within the grating, on the tomb itself, lies a turban and a sword, which tradition affirms were used by Abbas in his last heroic struggle. But perhaps the most significant thing to be seen here is a black, round patch on the ceiling of the dome, for the story is related that this is the head of a man who took a false oath in this shrine, and at once his head left his body and went smash up against the ceiling. At least, the story emphasizes the fact that the great majority of the Shi'ites will hesitate to swear falsely in the name of Abu Fazl. Pilgrims to his tomb go around the grave three times, and when they come under the black spot on the ceiling they confess their sins and pray for God's mercy.

But surrounding the grave of the Imam Husain, in the shrine with the gold dome, there are two gratings. The inner one is of gold and the outer one of elaborately carved silver. This silver grating was given by Nasir-i-din Shah, and bears his name. The pilgrims bring offerings of money and of jewellery, which they place inside these gratings. Particularly at times when they make sacred vows, which are made conditional on the Imam's assisting them in their desires, they have their presents deposited within the golden grating. At intervals the gratings are opened and the treasures are removed and officially appraised before they are sold as part of the revenue of the shrine. This opening of the tomb chamber is something of a ceremony, and representatives of the civil government take pains to attend.

A fortunate eye-witness of this official collecting of the treasures and sweeping of the tomb told me what he saw. He said that first two priests were chosen by lot. First they bathed themselves, for their ceremonial purification, in a tank of cold water in the courtyard. Then their bodies were wrapped in white sheets, like grave clothes, which each man had fastened with strings at his neck, wrists and ankles. This was not only in order that their clothing should be ceremonially clean, but that they might not even be suspected of carrying away in their clothing any of the treasures they would collect. Thus arrayed, they prostrated themselves before the tomb and made their way on all fours inside the grating. First they brushed the dust from the various treasures they found, taking care not to scatter it, for the dust itself is valuable. After a few minutes white mice were seen scurrying about the tomb. They had been attracted there by a special bread that is put inside the grating sometimes by men who have lost their positions, and who want the Imam to intercede for them. When the priests who were sweeping noticed these white mice, they called out in a loud voice, "Behold the angels are working with us!" and the people broke forth in a prayer of salutation to the Imam, for according to tradition the angels can transform themselves into any form they choose. After about three hours of this careful dusting and sweeping, along with the collecting and sorting of the treasures, the jewellery was brought out and with it a quantity of the sacred dust, which they had carefully gathered. The precious ornaments, necklaces, earrings, etc., were turned over to the Shrine treasury, as part of the regular income, and the priests took the sacred dust to wrap up in little bits of cloth. A small amount of it, wrapped in a cloth, is called a surreh, and is readily sold to pilgrims, for it is considered that if a little of this dust is buried with a man, the forgiveness of his sins is assured.

At the conclusion of the ceremony there was what is called a rauda khudni, or memorial service, in thanksgiving. There was no word or spirit of rejoicing, however, but simply a rehearsal of the tragic happenings at Kerbala so long ago. Devoted shrine attendants marched around the tomb and beat their bare shoulders with iron chains, to which nails and small knives were fastened.
Naked to their waists, and glistening with perspiration, they passed round and round, shouting "Husain! Husain!" in honour of their martyr of martyrs, and their trusted mediator or intercessor on the Day of Judgment.

CHAPTER IX

THE IMAM ALI ASGHAR, ZAIN AL-ABIDIN

After the death of Husain the Alid party was divided on the question of succession. Should the next Imam be the surviving son of Ali, Muhammad, who was not a son of Fatima but of the Hanafite girl? History represents this Muhammad as a man of more force of character than either Hasan or Husain, and there was a large party who supported him for the Imamate. They were known as the Kaisanis, and they have been fully described by both Sunnite and Shi'ite authorities. They accepted the doctrine that had been formulated by the Saba'ites, that there is a divine spirit that dwells in all prophets, and that passes from one to another. This spirit was transferred as they said, at Muhammad's death to Ali, and from Ali it went to his descendants who succeeded him in the Imamate. They believed that the millennium was at hand and that the number of Imams was limited to four, i.e., Ali and his three sons, Hasan, Husain and Muhammad. Professor Nicholson has given a translation of some verses from al-Kuthayyir that are quoted by Shahrastani in his description of the Kaisanis:

Four complete are the Imams,
  of Kuraish, the lords of Right:
  Ali and his three good sons,
  each of them a shining light.
  One was faithful and devout;
  Kerbala hid one from sight;
  One, until with waving flags,
  his horsemen he shall lead to fight,
Dwells on Mt. Radwa, concealed;
  honey he drinks and water bright.

1 Ibn Khaldun, Muhaddama, Arabic text, Quatremèêe, Part I, p. 357
2 Alamu'l-Huda (Sayyid Murtada), Tabstratu'l-Awwam, ch. xix.
3 Shahrastani, Religious and Philosophical Sects, edit. Cureton, p. 132.
But the other faction of the Alids recognized that Muḥammad ibn Ḥanīfyya was in no sense a descendant of the Prophet, and they asserted that Husain had actually designated his son Ali to be his successor in the Imamate. While the theory of the Imamate involves the necessity of each Imam designating his successor, it will be observed that wherever possible this designation follows the recognized order of heredity. As Husain's oldest son, Ali Asghar, had been killed at Kerbala, the succession fell to another son, Ali Asghar, or "Ali the Younger," who was afterwards called Zain al-Abidin, the "Ornament of the Pious." He was one of the five survivors of Husain's family after the slaughter at Kerbala, the others being his aunt, his brother Umar, and his two sisters.

According to Ibn Sa'd, Ali Asghar was not less than twenty-three years of age at the time when his father was killed, and it was because he was ill and had not taken part in the fighting that Umar ibn Sa'd had spared his life. Dinawari describes him as a boy, but it is probably misleading to associate him with his brother Umar, who was just four years old, as one of Husain's "two little sons." The five survivors of the Family of the Tent were taken to Ubaidulla ibn Ziyad, the governor of Kufa, and he sent them on their journey to Medina, and when they arrived they told all the gruesome details of what had happened at Kerbala. Thus a splendid opportunity was afforded for someone to arouse the indignant people against the Umayyad oppressors, who had unnecessarily shed the blood of the direct descendants of the Prophet.

It was Abdulla ibn Zubair who took advantage of this opportunity. He was a man in his early sixties, an opportunist perhaps, and ambitious in a selfish way, but nevertheless a man with real capacity for leadership. He had been associated with Husain and Abdu'l-Rahman in their refusal to comply with Mu'āwiya's request that they approve his appointment of Yezid as his successor. The fact that he encouraged Husain to go to Kufa has been attributed to insincerity of friendship, on the belief that he foresaw what would happen and realized that when Husain was well out of the way, he would then have his great opportunity. However this may be, when the people of Medina were furiously excited by the atrocities the survivors had been relating, Abdulla, who was, on his mother's side, a grandson of Abu Bakr, spoke to the inhabitants of Medina who assembled in the mosque, and emphasized especially the treachery of the Kufans. The result was that the people of Medina, and later the inhabitants of Mecca also, acclaimed Abdullah ibn Zubair as their Caliph. He was himself, however, content with the title, the "Protector of the Holy House."

The two cities therefore united in revolt against Yezid, each appointing a separate commander. Abdulla established his
 headquarters in Mecca, and devoted his energy to arousing all Arabia against the Umayyads. But very promptly, in the year 63 A.H., according to Yakubi, Muslim ibn Uqba was sent from Damascus on a punitive expedition against Mecca and Medina. This expedition appears to have been more in the nature of a raid, for while we do not hear that the army of Ibn Zubair was defeated, we know that several of the leaders in the rebellion were killed and that the inhabitants of Medina were subjected to violence and rapine, and also that the mosque of the Prophet was desecrated.1

After three days of destruction and bloodshed in Medina, Muslim sat in state and gave opportunity for those whose lives had been spared to come before him and declare that they were ready to be the slaves of Yezid. And among those who came was Ali ibn Husain, and Muslim gave him a seat with him on his own carpet, and said, “The Caliph gave me special instructions concerning you.” Then Ali answered, “Truly I disapproved entirely of what the people of Medina did.” Muslim therefore took him to his house in honour.2

Afterwards, part of Muslim’s army, now under the command of Husain ibn Numair, marched on to attack Mecca. In the course of the siege they resorted to shooting firebrands and the sacred Kaaba was set on fire, and much destruction was wrought in the city.3 This siege of Mecca is said to have lasted sixty-four days,4 when suddenly word came of the death of the Caliph Yezid, which meant that the attacking army returned to Damascus and Abdulla ibn Zubair had opportunity to reorganize his forces. He kept his army busy with numerous expeditions against the Kharijites, rebuilt the Kaaba, and as he had been acknowledged as Caliph in the Hedjaz, in Irak, and in southern Arabia, he was able to maintain a rival court in Mecca for as long a period as nine years.

But the people in Kufa were not satisfied either with Marwan, who had succeeded Yezid in Damascus, or with Ibn Zubair, who had established his authority in Mecca. The Kufans had come to believe that they had made a fatal mistake. “What excuses,” they were asked, “would they have when they would come before God, and how would they be able to look the Prophet in the face, when they had slain his grandson?” There was no way of atonement but by avenging Husain’s death. The appeal was successful, but as they were few in number, a letter from Sulaiman ibn Surad, now chosen with a few others as their leaders, was sent out far and wide to all the members of the Shi’ah sect, and many heartily responded and promised aid. They appointed five men, who had been companions of the Prophet, to consult as to what should be done. They met in Sulaiman’s house and agreed that the trouble suffered in Kufa was on account of their action towards Husain, and that they must therefore repent and seek for pardon. Sulaiman told the people that their first duty was to repent and then act. They bowed their heads and sought pardon, and stood up and drew their swords and upheld their lances, and unanimously agreed to clear the earth of the murderers of the family of their Prophet, and to make an end of them and their frivolous rulers, and to appoint Zain al-Abidin as Caliph.1

These “Penitents,” led by Sulaiman, went first to a place called al-Warada in Irak, “to avenge the blood of Husain,” and to carry out what God commanded the Beni Israel, when he said “Be turned then to your Creator, and slay the guilty among you; this will be best for you with your Creator” (Koran, ii. 51). It was their intention to depose the two rivals, Marwan and Abdulla ibn Zubair, and to make Ali ibn Husain the Caliph. A multitude of the people had followed Sulaiman, and Marwan sent Ubadulla ibn Ziyad against them, with the promise that if he would subdue Irak, he would be made governor of that province. He soon encountered Sulaiman, therefore, and did not cease waging war against him until he killed him.2

As soon as Sulaiman had been killed, the uprising in Kufa

---

4. Encyclopaedia of Islam, art. “Abd Allah ibn Zubair.”
subsided, but nevertheless, the cry for vengeance for the blood of Husain would not be suppressed. A man who had been associated with the Kharijites, al-Mukhtar ibn Abu Ubaid, came to Kufa and professed to represent Zain al-Abidin, who was still in Medina. He soon gained the authority of a popular leader in Kufa and took sudden vengeance on the men who had been responsible for the killing of Husain and his followers at Kerbala. Shamir and Umar ibn Sa'd were both executed, and he sent their heads to Zain al-Abidin. And after gaining the victory over Ubaidulla ibn Ziyad in the battle on the Zab, in which Ubaidulla was killed, Mukhtar had his head taken to the very place in the palace in which Ubaidulla had received the head of Husain.

Ibn Zubair, however, did not consider that Zain al-Abidin was in any way responsible for these actions of Mukhtar, for while he steadily pursued the latter, until he defeated him and killed him in battle, he left Zain al-Abidin unmolested in Medina. The Imam had received overtures from Mukhtar, but he had disdained to answer the letter, and had publicly denounced Mukhtar in the Mosque of the Prophet at Medina. For two or three years longer Ibn Zubair was able to retain his authority at Mecca, but because of his wars with the various Shi'ite factions, his strength was waning, and the cause of the Umayyads was correspondingly strengthened, so that in 73 A.H., when the famous Hajjaj besieged Mecca, Ibn Zubair was defeated and slain.

It was during this period of disturbed political conditions that the divergent theories of the Caliphate received the most attention. One of the mooted questions was the attitude to be taken towards the "two Shaikhs," Abu Bakr and Umar. All aspirants for leadership among the Shi'ites were required to express themselves as to whether they regarded these first two Caliphs as usurpers. Also the question of the right of succession, as between Muhammed ibn Hanifiyya, the half-brother of Husain, and Zain al-Abidin, the son of Husain, was a living issue and created factions, some of which came to be regarded as separate sects. The efforts of the Shi'ite party to gain the temporal power in the Muhammadan empire had been repeatedly disappointed, and the final result was that they modified their theory of the Imamate and came to regard the Imams as primarily spiritual guides and intercessors.

It was shortly after the death of Ibn Zubair that Muhammad ibn Hanifiyya went along with Zain al-Abidin to Mecca to see if they could not determine which of the two really had the right of succession. "Muhammad said that he was the most worthy, as he was the son of Ali ibn Abu Talib. But Zain al-Abidin replied to his uncle, 'Fear God and make no such claim,' and accordingly they agreed to appeal to the Black Stone (hajaru'l-aswad). Muhammad prayed for a sign, but no answer came; then Zain al-Abidin prayed, and the stone was so agitated that it nearly fell out of the wall of the Kaaba. Then came, in eloquent Arabic, the answer that he was the true Imam after Husain, to which decision Muhammad consented." After this settlement at the Kaaba, Zain al-Abidin returned to Medina, where he led a quiet and retired life, with only a few intimate friends who visited him for religious purposes.

Most of the biographers who have mentioned anything about the private life of Zain al-Abidin, have called special attention to the fact that his mother was a Persian princess. Ibn Khallikan has related the story that when the Moslem army came to Medina

---

1 Canon Sell, op. cit., p. 11, quoting Sahifat' Al-Abidin, p. 184.
2 Ibn Khallikan, Arabic text, Bulak, Vol. I, p. 347. To this statement concerning Zain al-Abidin's mother and her sisters, Ibn Khallikan adds the interesting story from the Kamil of al-Mubarrad, that shows the unfortunate status of the sons of slave mothers, on the one hand, and the recognition of the value of the Persian royal blood on the other. An unnamed man of the Kuraish related: "I was associated with Sa'id ibn Musayyib, and one day he asked me, 'Who are your uncles on your mother's side?' I answered, 'My mother was a slave, and I saw that when I said this he despised me. I was silent, however, and one day Salim the son of Abdullah ibn Umar al-Khattab came to see him. When he went away I asked, 'And who is his mother?' He said, 'A slave.' On another day Ghassim came and sat with him, and when he went away, I asked, 'O uncle, who is this man?' He said, 'Do you not know your own people; that is strange, for this is Ghassim the son of Muhammed ibn Abu Bakr.' I said, 'And who was his mother?' He said, 'A slave.' Some time afterwards Ali ibn Husain came and greeted him and soon went away. I said, 'O uncle, who is this?' He replied, 'He is the man whom all Muslims must recognize, Ali ibn Husain ibn Ali ibn Abu Talib.' I asked, 'Who was his mother?' He replied, 'A slave.' Then I said, 'O uncle, you looked with contempt on me when I told you that my mother was a slave, so what do you have to say about these men?' After that he always respected me very highly."

---

with the women and children they had captured from Persia, during the Caliphate of 'Umar ibn al-Khattab, there were among the captives three daughters of the Persian king, Yezdigird. The other captives had for the most part been sold as slaves, and 'Umar commanded that these three young women should also be sold. Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib was present, however, and objected, "The daughters of kings should not be treated like the daughters of common men." In reply, 'Umar inquired, "In that case, what is best to do with them?" Ali answered, "Their value should be determined by consultation, and then, whoever is willing to pay that price should take them." When accordingly their value had been determined, Ali took them himself, and gave one of them to Abdulla, the son of 'Umar, one to his own son, Husain, and one to Muhammad, the son of Abu Bakr. And all of these Persian women gave birth to sons for their Moslem husbands. Abdulla's son was named Salīm, Husain's son was called Ali, Zain al-Abidin, and Muhammad ibn Abu Bakr's son was called Ghasim. It was for this reason that Zain al-Abidin was sometimes spoken of as "son of worthy parents," and it was pointed out that the Prophet had said that God had chosen the Kuraish as the best of the Arabs and the Persians as the best of the non-Arab peoples. There is a tradition, also, that one time someone said to Zain al-Abidin, "You are the kindest of men to your mother, but we do not see you eating with her out of the same dish." To this he answered, "I fear that I should put my hand upon something she had set her eyes upon, and thus I might displease her."

After the death of Ibn Zubair, Zain al-Abidin lived quietly in Medina for approximately twenty years longer. During the time when others had been continuing the struggle for political supremacy, he had become widely known for his extreme sorrow, after the killing of his father, and for his remarkable devotion in prayer. It was this latter characteristic that earned for him the name Zain al-Abidin, "the Ornament of the Pious," which is the name that is said to be recorded for him in Paradise. And he is represented as one of the five or six most copious weepers in the world's history. Adam wept in repentance for three hundred years, Noah wept for the iniquity of the peoples, Jacob and Joseph wept for forty years on account of their separation, John the Baptist wept in fear of Hell, Fatima wept excessively for her father, and so it was that Zain al-Abidin had wept for Husain and those who perished with him at Kerbala. The story is told that at times his grief was so excessive that one day when he was praying on the roof, a stranger passed and water struck him in the face. It had shot out from a drain pipe when it was not raining, and he learned on inquiry that at times the Imam wept so copiously that his tears would run off from the roof in the drain pipes.

Every night he was said to repeat seventy takbir in prayer, and to read the entire Koran through once. And so pleasing was his voice that the men who carried heavy skins of water along the street below would stand entranced and listening. Owing to his repeated prostrations, calloused places had formed on his knees and on his forehead that were said to be like the foot of a camel. One of his most remarkable experiences was the time when the Devil assumed the form of a dragon and tried to distract him in his prayer by biting his foot. The Imam felt great pain but he did not look up until he had finished praying, when he perceived that it was the Devil annoying him and ordered him away.

His self-control must indeed have been remarkable, for once when a slave spilled a dish of thick soup all over the Imam's head and neck, he refrained from reprimanding him, but on the contrary, he graciously gave him his freedom.
In praise of his generosity, it is said that he would himself go out at night and carry bags of wheat, or flour, to houses where he knew the people were hungry, that he fed from one to three hundred families this way every night, and that they would not know who brought the food. And in the daytime he would have a hundred sheep a day killed for meat, which would be distributed to the people. But much of his time he spent sitting on an old piece of matting, fasting all day, or eating a little barley bread. One writer mentions that he claimed to get nourishment from merely the smell of food.

A man who was poor and in debt came to him and asked for something to eat, and he gave him what he had at hand, a loaf of very hard bread. The man found it hard to bite and traded it at a fisherman's shop for a most unpromising looking fish. But when he opened the fish he found an exquisite and exceedingly valuable pearl, the sale of which enabled him to settle his accounts and to live in comfort.

In his personal appearance the Imam Zain al-Abidin is described as much like Ali. He was about the same height, had reddish hair, a white face and neck, and a large chest and stomach—the latter being explained as a sign of valour. He was the first one of the Imams to have only one wife, by whom he had one son, Muhammad Ba'ir, who succeeded him in the Imamate. He had fourteen other children by his numerous and unenumerated concubines.

An incident that is said to have provoked the jealousy of Hisham ibn Abd al-Malik occurred when both he and the Imam had gone on pilgrimage to Mecca. They were making the circumambulation of the Kaaba, and had come to the place where the pilgrims press forward to kiss the black stone. Hisham found that in his pilgrim's garb he was not distinguished from the rest of the crowd, whereas he was the son of the Caliph. He was amazed and chagrined also to see the crowd voluntarily make way for another, the Imam Zain al-Abidin. To make matters worse, the poet, Farazdaq, was present and celebrated the incident in verse, which aggravated Hisham exceedingly. The Shi'ite

The Imam Ali Asghar, Zain al-Abidin

 Traditionists declare that Hisham then arranged that he should be poisoned. 1 He died in 94 or 95 A.H., during the caliphate of Walid, while Hisham was still a young man. Zain al-Abidin, however, was fifty-seven years of age, and it is well within the range of probability that he died a natural death. He was buried next to his uncle Hasan in the Baki' cemetery in Medina. The years of his life are enumerated as follows: two years with Ali, ten years with Hasan, ten years with Husain, and thirty-five years as the Imam.

1 These details may be found in the Tadhkiratul-'A'imma, ch. vi, p. 130 f., and in the Janatu'l-Khulud, table No. 9. The stories of the miracles are given more at length in the Khulasatu'l-Ahbar, ch. 32. These books represent what is actually taught and believed rather than what can be historically determined.
CHAPTER X

THE IMAM MUHAMMAD AL-BAKIR, "THE AMPLE"

MUHAMMAD AL-BAKIR succeeded to the Imamate as a spiritual function during the last year or so of the caliphate of Walid. This was the culminating period of the glory of the Umayyads at Damascus. "The arts of peace prevailed; schools were founded, learning cultivated, and poets royally rewarded; public works of every useful kind were promoted, and even hospitals established for the aged, lame and blind." The new Imam, who lived quietly in Medina, as his father had done, was recognized as the leading interpreter of the Shi'ite faith for about nineteen years. The time of his death, however, is difficult to determine with certainty, for Yākubi places it as early as 117 A.H., while Mas'udi says that he lived as late as 125-126 A.H. The date 113 A.H., the one preferred by Stanley Lane-Poole in his Muḥammadan Dynasties, is probably at least ten years too early.

Muḥammad al-Bakır is said to have been highly esteemed for his learning as well as on account of his noble birth. According to Ibn Khallikan, he received the appellation al-Bakır (the Ample) because he collected an ample fund (tabaḵkār) of knowledge, but Yākubi says that he was called al-Bakır because he split open (bakara) knowledge, that is, he scrutinized it, and examined into the depths of it.

2 Ibn Sa'd (Tabahat, v, p. 238) gives traditions that he died in 114, 117 or 118 A.H. Yākubi (History, ii, p. 284), says that he died in 117 A.H. Mas'udi (Muhyi al-Dhakār, vi, p. 17) gives it as his opinion that Muḥammad Bakır died in the reign of Walid II (125-126 A.H.), though he mentions that others say that he died in the previous reign of Hisham (105-125 A.H.), and that still others assert that it was not until the following reign of Yazid III, or as late as 126 A.H.
3 S. Lane-Poole, Muḥammadan Dynasties, p. 72.

He was born at Medina on Tuesday, the third of the month of Safar, 57 A.H., and is said to have been three or four years old on the day his grandfather, Husain, was killed. His mother was known as Umm Abdulla, and was a daughter of Hasan, the son of Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib.

In his life of respectable and scholarly retirement at Medina, the Imam al-Bakır was frequently called upon to explain particular teachings in regard to the Imamate. A synopsis of his teaching in the Maʿāthiru'l-Bakır is given in Canon Sell's Ithna 'Ashariyya, an interesting part of which may well be quoted, as it shows the emphasis at this early period on the intellectual and spiritual character of the Imamate.

"A man one day said to him, 'Was the Prophet heir to all the knowledge of the prophets?' He replied, 'Yes'; then he was asked whether he had inherited it. He said he had. He was then asked if he could raise the dead to life, restore sight to the blind, and cleanse the leper. He said, 'Yes, by the valour of God Most High.' He therefore put his hand on the eyes of a man and blinded him completely, and then restored his sight. Many more such stories are told.

"He discoursed fully on many topics, such as the nature of the soul of man, the qualities of the ulama (the learned) and the nature and attributes of God. He discouraged arguments about the divine nature, saying that it was not possible for men to understand it. One day a Muʿtazili leader asked what the anger of God meant. He said it was simply punishment, but that this anger was not to be compared to the anger of men. God's nature did not change. He defined a Rasul as a prophet who hears the voice of the angel (of revelation) and sees the voice of the angel under the same conditions, but does not see the angel form or in a dream; a Nabi, he said, is a prophet who also hears the voice of the angel under the same conditions, but does not see him; and the Imam's condition is like that of the Nabi and not like that of the Rasul. He said that the Imams were pure and that the 'men of the House' were free from sin; that all the world was under their rule, that through them the eye of God's mercy

1 Canon Sell, Ithna 'Ashariyya, pp. 18-19.
falls on men; and that, if they did not exist, men would perish, and that they should not fear though worthless fellows might deny all this.

"The Imam Baṣir, in defending his claims to the Imamate before the Caliph Hishām, quoted this verse: 'This day have I perfected your religion unto you and fulfilled my mercy upon you and appointed Islam to be your religion' (Koran, v. 5). He went on to say that the open revelation being thus perfect, the Prophet had made known other secret matters to Ali. From amongst the men of 'the House,' Ali had appointed one special person as his confidant, to whom this heritage of the knowledge of secret things came down. Hishām replied that as God allowed no partner in the matter of knowing the secret things, how could Ali make such a claim? In reply Baṣir repeated many sayings of the Prophet, showing the mutual relationship between himself and the high position accorded to Ali. On hearing all this Hishām was silent for a while, and then permitted Baṣir and his companions to return home. Neither the pomp nor the power of the Caliph influenced the Imam, who boldly and without fear answered all the questions put to him."

In 122 A.H., we are told by Ibn Khallikan, Zaid, the brother of Muḥammad Baṣir came forward in his own behalf and summoned the people to espouse his cause. This occurred in the reign of Caliph Hishām. Yusuf ibn ʿUmar al-Thakafi, the governor of the two ʿIrāq, dispatched al-ʿAbbas al-Murri with an army against the insurgent chief. Zaid was struck by an arrow that was shot by one of al-Murri’s soldiers, and he died of his wound. His body, however, was fastened to a cross and set up in the Kunasa of Kufa, and his head was carried to the different cities of the empire and there exposed.

When speaking of this uprising of Zaid, Masʿudi says that in the year 121 or 122 A.H., Zaid consulted with his brother, Muḥammad ibn ʿAli ibn Husain, who warned him not to put any reliance on the people of Kufa, with details of how they had treated the people of the Household formerly. Zaid, however, did not heed his brother’s warning but led the people of Kufa in another vain rebellion, with the results that have been mentioned. This warning that was given by Muḥammad Baṣir is treasured by the Shiʿites as an illustration of his supernatural knowledge. But it should be observed that if this warning was given at about the time when Zaid rebelled, then any earlier dates assigned for the death of Muḥammad Baṣir are obviously incorrect.

Shahrastani mentions that a disagreement had arisen between Zaid and his brother Muḥammad Baṣir because Zaid had been following the teaching of the Muʿtazilite, Wasil ibn ʿĀṭa. Zaid had quoted something from those who attributed error to Ali in killing the deserters, and who had said that Ali had motives that were different from those the people of the Household assigned to him. He had also asserted that the status of an Imam was conditional upon his appearing publicly to claim his rights. And in answer, Muḥammad Baṣir said to Zaid, "Your faith then is merely in your father, as such, for according to your theory he was not an Imam, for he certainly never came forth to assert his claims."

There is uncertainty about the cause as well as the time of the death of Muḥammad Baṣir. Some say that he was poisoned by Ibrahim ibn Walid, but according to the ordinary account, another Zaid, his cousin, the son of al-Hasan, quarrelled with the Imam over the question of his inheritance. He seized him by his clothing and was about to stab him, but agreed however that they should go to the ʿkāzī, or judge. When the judge gave his decision in favour of the Imam, Zaid carried the case to the Caliph Hishām. Influenced by Zaid’s false accusations, Hishām sent a present of gold to the Governor of Medina, with instructions that he should secure the Imam’s inheritance, or the documents involved, and send the same to him. Apparently the Imam was
The Abbasids did not trace their descent from Muḥammad, but from his uncle, al-Abbas, who had supported ʿAlī after the death of Muḥammad in preference to himself. And when ʿAlī was finally made Caliph after the death of ʿUthman, he appointed Abbas's son, Abdulla, as governor of Basra. But the family could not look back with pride to Abdulla, for when ʿAlī wanted him to render an account of the money he had received, he took offence and left Basra, and at the same time carried away a sum of money that has been estimated at six million dirhem. He fled to Muʿāwiya, who was shrewd enough to include him among the young nobles to whom he gave large annual stipends, and thus under the protection of the Umayyads he devoted the remainder of his life to literary work. He is mentioned as "the real founder of ʿOzaric Exegesis," though it sometimes appears that he had little more integrity as an historian or traditionist than he had had fidelity as an administrator.1

This renegade's son, however, ʿAlī ibn Abdulla ibn Abbas,2 was the pride of the Abbasids. Born the same night that ʿAlī was assassinated, A.H. 40 (662), he was later esteemed as "the handsomest and most pious Ḫuraṣhite of his time." But he could ill abide the way the Umayyad rulers and their agents were vigorously persecuting the entire Hashim clan. Plotting secretly against the Umayyads, in the caliphate of Walid I, he was apprehended and consequently banished to a village called Ḫumaima, in the province of Sharāt, on the border between Arabia and Palestine. He lived in this village until his death in A.H. 117, and it was here that his son, Muḥammad, organized and maintained the headquarters of the Abbasid faction.

At their headquarters in Ḫumaima they were close enough to Damascus to realize fully that the Umayyads had lost their fighting strength by allowing the Arab tribes to settle in the cities, where they had wasted their native vigour in dissipation, and where their arrogance had made the Arab name despised.

As has been pointed out, "it was the lust of conquest more than missionary zeal that caused the Arabs to invade Syria and Persia, and to settle on foreign soil, where they lived as soldiers at the expense of the native population whom they inevitably regarded as an inferior race. If the latter thought to win respect by embracing the religion of their conquerors, they found themselves sadly mistaken. The new converts were attached as clients (Mawāli, sing. Mawla) to an Arab tribe: they could not become Moslems on any other footing. Far from obtaining the equal rights which they coveted, and which, according to the principles of Islam, they should have enjoyed, the Mawāli were treated by their aristocratic patrons with contempt, and had to submit to every kind of social degradation, while instead of being exempted from the capitation tax paid by non-Moslems, they still remained liable to ever increasing exactions of Government officials. And these 'clients,' be it remembered, were not ignorant serfs, but men whose culture was acknowledged by the Arabs themselves—men who formed the backbone of the influential learned class and ardently prosecuted those studies, Divinity and Jurisprudence, which were then held in highest esteem. Here was a situation full of danger."1

At this point, when the discontent with the Umayyad military oppression was at its height, a strange coincidence brought about a union between the two most powerful branches of the Hashimids. The Imam, or leader, of the Kaisānīs at the time was Abu Hashim, the son of Muḥammad ibn al-Hanafiyya. He had been called to Syria to visit the Caliph Hishām. The Caliph was aware of the agitation the Kaisānīs had been carrying on in distant Khorasan, and he feared Abu Hashim as a capable leader of rebellion. Accordingly he arranged that poisoned milk should be given to him. Zaydan relates that "Abu Hashim felt the poison in him as he journeyed, and turned aside to Ḫumaima, the headquarters of the Abbasid faction, and was entertained in the house of their leader, Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Abdulla ibn Abbas. And when Abu Hashim saw that he was about to die, it is said that he

---


2 See art. "ʿAlī b. ʿAbd Allah b. ʿAbbas" in the Ency. of Islam.
ready for such an emergency, however, for he gave the Governor a box that contained spurious documents. These were sent to the Caliph, but when they were shown to Zaid he recognized that they were not genuine. According to the Shi'ite story, the Caliph gave Zaid a saddle that had been treated with poison, and Zaid managed matters so that this saddle was given to the Imam, who used it and died from the effects of the poison. But to show how the divine judgment intervened to thwart Zaid's plans, it is further related that Zaid immediately fell ill, and that he lost his mind "so that he did not say his prayers until he went directly to the punishment of God."

Stories of the miracles wrought by the Imams are accepted as authentic by the great majority of the Shi'ites and are recorded at length in their most popular books as convincing proofs of the authority the Imams exercised. To Mu'ammad Ba'kîr they have attributed thirty-one of these miracles. After having predicted the death of a man two days before the event, the Imam asked one of the friends who was much impressed, "Do you not know that we see from near at hand and from afar, both what is hidden and what is evident? None of your works are concealed from us, and it is thus therefore that you must look upon us as your protectors." On another occasion the Imam accurately predicted the dismissal of the Governor of Medina two or three days beforehand.

It is related that the Prophet had said to his companion, Jabir ibn Abdulla al-Ansârî, "When you run across my descendant, Mu'ammad Ba'kîr, give him my salâms," and they say that when Mu'ammad Ba'kîr first met Jabir he immediately told him of the Prophet's behest.

One of the best stories is that of a son who was sorely distressed because his wealthy father had hidden his money and had died without leaving anyone information as to where it could be found. The Imam gave the disappointed son a letter, which he was to take to the Baki' cemetery, where he was instructed to stand and shout, "O Darjân!" (the name of a jinn) and a person would appear whom he was to inform as to his wishes. He was to tell this person that Mu'ammad Ba'kîr had sent him, and he would then tell him whatever he desired. What he asked was that he might speak with his dead father, and almost at once the father appeared, and he recognized him, although his complexion had been affected by the smoke and fire of Hell. In the interview, however, the father confessed his sin, told him where his treasures were buried, and ordered him to give fifty thousand dinâr to the Imam Mu'ammad Ba'kîr.

The Imam Ja'far Sâdîk was a son of Mu'ammad Ba'kîr, and he is said to have related, "My honoured father came to the valley of Feruz and commanded that they put up the tent. Then he walked forth until he came to a dry date palm. He began praising God, and he uttered something I have never heard. Then he commanded, 'O palm, give me what God has put upon thee!' At once the palm brought forth fruit and the ripe dates fell, some red and some yellow. He ate of them and we also ate. Abu Umayya al-Ansârî was with us, and my father said, 'O Abu Umayya, this is the miracle of Maryam, for she shook a dry palm and ripe dates fell.' " This reference is to the Koran (xxix. 25), where Mary, in travail with Jesus, heard a voice saying, "Grieve not thou, thy Lord hath provided a streamlet at thy feet, and shake the trunk of the palm-tree toward thee: it will drop fresh ripe dates upon thee."

One of Mu'ammad Ba'kîr's rival claimants to the Imamate was Abdulla ibn Ali ibn Abdulla ibn al-Husain, and the story is told that a delegation of seventy-two visitors came to Medina from distant Khorasan. They were men of wealth and had many jewels with them. They said it was their desire to determine who the Imam was. First they went to see Abdulla, who undertook to prove to them that he was the rightful Imam by showing them the armour, the ring, the cane and the turban of the Prophet. As they took their departure they said they would return the next day, but as they were leaving Abdulla's house, a man who was in the service of Mu'ammad Ba'kîr addressed them by their proper
names and invited them to the house of his master. Later, as the seventy-two visitors sat in his presence, the Imam Bakir requested his son Ja'far to bring him his ring. This he took in his hand and waved it slightly as he muttered certain words, and to the amazement of all, apparently from the ring itself, fell the armour and the turban and the staff of the Prophet. He put on the armour, placed the turban on his head, and took the staff in his hand. After thus exhibiting them, he removed the turban from his head and took off the armour, and, as he moved his blessed lips again, they all returned to the ring. He proceeded, therefore, to assure his visitors that there has never been a true Imam who did not possess the treasure of Kārūn. Thus convinced, they acknowledged his right to the Imamate and gave him many valuable presents.

Sayings attributed to the Imam Muḥammad Bakīr by Ibn Sa’d would indicate that he was of a peace-loving disposition. "Do not quarrel with one another," he said, "for quarrelling discredits the Koran." And that he had the knack of answering questions in such a way as to please his Umayyad protectors is shown by a conversation he is reported to have had with Jabir. The latter asked him, "Is there any one of you people of the Household who has been guilty of the sin of Polytheism?" He answered, "No." Jabir then asked, "Is there any one of you people of the Household who returned to life?" He said, "No." Again Jabir asked, "Is there any one of you people of the Household who defames Abu Bakr and ʿUmar?" He replied, "No, but rather each one has loved and trusted and prayed for them."

While it is of course possible that the Imam, Muḥammad Bakīr, may have been poisoned at the age of fifty-seven, as the Shi'ite authorities say, and that he may thus have attained the distinction of a martyr (shahīd), it must still be observed that he had reached an age when his death from some other cause would not have been improbable. The very uncertainty as to when and how he died goes to show that very little is known of the closing years of his life. It is related that he requested that he be buried in the tunic in which he prayed, and his son said that like the Prophet he was buried in three garments. From the point of view of history, both his life and death were inconspicuous. Other matters were attracting public attention. The active uprising against the Umayyads was getting under way, so that the exact time of the cessation of the nominal authority of the peaceful Imam, Muḥammad al-Bakīr, and of the succession of his equally peaceful son, appears to have been overlooked or ignored.

---

1 Kārūn (Korah xxxviii and Numbers xvi) is the biblical Korah, who treated the Israelites contemptuously because of his pride in his immense wealth. Cf. *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, art. "Kārūn."
THE RISE OF THE ‘ABBASIDS

The Umayyad persecution was getting unbearable and discontent had become so widespread that there were few indeed who were satisfied with the idea of the Imam being merely a spiritual guide. The sanctimonious aloofness of the Imam, Zain al-Abidin, and likewise of the Imam, Muhammad al-Baṣir, was looked upon by other factions of the Hashimids as evidence of the futility of expecting guidance or help from the division of the family known as the Imámís. The Kaisánís especially, who were the descendants of those who had supported Ali's son by the Hanifite woman, were eager for an aggressive movement against their oppressors. And it was because both he and they wanted action that Zaid, the brother of the Imam Muhammad Baṣir, had listened to the invitation of the people of Kufa to lead them in rebellion.

The last of the Umayyad caliphs was Marwan II, who ruled from 127-132 A.H. As governor of Armenia and Adherbaijan for twelve years, he had frequently been involved in fighting with warlike tribes in the Caucasus, and in acquiring this military experience he had worked out a plan for the reorganisation of the Moslem troops. “In place of divisions consisting of the different tribes he created regular, paid troops under professional commanders; and the men levied for military service were divided into smaller divisions which possessed much greater mobility and strength than the long Arab battle lines.”¹ When he was thus equipped with his reorganized army, Marwan observed that Ibrahim ibn Walid, the new Caliph, could not be regarded as secure in his office, as he had gained support only in southern Syria. Quick to see his opportunity, Marwan decided to come forth himself, not to claim the caliphate, but to champion the rights of the sons of the murdered Walid II. In the campaign that followed he defeated his military rival, Sulaiman ibn Hisham, who commanded the Caliph’s troops, but when Sulaiman retreated to Damascus he there put to death the two sons of Walid II, and then managed to withdraw with his troops to Palmyra. Marwan had declared that he was fighting in the interest of these boys, but now that the boys were dead, as he was supported by the powerful tribe of the Kaisánís, without delay or hesitation, he entered Damascus and received for himself the homage of the people as Caliph.

Once the die was cast, Marwan encountered serious opposition, first in Syria and later in Iraḵ, and his military skill and the loyalty of his supporters were taxed to the extreme. And it was while he was still occupied in these conflicts that he heard of a precarious situation in the distant province of Khorasan. The Umayyad governor at Marw is said to have written the following verses to Marwan to inform him of the dangerous state of affairs:

“I see the coal's red glow beneath the embers,  
And 'tis about to blaze!  
The rubbing of two sticks enkindles fire,  
And out of words come frays.  
‘Oh! is Umayya's House awake or sleeping? ’  
I cry in sore amaze.”¹

The reasons for the trouble in Khorasan were, first the growing distrust of the Umayyads that prevailed throughout the empire, and also the fact that the discontented non-Arab Muslims had hit upon a new basis for the establishment of a new dynasty. A persistent effort had been made in Khorasan to arouse sympathy for the people of the household of the Prophet, not so much for the Imams, who had in recent years chosen to follow the policy of non-resistance, but for other branches of the Hashimids that were more aggressive and influential.

¹ Translation by Nicholson, I.H.A., p. 251. The original may be found in Mas’udi, Murujul-Dhahab, vi, p. 62; in Yakubi, Tarikh, edit. Houtsma, ii, p. 468; and in Dinawari, al-Akhbar al-Tiwāl, edit. Guirgass, p. 356.
When Abu Hashim died, with this assurance of the support of the Kaisaní faction, Muḥammad carried on his propaganda with greater zeal and confidence. But he also died before attaining his objective and handed on his claims to the Caliphate to his son, Ibrahim.

Ibrahim now began to dispatch emissaries, beginning with Khorasan, in whose inhabitants he placed greater reliance than in those of any other province, because the bulk of the Kaisaní faction were to be found in Khorasan and Iraq, and the inhabitants of both provinces had repeatedly assisted the ʿAlIDS. Sending out the same emissaries as had been employed by Abu Hashim, he told them to obtain oaths of allegiance to the family of the Prophet, without specifying whether ʿAlIDS or the Abbasids were meant. The people of Khorasan, wearied with the Umayyad despotism, were quite ready to promise allegiance to the family of the Prophet, supposing that the sovereignty would be shared by the two branches.1

The first of the emissaries sent by Ibrahim to Khorasan was Bukair ibn Mahán, who went to Marw and announced that after the death of Muḥammad, Ibrahim had been proclaimed as his successor. This mission was in A.H. 126, and was successful in that Bukair returned with large gifts of money to advance the Abbasid cause. This occurred just a few months before Marwan was proclaimed the Umayyad Caliph in Damascus. Bukair died the following year and Abu Salama was sent to Khorasan in his stead. There he carried on the agitation so successfully that the next year, when Ibrahim sent the nineteen-year-old soldier, Abu Muslim, to organize his supporters into an army in Khorasan, in one day he was joined by the inhabitants of sixty villages near Marw. And it was but a short time after this that the Umayyad Governor, Naṣr ibn Sayyār, wrote frantically to the Caliph Marwan that the rebel leader, Abu Muslim, was in command of an army of two hundred thousand men. It was a long way from Marw to Damascus, but the spirits of the non-Arab Moslems, particularly the warlike tribes of Persia, were intensely gratified when they heard that this new army of people of the country, led by Abu Muslim, had driven the Umayyad governors and the Caliph's regular troops from Marw and Nishapur. Almost at once the movement took on the character of a widespread appeal for the union of the house of Hashim against the Umayyads, which proved a popular slogan. Even some of the Imāmis were led to hope that perhaps the house of Ali would come back to their rightful authority.

In the meantime, the newly acclaimed Umayyad Caliph Marwan was putting forth every effort to quell the uprisings in the Yemen and in Iraq. Word came that Abu Muslim was remaining in control of everything in Khorasan, but that his armies, under other commanders, were steadily advancing towards the West. Marwan sought to strike at the centre of the whole movement by arresting Ibrahim, the recognized leader of the Abbasids at Humaima. He is said to have strangled him, as some say, by having his head put into a bag of lime until he died.1 But Ibrahim had two brothers, Abu'l-Abbas and Abu Ja'far, both of whom escaped to Khorasan. And very soon these two brothers returned, supported by Abu Muslim's victorious troops, to lead the insurgents in their final struggle in the West, and to themselves become the first two of the Abbasid Caliphs.

Their way had been prepared for them in Kufa by propaganda that had been carried on for more than twelve years, and when the army arrived from Khorasan they found the city was decorated in black, the accepted colour of the Abbasids, and the people who crowded to the mosque wore black clothes and black turbans and carried black banners. One of the most zealous of the leaders in Khorasan, Abu Salama, led the prayers, after which he

1 This statement, though found in the oldest Arabian historians, is strongly doubted by more recent investigators, and is to be ascribed to the invention of the followers of the Abbasids, who desired to prove in this way the claim of the Abbasids to the Caliphate." (K. V. Zettersteen, Ency. of Islam, art. "Abu Hashim "). The force of this doubt would seem to be broken, however, by the admitted fact that Abu Hashim did die at Humaima, and it is not improbable that this coincidence did have something to do with the subsequent united effort of the Kaisanís and the Abbasids against the Umayyads.


* Yaḥubi, Tarikh, edit., Houtsma, ii, p. 409.
announced that Abu Muslim had now made it possible for the world of Islam to shake itself free from the Umayyads, and declared that it was to this end that he called upon them to recognize Abu'l-Abbas, the brother of the murdered Ibrahim, as their rightful Imam and Caliph. The excited crowd expressed their approval with enthusiasm, and as they gave their allegiance to Abu'l-Abbas, they took up his battle cry, "O men of Khorasan, revenge the death of Ibrahim!"

Marwan was at the time advancing towards Kufa with an army of 120,000 men, and he encountered the army from Khorasan at a point on the Greater Zab river, and the Battle of the Zab lasted for two days. It was a closely contested struggle, and tradition says that the day was turned when Marwan's horse ran away without its rider, which gave rise to the rumour that Marwan had been killed, and that consequently his army fell back and gave way and fled. The rumour was not true, however, for Marwan managed to escape, though he was eagerly pursued from place to place, until he was eventually discovered and killed at an isolated Christian chapel on the Nile. So fell the last of the Umayyads, except Abdu'l-Rahman, who continued to maintain the family authority in Spain.

Abu'l-Abbas came back in triumph and made a speech in the mosque of Kufa, where he established his capital. In this speech he called himself al-saffah, "the pitiless bloodshedder," thus expressing his intention to kill all the Umayyads. The massacre of the inhabitants of Mosul was typical of his thoroughness in this undertaking, for it was on his command that his brother, at the head of four thousand Khorasan troops, fell upon the people of Mosul on Friday and killed "eighteen thousand men of Arab extraction, and after that he killed all their slaves and their freedmen, until he had completely annihilated them."1 But during his short rule of less than four years, he was kept fully occupied in meeting numerous insurrections and in ruthlessly killing those who were suspected of disloyalty.

The famous Mansur (Abu'l-Ja'far) was his successor as Caliph.

1 Mas'udi, Murujul-Dhahab, vi, p. 171.
2 Dinawari, edit. Guirgass, p. 373.
3 Ibid., p. 377.
against his personal enemies or rivals, and removed all that was in his way either by force or artifice. The art of the ruler appeared to be to strike and strike first, and it may well be that when Abu Muslim saw the trap he had stepped into he thought less about the ingratitude of Mansur for his services in Khorasan than he did of his own regret that he had been outwitted.

But in these troublous times that gave rise to the new dynasty of the Abbasid Caliphs, and with the many instances that occurred of assassination on suspicion during the reign of Mansur, what was the life of the Imam Ja'far Sadîk? What was his status as "Imam," and how did it happen that he was allowed to live on in Medina?

And in his religious beliefs, yielding possibly to his personal ambitions, he seems to have united Islam with the ancient belief in metempsychosis. He pretended that he was himself an incarnation of the divinity, and the Veiled Prophet of Khorasan, Ḥāshîm al-Mu'âwîyâna' was one of his pupils. He had come to have more sympathy with the 'Alids, and several of the later Shi'ite sects trace their origin back to Abu Muslim. See the Encyclopædia of Islam, art. "Abu Muslim."

CHAPTER XII

THE IMAM JA'FAR AŠ-SADIK, "THE TRUTHFUL"

The Imam most frequently cited as an authority on points of law or tradition is the Imam Ja'far aš-Sadiq. Kulaini says that he lived sixty-five years (83-148 A.H.), which was a longer life than that of any of the other Imams.1 All the biographers mention that his mother was Umm Farwa, the daughter of Kâzîm, who was the grandson of Abu Bakr aš-Sadiq, "the Truthful."2 For it was Abu Bakr who had said, "Let there be truth among you, it leads to freedom."3 The Imam Ja'far was likewise called aš-Sadiq for his veracity.

For his mother he had a high regard, and on her authority he related that his father had said, "O Umm Farwa, I pray to God for the sinners among the Shi'ites day and night, a thousand times, for we Imams endure the misfortunes that happen in the light of what we know of the coming reward, whereas they suffer without such knowledge."4 Little is recorded of the personal appearance of Ja'far, except that "his face and his body were white, his nose was somewhat bent, and his hair was black."5 Of his domestic life also little is said, but we know that he had ten children, seven of whom were by his two legal wives, Faṭīma and Umm Walad, and the three others were "from various mothers," or as we read elsewhere, from women whom he held as concubine slaves.6 Whether as a matter of principle or of discretion, in the exciting and distressing times in which he lived, the Imam Ja'far managed...
to keep entirely out of politics. Mas'udi mentions that when the Abbasid leader, Ibrahim, was killed by Marwan II, Abu Salama, the chief agitator, feared that this would mean the failure of their undertaking, and he attempted therefore to induce Ja'far aš-Šādiq to come to him in person, and to openly declare his claim to the Imamate, and to accept the allegiance of the people of Khorasan. The Imam Ja'far, however, called for a lamp and burned Abu Salama's letter, and said to the messenger who brought it, "Tell your master what you have seen." At the same time he repeated this verse:

When one lights a fire, are its flames for another?
Or does one gather wood in the rope of another?

Shahrastani has paid Ja'far aš-Šādiq a high tribute. "His knowledge was great in religion and culture, he was fully informed in philosophy, he attained great piety. in the world, and he abstained entirely from lusts. He lived in Medina long enough to greatly profit the sect that followed him, and to give his friends the advantage of the hidden sciences. He then visited Irāq, but he never came out publicly to claim the Imamate and never discussed the Caliphate with anyone. For he who is drowned in the sea of knowledge does not covet anything, and whoever rises to the summit of truth has no fear of degradation. Another saying is, Whoever is devoted to God withdraws from mankind, but whoever seeks attachment to other than God, truly desires will ravish him. On his father's side the Imam Ja'far was connected with the 'tree of prophecy,' and on his mother's side with Abu Bakr."

Perhaps there may be something in the tradition that as-Sayuti records, that the Imam Ja'far once exclaimed, "I am quit of anyone who mentions Abu Bakr or Umar otherwise than favourably." Some such attitude towards his mother's people, or the ability to appreciate the true merits of the first two Caliphs may have helped him avoid the suspicions of the various Caliphs of his time—the Umayyads, Hisham, Walid, Ibrahim and Marwan; and the Abbasids, as-Saffah and al-Mansur.

A story that is told by Kulaini, however, would suggest that he was not always left in peace. For it is said that the Caliph al-Mansur instructed the governor of Medina to burn down the Imam's house. The fire reached the hallway, when the Imam came out and drew a line before it, and boldly stamped on the flames, exclaiming, "I am of the sons of Isma'il, I am a son of Ibrahim, the Friend of God," whom the Koran represents as having escaped the fire in safety (Surah lxi. 69). The orthodox Shi'ites look upon this as a miraculous escape for the Imam, but other readers consider that the element of truth in the story may simply be that at one time the Imam's house took fire and he easily stamped out the flames before they did any damage.

On the question of the Caliph al-Mansur's attitude toward the Imam Ja'far, Ibn Khallikan relates that "al-Mansur wanted his principal men in Irāq. But for Ja'far this would have meant leaving him home in Medina, and he therefore asked Mansur to excuse him. This Mansur refused to do. He asked then for permission to remain in Medina a little longer to settle the affairs of his property, but this also Mansur refused. The Imam then said to the Caliph, "I have heard my father relate from his father, from his grandfather, the Apostle of God—may God bless him and his household and give them peace—that 'the man who goes away to make a living will achieve his purpose, but he who sticks to his family will prolong his life.' Mansur asked, 'Truly, did you hear this from your father, and from your grandfather, the Apostle of God?' The Imam said, 'Before God, I declare that I did.' Therefore Mansur excused him from the number of persons whom he required to go and live in Irāq, and appointed his place of residence in Medina, and gave him permission to remain there with his family.'"

Nevertheless, the Imam was apprehensive when Mansur sent for him at the time Muhammad ibn Abdulla was killed. He
prayed, “O God, make level for me the rough ground, and soften his disposition toward me; give me the good I hope for and turn me from the evil that I dread.” It appears also that this prayer was answered, for when he entered his presence, the Caliph rose to meet him and showed him honour and favour, “and rubbed his beard with perfume and sent him to his own house.” When he was questioned later as to his sympathy for Muḥammad ibn Abdulla, he repeated a verse from the Qur’a (Surah lix. 12), “If they were attacked they would not help them, or if they help them they will surely turn their backs: then would they remain unhelped.” Mansur was satisfied and replied, “Even without this promise from you, it is sufficient.”

From the descriptions we read of the way the Imam Ja’far aṣ-Ṣādiq entertained generously there in his beautiful garden in Medina, receiving visitors of all persuasions, it appears that he conducted a kind of forum or Socratic school. Several of his pupils had important contributions to make in the future development of jurisprudence and theology. In later years two of these pupils, Abu Ḥanifa and Malik ibn Anas, the founders of two of the recognized schools of law, gave their judgment to the people of Medina that the oath they had taken to Mansur could not be considered binding since it was given under compulsion. It is related that another of his pupils, Wāsil ibn Ḥāta, the founder of the Muʿtazilite sect, brought up theories for discussion which led to his being dismissed from the Imam Ja’far’s classes. And Jabir ibn Hayyan, who became famous as an alchemist, was also his pupil.

Perhaps the most interesting of all his pupils was Abu Ḥanifa, who gave public lectures at Kufa that attracted much attention. In giving decisions, he claimed the right to exercise the privilege of deduction (kiyās), and of using his own judgment (ra’y) to supplement the traditions, and for this departure he was severely criticised by rival scholars in Mecca and Medina. His decisions were on points of the law of Islam, however, rather than in any official capacity, for he steadfastly refused to enter the service of the government as a judge. Thus it was that as a literary or academic jurist he was able to carry on his work in Kufa under both the Umayyads and the Abbasids. It is probable, moreover, that he strongly sympathized with the Šī’ids and resented the way in which they had been set aside.

One is surprised to observe that these two contemporary scholars were able to carry on their teaching in their respective cities, Abu Ḥanifa in Kufa and Ja’far aṣ-Ṣādiq in Medina, for so long a period in such troublous times. The two men were on friendly terms, and it appears that each of them endeavoured to sustain a reputation for knowing almost everything.

Ibn Khallikan tells of a joke that the Imam Ja’far got on his rival savant. The Imam asked, “What would you say is the proper fine for one who breaks the front molars (rubā’iyát) of a deer?” Abu Ḥanifa answered, “O son of the Apostle of God, I do not know about that.” To this the Imam replied, “Can you then pretend to learning when you do not know that a deer has no front molars, but only the incisors (thanāyá)?”

On another occasion, Abu Ḥanifa remarked that if the Imam did not teach three things he would be able to accept him. The first was that good is from God and evil is from the deeds of the slaves of God, “whereas I say that the slave has no choice, but both good and evil are from God.” The second was that in the final judgment the Devil suffers in the fire, “whereas I say that the fire will not burn him, in so much as the same material will not injure itself.” The third was that it is impossible to see God in this world or the next, “whereas I say that anyone who has existence may be seen, if not in this world, then in the next.” But at this point Shaikh Buhlúl, who was one of the Imam’s companions, picked up a clod of earth and smote Abu Ḥanifa

---

\(^1\) *Encyclopedia of Islam*, art. “Abu Ḥanifa.”

\(^2\) Ibid, p. 112.

\(^3\) Zaeclán, *Umayyads and Abbasids*, p. 152; and Huart, *Arabic Literature*, p. 313.


\(^6\) Iblis and the djinn are said in the Qur’a (nár), in surahs xviii, 48; vii, 11; and xxxviii, 77, whereas man was created of clay (tn). Cf. MacDonald in the *Encyclopedia of Islam*, art. “Mala’ika.”
on the head, declaring, as he made a hasty departure, "All three points are refuted." Abu Ḥanifa made a complaint against him to the Caliph, who called Buhlūl before him and asked, "Why did you throw the clod of earth at Abu Ḥanifa?" He answered, "I did not throw it." Abu Ḥanifa protested, "You did throw it." But Buhlūl replied, "You yourself have maintained that evil is from God and that his slave has no choice, so why do you upbraid me? And you have said also that the same material will not injure itself. Accordingly, therefore, as you are from the dust of the earth and also the clod that struck you was from the dust of the earth, tell me how it could injure you? You have claimed also that you can see God, affirming that anything that has existence may be seen. Show me, I pray thee, this pain that has existence in your head?" ¹

Nevertheless, Abu Ḥanifa was highly esteemed by those who sympathized with the cause of the Imam Ja'far as-Ṣadiq, for they heartily endorsed a remark that he made concerning the Caliph Mansur and all such oppressors, whether of the Beni Umayya or of the Beni Abbas. For Abu Ḥanifa had eloquently declared that if such men would build a masjid (Moslem house of prayer) and command him to the simple task of counting the bricks he would not do it, "for they are dissolute (fāṣik), and the dissolute are not worthy of the authority of leadership." Ultimately, Mansur heard of this remark and cast Abu Ḥanifa into prison, where he remained until his death. It was his suffering on account of this statement that gained for him the friendship of the Shi'ites.² The statement was based, they say, on the verse in the Koran.³

On the question of the freedom of the will (irāda), which was much under discussion at the time, the Imam Ja'far as-Ṣadiq taught "that God the Most High has decreed some things for us and he has likewise decreed some things through our agency: what he has decreed for us or on our behalf he has concealed from us, but what he has decreed through our agency he has revealed to us. We are not concerned, therefore, so much with what he has decreed for us as we are with what he has decreed through our agency."⁴

As to the question of the power (kādir) of directing one's own actions, the Imam took a middle position, which is neither compulsion (jabr) nor committing (tafviz) the choice to ourselves. He was accustomed to say in prayer, "O God, thine is the praise that I give thee, and to thee is the excuse if I sin against thee. There is no work of merit on my own behalf or on behalf of another, and in evil there is no excuse for me or for another."⁵

Ya'ḳubi remarks in regard to the Imam Ja'far as-Ṣadiq, that "It was customary for scholars who related anything from him to say 'the Learned One informed us.'" When we recall that Malik ibn Anās (94-179 A.H.), the author of the Muwatta', was contemporary with the Imam Ja'far, at least a century before the time of al-Bukhari and Muslim, it is significant to find that it is the Imam Ja'far who is credited with stating what came to be regarded as the most important principle to observe in judging traditions: "What is in agreement with the Book of God, accept it, and whatever is contrary, reject it."

In making further reference to the Imam Ja'far in his "History," Ya'ḳubi has recorded a number of pithy sayings or proverbs that have been ascribed to him. A few examples of these, though they lose by translation, are the following:

"There are three classes towards whom mercy is required, ¹ Majlisi, Tadhkiratul-'A'imma, p. 130.
² Ibid., p. 130.
³ Majlisi, Gāv-e-Gūzel, p. 218.
⁴ Shahrastani, op. cit., p. 124.
the rich who have become poor, the noble who have been abased,
and the scholar who is the butt of the ignorant.”

“Whomsoever God removes from the degradation of sin to
the exaltation of piety, he it is whom God makes rich without
property and noble without the help of family.”

“Whoever fears God, God makes all things fear him; and
whoever does not fear God, God makes him fear all things.”

“Whoever is content towards God with little in the way of
bounty, God will be content towards him with little in the way of
works required.”

It is related also by the same author that the Imam Ja’far
said, “There are two friends, and whoever follows them will
enter Paradise.” Someone asked, “Who are they?” He said,
“The acceptance of that which you dislike when God likes it,
and the rejection of that which you like when God dislikes it.”
The questioner asked, “Who is able to do this?” He replied
“Whoever flees from the fire to Paradise.”

Once the Imam Ja’far remarked that God had revealed to Moses,
“If you put your arm in the mouth of a serpent up to your elbow,
it will be better than asking something for someone to whom it
could not be given.” On another occasion he said, “Beware of
association with five sorts of people, first, the fool, for he wants
to help you but he will really injure you; second, the liar, for
he is like a mirage, making the distant appear near and near to be
distant; third, the dissolute or evildoer, for he will sell you for
his own food or drink; fourth, the miser, for he will leave you
more in need than you were; and fifth, the coward, for he will
give you up and save himself by paying the ransom.”

On caravan journeys it is customary to cover the sordid pack-
saddle of the load animal with a rug and bright coloured bedding.
From this custom the Imam derived an illustration, saying:
“Believers will show love and love will be shown to them, so as
to conceal their pack-saddles.”

And at times the Imam gave such sage advice as this, “Who-
ever is angry with you three times but does not speak evil of you,
count him as your friend; but whoever seeks that you should
show him the friendship of a brother, while he himself does not
befriend his brother, or associate with him or invite him to his
home, know that he will surely injure you.”

It has been pointed out that as the Imam Ja’far lived at the
close of the Umayyad dynasty and at the beginning of the rule
of the Abbasids, while both these parties were fully occupied with
the problems of resisting one another, he had opportunity to
give his attention to interpretations of the divine commands.
It is to his declarations on such matters that later theologians
most frequently refer. But it is difficult to determine whether
he actually committed these statements to writing. At the
present day it is generally considered that the works which
bear his name are later forgeries, though Ibn Khallikan says that
“he composed a treatise on alchemy, augury and omens; and
the Sufi, Abu Musa Jabir ibn Haiyan of Tarsus, compiled a
work of two thousand pages, in which he inserted the problems
of his master, Ja’far aš-Šadik, which formed five hundred
treatises.”

While many traditions used by later Shi’ite theologians to
establish the doctrine of the Imamate are traced to the Imam
Ja’far aš-Šadik, one of the most interesting and far-reaching
is that mentioned by Mas’udi. It was ascribed by the Imam to
Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib, who is said to have related “that when God
wished to establish Creation, the atoms of creatures and the
beginning of all created things, he first made what he created
in the form of small particles. This was before he stretched out
the earth or raised the heavens. God existed alone in his
authority and power. So he cast forth a ray of light, a flame
from his splendour, and it was radiant. He scattered this light
in the midst of invisible atoms, which he then united in the form
of our Prophet. God the Most High then declared unto him,
“You are the first of those who shall speak, the one with the
power of choice and the one chosen. To you I have trusted my
light and the treasure of my guidance. For your sake I will form

1 Encyclopedia of Islam, art. “Ja’far ibn Muhammad aš-Šadik.”
2 Ibn Khallikan, op. cit., p. 113. Cf. D. B. MacDonald, Encyclopedia of Islam,
art. “Ja’far”; also Ibn Khaldun, Quotemems’s text, ii, p. 191; and De
Slans’ translation, p. 224.
spacious channels, give free course to the waters, and raise the heavens. For your sake I will give rewards and punishments, and assign men to Paradise or to the Fire. I will appoint the people of your household for guidance. I will bestow upon them the secrets of my knowledge: no truth will be hidden from them and no mystery concealed. I will designate them as my proof to mankind as those who shall admonish men of my Power and remind them of my Unity." Then God took the testimony of the creatures to the fact that he was the Preserver of all and the one who is perfect in his Unity. When this testimony had been secured God added to the sagacity of mankind the power to recognize that he had chosen Muhammad and his family, and had made them understand that guidance is through him, and that the light is with him. The Imamate also is with Muhammad and his family. It is given as a rule of righteousness, and the Imams are the intercessors provided.

"Thus God concealed creation in his mystery and hid it in the secrets of his knowledge. But the time came, however, when he arranged the universes and stretched out time. He stirred up the waters and obtained the foam, and caused the vapour to move. His throne floated on the water. He stretched out the land on the back of the water: he drew forth the vapour and with it he made the sky. He summoned the earth and the heavens to obey him and they accepted his dominion. He forced the angels from lights he had created and spirits he had originated.

"He established the prophecy of Muhammad upon the foundation of his Unity, and announced it in heaven before he was commissioned as a prophet on earth.

"God then created Adam, declaring his nobility to the angels, and showing them how God had given him priority of knowledge from the time he first knew them, in that God had given him the names of things. God indicated that they were to bow down to Adam as to the Mahrâb (prayer niche), or to the Kaaba, or to the sacred door (of a shrine) or to the Kiblah (the direction of prayer). The good spirits and the angels of light were to bow down before him. God then informed Adam of his responsibility and showed him the rare treasure he had committed to him, when he designated him as the Imam among the angels. Now Adam was so highly favoured in the praise of God because he had been endowed with our light. But God kept this light concealed under the veil of time until he exalted Muhammad in holiness.

"It was Muhammad who declared his message both privately and publicly, and preached to mankind openly and in secret. He proclaimed the warning, according to the agreement which God had made with him at the time of Creation, and before his human birth. By what had fallen upon him of the flame from the atoms of the original light, he was guided to what was secret with God and comprehended it clearly. But those who remained in the bondage of ignorance or indifference would be the objects of God's displeasure.

"The light descended," the Imam Ja'far went on to say, "upon our most noble men, and shone through our Imams, so that we are in fact the lights of Heaven and of Earth. To us is salvation committed, and from us are the secrets of science derived, for we are the destination that all must strive to reach. Our māhdi will be the final Proof, the Seal of the Imams, the Deliverer of the Imamate, the Apex of the Light, and the Source of all good works. We are the most noble of all mankind, the most exalted of all creatures, the Proofs of the Lord of the Worlds, and those who cling to our friendship will be favoured in this life and in death they will have our support."1

Much freedom has been taken in relating traditions from the Imam Ja'far concerning the light of Muhammad, as is illustrated by the following version from Mulla Muhammad Tākī, Khwānsāri.2 "It is related that the Imam Ja'far aṣ-Ṣādīk handed down a tradition from his father, that Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib had said that God had created the Light of Muhammad before he created Adam or Noah or Abraham or Isma'il or any others. Along with this Light of Muḥammad God created twelve curtains. The names of these were Power, Greatness, Generosity, Prosperity, Mercy,
Benevolence, Dignity, Guidance, Exaltation, Prophecy, Purity and Intercession. The Light of Muhammad was concealed for seven thousand years in the curtain of Power, and Muhammad said, Praise be to him who is self-sufficient and never in need! It was within the curtain of Dignity for six thousand years, and Muhammad said, Praise be to the High and the Great! It was within the curtain of Guidance for five thousand years, and Muhammad said, Praise be to the God of the Great Throne! It was within the curtain of Exaltation for four thousand years, and Muhammad said, Praise be to the Lord of all that changes! It was within the curtain of Prophecy three thousand years, and Muhammad said, Praise be to the Master of ancient kingdoms! It was within the curtain of Purity for two thousand years, and Muhammad said, Praise be to the Lord who is exalted and praised! Then God revealed the name of Muhammad on a tablet, and the Light was on the Tablet for four thousand years. Then it was in the Arsh (the ninth heaven) and remained on the leg of the Throne for seven thousand years, until God placed it in the loins of Adam. Thence it passed to Noah, and thus it came on down to Abdul-Muttalib and from him to Abdullah (Muhammad’s father). When God sent the Light to Muhammad he gave him six miraculous garments—the Shirt of Acceptance, the Cloak of Congratulation, the Trousers of Kindness, the Belt of Love, the Shoes of Fear, and the Staff of Dignity. And God said to him, Go near unto mankind, and declare among them, there is no God but God.

“Now this Shirt of Acceptance was made of six things. The body of it was of precious stones, both sleeves were of pearls, the girdle was of yellow crystal, the two gussets were of coral, the lap was of green emerald, and the collar was of light. It was out of regard for this shirt of Muhammad’s that God had accepted the repentance of Adam. It was because of it also that Solomon’s seal had power at a distance, and that God saved Jonah from the stomach of the huge fish, and rescued the prophets from various physical plagues. Such was the shirt of the Prophet!”

Fantastic as these conceptions may seem to those who have not been familiar with them from their childhood, it is nevertheless important to observe that this comprehensive claim that the celestial light substance had been received into the souls of the Imams was most probably first enunciated during the period of the Imamate of Ja’far as-Sadiq, for there is much in the subsequent development of Shi’ite theology that is dependent upon this belief.

The Imam Ja’far died in the tenth year of the reign of the Caliph Mansur, 148 A.H. (765 A.D.). On this date of his death the authorities are agreed. He had worn a signet ring with the inscription, “God is my Master and my Defence from his Creation.” He had lived to be sixty-four or sixty-five years old. Nevertheless, the story is told that on the Caliph’s order he had been given poisoned grapes and died. Thus he became a martyr, dying the appropriate death for an Imam, for with the exception of Ali and Husain and the Mahdi, all the rest of the twelve Imams are said to have been put to death by poison, which is consistent, not with any law of probability, but with the accepted traditions that none of the Imams should die a natural death.

The Imam Ja’far was buried in the Baki’ cemetery at Medina, in the same place with his father—and his grandfather. For centuries there has been a marble slab over their grave and on this is written:

“In the name of God the Merciful and Compassionate, Praise be to God who sustains the nations, and who gives life to dead bones! Here is the tomb of Fatima, daughter of the Apostle of God, and Queen of the women of the world; Here also is the tomb of Hasan ibn Ali ibn Abu Talib; Here also is the tomb of Ali ibn al-Husain; Here also is the tomb of Muhammad ibn Ali; Here also is the tomb of Ja’far ibn Muhammad; May God favour them all!”
CHAPTER XIII

MEDINA, THE CITY OF THE PROPHET AND HIS FAMILY

"MEDINA is but a small town, being less than half the size of Mecca, its lands, however, are more fruitful, and the climate is very warm. There are running streams here, and it possesses cultivated fields and gardens and date groves, also the dates of the kind called Bardi and Ajwah are better here than in any other country. The inhabitants are for the most part dark-skinned, and the men have their occupation in commerce."

In honour of Medina many traditions have been recorded. Among the rest in the Māṣūbīḥ it is reported that the Prophet said, "Verily Abraham sanctified Mecca and made it a sanctuary, but I have sanctified Medina, making a sanctuary all that lies between (the limits of) its calcined rocks. It is incumbent that no blood shall be shed there, and none shall wear weapons of war, and no place shall be enclosed there, except indeed for forage. Further, the Prophet said, "At the gates of Medina are angels, so that the plague cannot enter the city, nor Ad-Dajjāl (Antichrist): and again he said, 'He who can compass to die in Medina, let him die there, for verily I will intercede for any who shall die there.' And again he said, 'The last place of the places of Islam to come to ruin will be Medina.'"

This fourteenth-century description, written by Mustawfī, a convinced Shi'ite, makes no mention of the sorry state of the Tomb of Muḥammad at the time of his visit, and for the preceding one hundred years. For from the time of the fire in A.D. 1256, when the Mosque of the Prophet was almost completely destroyed, "the rubble was not even cleared away from the tombs, but remained there for over two centuries." Eventually, in A.D. 1279, the Maluk Sultan, al-Mansur Kalāʿūn, "marked the site of the Prophet's tomb with a dome that was covered with plates of lead," but it was not until after this modest structure had been struck by lightning, in the year A.D. 1481, when the library and many manuscripts of the Qur'an perished, that anything like a restoration was undertaken. This restoration was in A.D. 1484, and at that time the dome over the tomb was enlarged and a brass railing was provided to surround its immediate area. In A.D. 1492 however, this new mosque, with its beautifully reconstructed minaret, al-ra'īsya, was also struck by lightning and destroyed.¹

During the rule of the Safawīd dynasty in Persia (A.D. 1502-1736), with long periods of war between Persia and Turkey, Shi'ite pilgrimages to either Mecca or Medina became extremely difficult. In fact they were discouraged and prohibited by Shah Abbas and others of the Safawīd rulers in the interest of the development of the shrines within the Persian borders, in order thus to retain within the country the large sums of money the pilgrims were accustomed to spend. We find also traditional sayings, attributed to the 'Imams, that minimize the importance of actually going to Medina and emphasize "the sufficiency of the prayer of visitation at a distance." One tradition says that God has a number of angels who go about in the earth, "so that if any one of my people sends me a greeting, I will receive it." The Imam Muḥammad Bakīr recommended that anyone who was unable to visit Medina should fast three days, and then, on his own roof, or out in the open desert, he should repeat the prayer of visitation to the Prophet. And Majlisi says, on good authority, that this special prayer is acceptable at the tomb of any of the 'Imams, or at the tomb of one who is regarded as a saint, or out in the open desert.²

By the close of the eighteenth century the Turks had taken Persian Iraq and were in control in the Hedjaz. Except in Shi'ite countries the Turkish Sultan became the recognized Caliph.

¹ Encyclopædia of Islam, art. "Madina."
² Majlisi, Ta'fūtzu-Zā'īrin, p. 36.
The pilgrimage cities were administered on a revenue basis. The pilgrimages regained their popularity and many thousands went from Persia also to the shrines at Najaf, Baghdad, Kerbala and Samarra, to seek the intercession of the Imams who are buried in these several places. And those who went on the great pilgrimage to Mecca were scrupulously careful to also visit Medina, to pray at the tomb of the Prophet, and at the tomb of his daughter, Fatima, and at the one tomb that served for the four Imams—Hasan, Zayn al-Abidin, Muhammad Ba'ir and Ja'far aś-Ṣadiq.

These pilgrimages were profitable to the Turkish government and to the local inhabitants of the sacred cities, but the idea of thousands of Moslems coming from all parts of the world to invoke the intercession of their dead Prophet and of the Imams stirred up the ire of powerful tribes of Wahhabis in the Hedjaz, who assumed the role of reformers, and declared that such worship even at the tomb of the Prophet was forbidden. They attacked Medina, therefore, in the year A.D. 1804, and took the town, plundered its treasures, and prevented pilgrimages to the tomb of Muhammad. An attempt to destroy the dome over the tomb failed, but the great treasures in pearls, jewels, etc., presented by pious visitors to the mosque, were carried off.\(^1\)

It was some years after the restoration of the Turkish authority in A.D. 1818 that the Sultan Abdul Majid made elaborate provision for the rebuilding of the Mosque of the Prophet at Medina. It was under construction from A.D. 1848-1860, and was built at an estimated cost of "seven hundred thousand pounds," but it has been suggested that this sum probably included the value of the jewels deposited by the Sultan in the Shrine and also the amount of "the embezzlements of the officers in charge of the work."\(^2\) This is the mosque that is still standing and that has been described by the modern travellers, Burton (1853), Wavell (1908), Batanuni (1910), and Rutter (1928).

Up until 1918 Mecca and Medina were under Turkish administration, but by the terms of the peace at the conclusion of the World War, Turkey relinquished her suzerainty in favour of Husain, the new King of the Hedjaz. But Husain was unable to maintain his authority, for again the Wahhabis became masters of the situation and took control of Mecca and Medina. When this news became known to the Shi'ites in Persia, all their shrines were draped in black, a gesture of horror that meant "Dust on our heads!" for they feared the worst, i.e., that the tombs of the Prophet and his family in Medina would all be destroyed. And they had reason to fear, for the main point that distinguished the Wahhabis from other Muslim communities is their consistent opposition to the practice of invoking the intercession of saints. "This matter involves one Islamic ideal—the recognition of the unity of the Deity. The Wahhabis regard the employment of such intercession as polytheistic, and since the devout visit the graves of deceased saints in order to secure their intercession, the Wahhabis go to the length of desecrating or destroying the tombs."\(^3\)

As far as the tomb of the Prophet was concerned, the Wahhabi-occupation of Medina has not been so disastrous as was anticipated. The Wahhabi religious leaders were anxious enough to throw down the dome and rebuild the Haram so as not to include the Prophet's tomb. But their leader, Ibn Sa'ud, exercised the statesman's restraint, for fear of arousing the hostility of the entire Islamic world. Thus the tomb of the Prophet has so far been spared, but the religious zeal of the Wahhabis was allowed full scope in the destruction of the shrines and tombs in the Baki' cemetery. The appearance of the cemetery, after this work of destruction was completed, has been described by Mr. Rutter: "When I entered the Bakia the sight which I saw was as it were a town which had been razed to the ground. All over the cemetery everything was to be seen but little indefinite mounds of earth and stones, pieces of timber, iron bars, blocks of stone, and a broken rubble of cement and bricks, strewn about. It was like

---

2. Encyclopaedia of Islam, art. "Medina."
the broken remains of a town which had been demolished by an earthquake. Against the western wall lay great stacks of old wooden planks, and others of stone blocks, and of iron bars and railings. This was some of the scattered material, which had been collected and stacked in order. A few narrow paths had been cleared in the rubble, so that visitors might make their way to the further parts of the cemetery; but other signs of order there were none. All was a wilderness of ruined building material and tombstones—not ruined by a casual hand, but raked away from their places and ground small.”

An Egyptian has also described what he saw: “And they destroyed in Medina all the graves to be found in al-Baki’ (the cemetery where many of the Prophet’s Companions lay), likewise the Mosque of Hamsah, the Prophet’s uncle, with his tomb at Uhud.”

What this desecration signifies to an orthodox Shi’ite can be imagined from the descriptions of the Baki’ cemetery that were written before the time of the Wahhabis. Mustawfi writes, “The cemetery of Medina, called Baki’, lies to the westward of the town, and here is seen the grave of Ibrahim, the Prophet’s (only) son, also the graves of his daughters. The Caliph Úthman also was buried here; and the Commander of the Faithful, Hasan; and Abbas, the uncle of the Prophet; also the Imams Zayn-al-Abidîn and Muhammad Bakîr, and Ja’far Ṣâdirk—the blessing of God be upon them one and all.”

And Ibn Jubayr mentions that “there is a lot that contains the graves of the wives of the Prophet, and adjoining this is a small lot in which are the graves of three of his children. Close by are the graves of Abbas ibn Abdul-Muṭṭalîb and of Hasan ibn Ali. The latter has a dome which stands high in the air. It is near the Bakî’ Gate, which we have mentioned, on the right, as one would go out. The head of al-Hasan lies towards the feet of al-Abbas. Their two graves are broad and elevated from the ground, are faced with slabs of beautiful stone, are ornamented with plates of nickel, and are bound with star-headed nails, all of which give a most pleasing effect. The grave of Ibrahim, the son of the Prophet, is of the same kind.”

The Significance of the Pilgrimage to Medina

Many years before guide books were published for travellers in Europe or America, there were books written to direct Muhammadan pilgrims as to the proper procedure when visiting these various sacred cities. One of the most esteemed of these books for the Shi’ites is the Tofat az-Zârin, “A Present for Pilgrims.” This book of over four hundred pages was written in the seventeenth century by the most influential of Shi’ite theologians, Mulla Muhammad Bakîr Majlisi (d. 1111/1699). It is not like modern guide books, however, in giving interesting bits of history and geography, and indicating what is sufficiently extraordinary to deserve the traveller’s attention. For the Shi’ite pilgrim’s objective in making long treks across the desert lands of the east is not the satisfaction of travel or sightseeing, primarily, but to attain merit in the sight of God and to have his sins forgiven. Accordingly, Majlisi’s book is an authoritative manual for attaining merit through pilgrimages. Much attention is given to appraising the relative value of visits to the different shrines, to the ceremonial observances that are required, and to the efficacy of special prayers at specified times and places.

For example, this is the manner in which the significance and importance of the pilgrimage to Medina is established. According to several of the Imams, Muhammad himself said, “Whoever visits me while I am alive or after my death, on the Day of Judgment I will be his intercessor.” At another time he is reported to have said, “Whoever goes on the pilgrimage to Mecca and does not visit my tomb in Medina, I will punish him on the Day of Judgment; but I will be under obligation to intercede for the believer who visits my tomb, and my intercession..."
will assure him of Paradise.” Again it is related that Muhammad said, “Whoever dies in the sanctuary in Mecca, or in Medina, will not be judged on the Day of Judgment, for he dies as one making a pilgrimage to God, and on the Day of Judgment he will be raised up along with those who were martyrs in the battle of Badr.” And they say the Prophet declared still more positively, “Whoever visits my tomb, his sins will be forgiven and he will not be poverty stricken.”

A frequent expedient to establish the importance of visiting a particular shrine is a reference to some conversation that one of the Imams is said to have had. We are told that the eighth Imam, Ali ibn Musa ar-Ridä, was asked, “Which is the better, that we should make the pilgrimage to Mecca and not visit the tomb of the Apostle, or that we should visit the tomb of the Apostle and not make the pilgrimage to Mecca?” To this question the Imam replied, “What would you say in this case? We Shi’ites recognize the visitation of the tomb of the Imam Husain as better than the pilgrimage to Mecca, then why should we not recognize the pilgrimage to the tomb of the Apostle as better also?”1

Regulations for Visiting the Mosque of the Prophet

On entering the city of Medina the pilgrim is expected to take a bath, and ordinarily he should do so again before entering the mosque. When he crosses the threshold of the Door of Gabriel, he must stand in the open court and offer a prescribed prayer for entrance (Tofatu‘z-Za‘irin, p. 27), after which he repeats the takbir (“God is great!”) one hundred times. He may then go inside the covered part of the mosque, into what is called the rauza, or garden, between the pulpit and the mausoleum, and stand at a point “above (to the west of) the head of the Prophet, and before the second pillar, which faces the head of the Blessed One.” He must then face the kiblah, or direction of prayer, which in Medina is to the south, and offer the Prayer of Visitation. This is the approved Shi‘ite procedure, for it is said that the sixth Imam, Ja‘far as-Ṣadik, said, “Go near the pillar which is to the right of the tomb and face towards the kiblah, in which case your left cheek will be towards the tomb and your right cheek towards the mambar (or pulpit).”

The appropriate Prayer of Visitation to be offered at this place by Shi‘ite pilgrims is said to have been given by the eighth Imam, Ali ar-Ridä, and it is distinguished from the prayer employed by the Sunnites in that it emphasizes the purity or sinlessness of the Apostle1 and his Household.

“Peace be upon thee, O Prophet, and the mercy and blessing of God be upon thee!
Peace be upon thee, O Abu‘l-Kasim!
Peace be upon thee, O Leader of the first and the last!
Peace be upon thee, O Ornament of the Day of Judgment!
Peace be upon thee, O Intercessor on the Day of Judgment!
I testify that there is no God but the one God and he has no partner;
I testify that thou art his Servant and his Apostle;
Thou didst bring his message and didst fulfil what he entrusted.
Thou didst warn thy people and didst put forth great effort until thou didst fully accomplish thy purpose.
Peace be upon thee and upon thy Household, pure as they were in life and pure also in death.
Peace be upon thee and upon thy brother (Ali was so called), thy wass, thy cousin, the Commander of the Faithful;
and upon thy daughter, the Leader of the women of the two worlds;
and upon thy sons, Hasan and Husain, the greatest mercy and blessing be upon them.
Let the most perfect benediction be upon them, the most pure salutation, and to thee be our greeting and the mercy and blessing of God.”

But if for some reason the Shi‘ite pilgrims are not allowed to stand and offer their prayer in the place recommended by the Imams, or as Majlisi remarks significantly, “ if takiyah (dissimula-


1] It is interesting to compare this prayer, as given by Majlisi (op. cit., p. 31), with the prayer used by the Sunnites, as translated by Garcin de Tassy in L’Islamisme, p. 282, and by Burton, Pilgrimage to Mecca and Medina, Vol. I, p. 317.
tion) is necessary, the pilgrim is to go in front of the face of the Prophet, and offer the Prayer of Visitation there, after the manner of the Sunnites." At this place there is a small window, through which it was possible for Burton to look in and see "a curtain, or rather hangings, with three inscriptions in gold letters, informing readers that behind them lie Allah's Apostle and the first two Caliphs." The same writer mentions that "the Persians have sometimes managed to pollute the part near Abu Bakr's and Ūmar's graves by tossing through the aperture what is externally a shawl intended as a present for the tomb." At least, Persian Shi'ites have been accused of attempting such desecration, provoked perhaps at the necessity for dissimulation, when they were not permitted to pray at the point they considered most appropriate. The Sunnite Arabs have thus found excuse from time to time for a general slaughter of the Persian pilgrims.

The tradition is related, however, that the Imam Zayn-al-Abidin stood at this point by the grave of the Apostle, where he offered his Prayer of Visitation and repeated his testimony, or confession of faith. After this he stood with his back to the grave, by the thin green marble slab that is near by. He then leaned on the wall of the mausoleum and faced the kiblah and prayed:

"O God, I trust my affairs to thee and at the tomb of Muḥammad, God be gracious unto him and his Household. I support my back upon him, and I face the kiblah which thou didst favour for Muḥammad.

"O God, since I have lived until morning, I am not sufficient in myself to accomplish the good I wish, and I am not able to put away from myself the evil that I would refrain from, but I have lived until morning, and all things are in thy hand. There is no one more in need than I am. Behold me in need of that good which thou dost send down to me (Koran xxviii. 24).

"O God, give me good from thee, and no one can prevent thy gift.

"O God, I take refuge in thee, for thou mayest change my lot, and thou mayest change my body, or lessen thy bounty towards me.

"O God, honour me with virtue, and exalt me with thy favour, and build me up with assurance. And for this give me gratitude."

There is a pillar included within the mosque which is said to contain a portion of the date palm against which the Prophet leaned when he preached there, before there was any mosque. At this pillar the Shi'ite pilgrim is advised to repeat the Surah al-Ka'ādar eleven times and to make six prostrations in prayer. On the authority of the Imam Ja'far aṣ-Ṣadiq he is to go also to the pulpit Muḥammad used, the mambar, and to rub his face and eyes on the sides of the pulpit, for they are said to cause the healing of eyes. "Stand by the pulpit and offer prayers of thanksgiving and petition, for the Apostle said, Between the pulpit and my house is a garden (rauzat), which is one of the gardens of Heaven, and my pulpit is the Door of Paradise."

There are further instructions for the visit to the Bākī' cemetery, and prayers appointed to be read at the tombs of Faṭima, Hasan, Zayn al-Abidin, Muḥammad Baḵir, and Ja'far aṣ-Ṣadiq, for they are all counted among the "fourteen" intercessors for the Shi'ite world. But the pilgrim to the Bākī' cemetery to-day sees no golden domes and no richly ornamented tombs. The whole area, the resting place of so many of the Household of the Prophet, is but a desolate waste. It remains as a place of weeping, but the formal prayers invoking their intercession are no longer said at the tombs of the Imams in Medina.
CHAPTER XIV
MUSA KAŻIM, "THE FORBEARING"

MUSA KAŻIM was born during the struggle between the Umayyads and the Abbasids. He was only four years old when Abu'l-Abbas aṣ-Saffah, "the shedder of blood," came to the throne as the first Abbasid caliph. For twenty years he was under the authority of his father, who died, or perhaps was poisoned, ten years before the end of the long reign of Mansur. The Imamate of Musa extended through the ten remaining years of the caliphate of Mansur, and included the ten years of the rule of Mahdi, the year and some months of the reign of Hâdi, and about twelve years of the reign of Harún ar-Rashid. Thus for thirty-three years he was Imam, which was eight years longer than his father, Ja'far aṣ-Ṣadîk, had held this coveted but precarious distinction.

His mother, Hamidah, like Khaizarân, the influential wife of the Caliph Mahdi, was originally a Berbery slave, though some writers say she was from Andalusia, whose women were said to have been the most beautiful in Spain. Ya'kubi does not attempt to decide this question, but speaks of her as Umm Walad, "the mother of sons," which entitled her, of course, to special dignity and favour among the other wives and concubines who made up the household of the Imam Ja'far.

With six brothers and nine sisters, Musa grew up in a large family. Isma'il, his oldest brother, was designated to succeed his father as the Imam, but he greatly disturbed the whole Shi'ite community by dying before his father. This was a coincidence that had not been provided for and it gave rise to much startling speculation as to the nature of the "Imamate."

The most damaging suggestion was that Isma'il was the last of the Imams, the seventh, and those who chose this explanation denied that he died before his father's death. They admitted that he had disappeared, but insisted that he would return, as he was not really dead but God had concealed him until the time when he should be manifested. Others accepted the fact of his death and continued the imamate down to Isma'il's son. This whole group who conceived the idea of the visible imamate ceasing with the death of Isma'il or his son were known as the Seveners, Sahib'ya, and they may be identified with the Isma'ilis. "Karmatians, Faṭimidis, Assassins, and the Isma'ilis of India, Persia and Central Asia are groups through which the 'Sevener movement finds its place in secular history, but the Druses also and in a way the Mutavil and Nusairis may also be traced back to the old Sahib'ya."1

The orthodox Shi'ites, the "Twelvers," assert that while the Imam Ja'far aṣ-Ṣadîk had appointed his eldest son Isma'il to succeed him, in so much as Isma'il was found to be addicted to drunkenness, Musa, who was the fourth of the seven sons, had been designated as the next Imam. The dispute that resulted brought about a radical division in Shi'ite Islam, as has been pointed out by Ibn Khaldun. Various sects and sub-sects arose from the continued discussion of the vexed question of the right of succession. These are described in detail by Shahrastani, and an early statement of the various divisions of the Isma'ilis, written from the orthodox Shi'ite point of view,

3. The distinction between the legal wives and the "personal female slaves" has been hard for the chroniclers to maintain. The Aḥādī'uṣ-Shi'ā says she had twenty-eight legal wives in addition to concubines (Bk. IV, Imam Ja'far), but the Jaundu'l-Khâlid (table xiii), mentions only two as wives, but says there were many concubines.

may be found in chapter xix of the Tabsiratu'l-Awwad, by Sayyid Murtaqda, the Alamu'l-Huda, who died in 436/1044.

The first of the twenty-three miracles that are attributed to the Imam Musa relates that another of his older brothers, Abdulla, immediately made his claim to the Imamate. But Musa directed that a large pile of firewood should be collected in the courtyard of a serai, where his friends, including his brother Abdulla, were invited to assemble. As they sat repeating traditions turn about, the Imam Musa ordered the wood to be set on fire, and before them all he went and stood in the flames, but without injury to himself or his clothing. He then challenged his brother Abdulla, if he really felt his claim to the Imamate to be genuine and approved of God, to submit a test. But the traditionist relates that Abdulla changed colour and left the assembly. The first of the twenty-three miracles that are attributed to the Imam Musa relates that another of his older brothers, Abdulla, immediately made his claim to the Imamate. But Musa directed that a large pile of firewood should be collected in the courtyard of a serai, where his friends, including his brother Abdulla, were invited to assemble. As they sat repeating traditions turn about, the Imam Musa ordered the wood to be set on fire, and before them all he went and stood in the flames, but without injury to himself or his clothing. He then challenged his brother Abdulla, if he really felt his claim to the Imamate to be genuine and approved of God, to submit a test. But the traditionist relates that Abdulla changed colour and left the assembly.

What Musa's life was in Medina, as he shared in his father's scholarly retirement, in tremendously exciting times, can be imagined more easily than ascertained. Not much of historical value can be gained from the miracles attributed to him in his young manhood, as for example, on the occasion when a certain Ya'kub ibn Saraj was told by Ja'far as-Sadiq to salute his master, as he pointed to Musa al-Kazim. Ya'kub did so, and the boy Musa commanded him to change the name he had given yesterday to his daughter, as it was displeasing to God. And Ya'kub relates that it was true that he had given a name to a daughter the day before, and that the Imam Ja'far told him to do as Musa said, as it would be to his advantage.

Perhaps the chief characteristic of the time in which Musa lived was that the learning and culture of the Greeks and the Persians had brought out in unfavourable contrast the simplicity and comparative ignorance of the Arabian tribal life. The Arabs, as such, had suffered in their prestige by the despiteful treatment of the Umayyads. National or tribal superiority was no longer accorded to them. On the contrary, indeed, a movement that got its name (al Shu'ubaniyya) from a verse in the Qur'an (xlix, 13), asserted the superiority of the tribes or peoples (shu'uban) on the basis that "the noblest of you in the sight of God are they that do most fear him." They claimed "that the Persians, it might be, or Greeks, were in every way superior to the Arabs, both in arts and sciences, and even in what these claimed as especially their own, the study of genealogies and the practice of the virtues of the desert." The first of the twenty-three miracles that are attributed to the Imam Musa relates that another of his older brothers, Abdulla, immediately made his claim to the Imamate. But Musa directed that a large pile of firewood should be collected in the courtyard of a serai, where his friends, including his brother Abdulla, were invited to assemble. As they sat repeating traditions turn about, the Imam Musa ordered the wood to be set on fire, and before them all he went and stood in the flames, but without injury to himself or his clothing. He then challenged his brother Abdulla, if he really felt his claim to the Imamate to be genuine and approved of God, to submit a test. But the traditionist relates that Abdulla changed colour and left the assembly.

This increased influence of Persians and Greeks, with its bolder disdain for the Arabs, gave rise also to teachings that were regarded as heretical or atheistic, zandaka, by individuals "who managed more or less adroitly to conceal under the veil of Islam old Persian religious ideas. Sometimes indeed they did not consider any disguise to be necessary, but openly set up dualism and other Persian or Manichaean doctrines, and the practices associated therewith, against the dogma and usage of Islam. Such persons were called Zindiqs." The Caliph Mahdi and Hadi and Harun ar-Rashid were thorough and persistent in their efforts to stamp out these forms of heresy. Moreover, at the same time, along with the increased Persian influence, the Alids, who felt generally that they had been ill treated and deceived by the Abbasid branch of the house of Hashim, were ever ready to create disturbances in different parts of the empire. The Imam Musa knew that each of the Caliphs was on the alert to discover in him any signs of disloyalty, and he may frequently have been apprehensive as to what his fate might be, especially if he believed the report that Mansur had brought about the death of his father. Habitual anxiety, however, does not appear to have seriously interrupted his domestic life, for we know that he had a large family of eighteen sons and twenty-three daughters. "He had no legal wife," according to the Jannatu'l-Khulud (table xiv), which makes the blunt statement that "all his children were from slaves, whose names are not known, but this does not affect their nobility, for the essential consideration is their father." Without giving any

1 Khulasatu'l-Akhbar, ch. xxxv.

reason for it, Ya'kubi records that Musa ibn Ja'far decreed that his daughters should not marry, and that none of them did marry except Umm Salma, who was married in Egypt.1

He was mild and patient in his temperament, however, and was called al-Kazīm, “the forbearing,” and Abū'l-Salih, “the Holy Servant.” In illustration of his religious rather than political interest, which was characteristic of all the Imams since Husain, we read “that he entered one evening into the mosque of God’s Apostle (at Medina) and, just as the night was setting in, he made a prostration which lasted until morning, and during that time he was heard to request without intermission, ‘O thou who art the object of our fear! O thou whom it becometh to show mercy! Let thy kindly pardon be granted to me whose sin is so grievous!’ ”

We read also in the notice given him by Ibn Khallikan of his generosity and benevolence, “that when a man had spoken ill of him he sent him a purse containing one thousand dinars,” and “that he used to tie up in packets sums of three hundred, or four hundred, or two hundred dinars and distribute them in the city of Medina.” It may have been this generosity which brought him under suspicion when the Caliph Mahdi had him arrested and brought to Baghdad. But as Ibn Khallikan further relates, “this Caliph had a dream in which Alī ibn Abu Ṭalib appeared to him and said, ‘O Muḥammad, were ye ready therefore, if ye had been put in authority, to commit evil in the earth, and to violate the ties of blood?’ ” Ar-Rabi (Ibn Yunus, the gifted favourite of Al-Mansur) relates in these terms what followed: ‘He sent for me at night and that put me in great dread. I went to him and found him chanting the above verse and no man had a finer voice than he. He said to me, ‘Bring me Musa ibn Ja’far.’ ” I did so and he embraced him, seated him by his side and said to him, “Abu’l-Hasan, I have just seen in a dream the Commander of the Faithful, Alī ibn Abu Ṭalib, and he has recited to me such and such a verse; give me the assurance that you will not revolt against me or against any of my children.”

1 Ibn Khallikan, trans. de Slane, iii. p. 463.
relationship to the Prophet, Harun had said, “Salutation unto thee, O Prophet of God, unto thee who art my cousin!” But as he faced the tomb, the Imam said, “Salutation unto thee, O my dear father!” At this Harun was disconcerted and remarked, “Abu’l-Hasan, such glory as thine is truly to be vaunted of.”

This occurrence would be sufficient to explain his first summons from Harun ar-Rashid to come to Baghdad. There he was kept in prison, and al-Khuzai, the chief of the palace guards, has related a vision the Caliph had which led him to release the Imam. “A messenger came to me from ar-Rashid,” he said, “at an hour in which I never before received his visits; he pulled me from the place where I was and would not even allow me to change my clothes. This put me in great fear. When I arrived at the palace a servant went before me and informed ar-Rashid of my presence. The Caliph ordered me to come in and I found him sitting up in his bed. I saluted him, but he kept silent for some time; so my mind was much troubled and my fears greatly augmented. At length he said, ‘Do you know why I sent for you at such an hour?’ I answered, ‘By Allah, I do not, Commander of the Faithful.’ ‘Know,’ said he, ‘that I just had a dream in which it seemed to me as if an Abyssinian came to me with a javelin in his hand and said to me: ‘Let Musa ibn Ja’far be set at liberty this very hour, otherwise I shall slay thee with this javelin.’ Do you, therefore go and set him free.’ I replied, ‘Commander of the Faithful, I shall then liberate Musa the son of Ja’far for the third time?’ ‘Yes,’ said he, ‘go and set Musa ibn Ja’far at liberty. Give him thirty thousand dirhems and say to him in my name, If you would like to remain with us you will obtain from me whatever you desire, but if you prefer going to Medina you have free permission to do so.’ I then gave him money, set him free, and said to him, ‘I see something in you extraordinary, what is it?’ He replied, ‘I shall tell you. Whilst I was asleep, behold the Apostle of God came to me and said, ‘O Musa, thou hast been imprisoned unjustly, so recite the words I am going to repeat to thee, for assuredly thou shalt not pass all this night in prison.’”’ I replied, “For thee I should give up father and mother, what must I say?” “Repeat these words,” said he:

“O thou who hearest every voice! 
O thou who lettest no opportunity escape! 
O thou who clothest the bones with flesh and who wilt raise them up after death! 
I invoke thee by thy holy name, and by that great and awful name which is treasured up and closely hidden, by that name which no created thing shall ever know!  
O thou who art so mild and whose patience is never equalled! 
O thou whose favours never cease and can not be numbered, set me free!”

“So you see what happened.”

As to what may have led to his final imprisonment, we find that it is stated by al-Fakhri that “there were some of the relatives of Musa ibn Ja’far who were envious of him and carried false reports about him to ar-Rashid, saying, ‘The people are paying him the khums, or one-fifth of their property, are accepting the Imamate, and he is about to come forth against you.’ They brought this report to ar-Rashid so frequently that it made him anxious and agitated. And he gave the accuser money to the amount of the income to be derived from the district. But he did not enjoy the fruits of it, for the money was not collected, when he took seriously ill and died. And in that year ar-Rashid

---


2 Mas’udi, Muruju’d-Dhayab, vi, p. 308; and Ibn Khallikan, op. cit.
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went on the pilgrimage, and when he arrived in Medina, he arrested Musa ibn Ja'far, and brought him to Baghdad in a litter, and imprisoned him under the care of al-Sindi ibn Shāhik."

This agrees with Majlisi's statement, on the basis of the most reliable Shi'ite traditions, that "Harun took him from Medina ten days before the end of the month Shawwal, 177 A.H. Then Harun set out for Mecca and took him with him, and returned by Basra, where he imprisoned him with Isa ibn Ja'far. Afterwards he took him to Baghdad and imprisoned him with al-Sindi ibn Shāhik." Majlisi goes on to say that "the Imam died in his prison and was buried in the cemetery of the Kuraish." And al-Fakhri adds, "Ar-Rashid was at Rakka and sent orders that he should be put to death. They then brought a number of reputable men to Karkh to act as coroners and to testify publicly that he had died a natural death." 

Ya'kubi also mentions this coroners' inquest, "He (Musa) was in prison at the order of ar-Rashid, in the custody of Sindi ibn Shāhik, who sent for the servant Masrur, and he assembled the leaders and the writers, the members of the house of Hashim, and the judges, and whoever was in Baghdad from the descendants of Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib. Then he showed the face of the Imam and said to them, 'Do you know this man?' They said, 'We know him well, he is Musa ibn Ja'far.' Again he asked, 'Do you see any wound upon him, or anything that looks like he was killed?' They answered, 'No.' Then the body of the Imam was washed and wrapped and taken out and buried in the cemetery of the Kuraish on the western side of the city."

CHAPTER XV

ALI AR-RIDA, THE IMAM INVOLVED IN POLITICS

AFTER Harun ar-Rashid had ruthlessly destroyed the influence of the Barmakids, the Arab loving and Persian hating al-Faḍl ibn al-Rabī' again became the vizier. And of the Caliph's two sons, Amin and Ma'mun, the Arab party were exceedingly eager for the former to succeed to the throne, because he was of purely Arab origin, whereas his brother Ma'mun had a Persian mother, a slave named Marādíjil. But Harun ar-Rashid recognized in Ma'mun the more capable ruler. In his quandary as to how he might avoid division in the empire, he sent his executioner, al-Mesrur, to fetch from prison the aged Yahya, whose counsel he felt he needed. To the noble old Barmakid, bereaved by the killing of Ja'far, the impetuous and violent Caliph explained his position: "The Prophet of God, whom be peace, died without a testament, when Islam was yet in the vigour of youth, and the faith was fresh. The Arabs were united, and God had granted security and honour after peril and abasement. Then were the quarrels for succession, with the melancholy results you wot of. For me, I intend to regulate my succession, and to let it pass into the hands of one whose character and conduct I approve, and of whose political capacity I am assured. Such an one is Abdullah (Ma'mun); but the Beni Hashim incline to Muḥammad (Amin) to further their own desires, capricious, extravagant, and sensual though they know him to be, and ever subject to the influence of women. Now, if I show my preference for Abdullah, I let loose against me the hatred of the house of Hashim; but if I make Muḥammad my only heir, I fear it will bring trouble on the State." Accordingly, in 183 A.H., the year in which this same executioner, al-Mesrur,
was thought to have brought about the death of the Imam Musa Ka'zim in the prison of al-Sindi, Harun-ar-Rashid arranged to publicly proclaim Amin as his successor in Baghdad, "with the guardianship of the holy cities and the spiritual headship of Islam," but Ma'mun was to rule the eastern provinces, "where the Persian element prevailed," and with his capital at Merv. And in case of the death of either Amin or Ma'mun, the survivor was to govern the entire empire.¹

Nine years later, 192 A.H., Harun set out for Khorasan, accompanied by his son Ma'mun. There had been repeated rebellion and widespread discontent in Khorasan, and the objects of the journey were to quell this rebellion and to establish Ma'mun in his new authority. Amin remained in Iraq, but his watchful friend, the vizier Faql ibn Rabî', went along with Harun ar-Rashid, Ma'mun had with him his chief adviser, Faql ibn Sahl.

When they had made the long and tedious journey along the course of the Elburz mountains, and had passed over the pass at the modern Sharrfabad, they arrived at Naugawn, the largest town in the district of Tus. Here the Caliph Harun ar-Rashid was suddenly taken seriously ill, and the same night he died. Perhaps his death was due to the exertion of the journey, at a time when he was trying to conceal a physical disability from which he had been suffering. Or, as others explain, he had an attack of nervous heart failure, when he realized that he had arrived unwell at Tus, the place where it had been foretold that he was to die. He was buried in a garden, at a place called Sanabad, about one mile from Naugawn. Immediately afterwards his vizier, al-Faql ibn Rabî', left hastily to return to Baghdad and ordered back the army that was coming with reinforcements.

"Ma'mun was furious at this defection of Faql ibn ar-Râbi', and he had at his side Faql ibn Sahl, whose devotion to the Persian cause was only equalled by his hatred to his namesake Amin's vizier. This man pointed out to his master that he must prepare for a decisive struggle, and that his brother had, by his minister's act in depriving him of his troops, really aimed a blow at his succession to that part of the inheritance which his father had left him. He also reminded him of the powerful influence which Persia had exercised in the elevation of the Abbasids to power in Abu Muslim's days, and, in fine, urged him to strengthen his position by conciliating the Persian people, and then to aim at grasping the whole and undivided sovereignty for himself."¹

Accordingly, Ma'mun made peace in Khorasan, and gave diligent attention to ingratiating himself with his subjects in that province. At the same time he adhered scrupulously to the sworn compact he had made with his father at Mecca, and recognized his brother Amin as Caliph. But when al-Faql ibn al-Rubâ'i returned to Baghdad he soon persuaded Amin to ignore his part of the agreement, and to appoint his son Musa to succeed him in place of Ma'mun. This was done in 194 A.H. It was on this provocation, therefore, that Ma'mun prepared to send his armies from Khorasan to maintain his own right to the Caliphate. These armies, strengthened by thousands of Persian supporters who preferred Ma'mun to Amin, were under the able command of the generals Harthama and Tahir. The crisis of the fighting came in the siege of Baghdad, which was long and difficult (196-198 A.H.), and ended only when Tahir sent the head of Amin to Ma'mun in Khorasan, as "a proof that the war was now really at an end."²

While Ma'mun was declared Caliph at this time, according to the agreement, which was strengthened by the victory of his troops, yet it was nearly six years before he ventured to return personally to Baghdad. During this period he continued to be influenced strongly by his vizier, Faql ibn Sahl, whose Persian and Shi'ite sympathies were well known. Finally, as a master stroke of diplomacy, in his opinion, he arrived at the decision to attempt to conciliate the Shi'ites by designating their Imam as his successor to the Caliphate.


³ *Le Strange*, *Baghdad during the Abbasid Caliphate*, p. 310.
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The Shi'ite Imam at the time was Ali ar-Rida, the son of Musa al-Kazim. He also had a Persian mother, a slave girl named Tukhtam, whom Hamida had herself chosen for her son Musa Kazim.† We learn from the same source that the boy Riḍa required a very great deal of milk. When the mother was asked if her milk was sufficient, she answered, "Truly it is not because my milk is not sufficient, but he wants it all the time, and consequently I am falling short in my prayers." His father, with his numerous concubines and no legal wife, his eighteen sons and his nineteen daughters, was apparently somewhat negligent about keeping the family records, for the birth of Ali ar-Rida is placed by several writers as late as 153 A.H., but the date usually accepted by Shi'ite authorities is the eleventh of Dhu’l-Ka‘dah in the year 148 A.H.‡ He was thus twenty or twenty-five years old when he succeeded his father as Imam in Medina, and it was about eighteen years later, when the Caliph Ma‘mun was not entirely sure of his personal following in Iraq, that he undertook to ingratiate himself with the numerous Shi'ite parties by designating Ali ar-Rida as his successor to the Caliphate.

The Caliph Ma‘mun was far away in Merv and he sent to Medina for Ali ar-Rida to come to him at his distant army headquarters. The Imam answered Ma‘mun’s summons, and set out from Medina in the year 200 A.H., making a beginning on the long journey to Merv, which lay in what was at that time the extreme north-eastern corner of Persia. In so doing he was abandoning the policy of his three immediate predecessors, for the Imam could not be the heir apparent to the Caliphate without becoming inextricably involved in politics. He took pains, however, to indicate that it was not at his desire, but that he was acting only in accord with the summons he had received.

In the long list of miracles attributed to him,§ he is represented as a thoughtful and likeable man, in spite of the showy piety

† Majlisi, Muhammad Bakir, Awvarul-Bahdar, Vol. XII, p. 3; Cf. also Ibn Babawalthi (d. 431 A.H.), Uyunu’l-Akhbari’l-Rida, p. 11.
‡ Ibn Khalil Khan, Arabic text, Bulak, Vol. I, p. 348; and Mas‘udi, Murujul-Dhakhdhak, viii, p. 61.
§ Kulaini, Usulu’l-Kaft, p. 200; and Majlisi, op. cit., p. 1.
† Majlisi, op. cit., p. 6, ff.
I examined was written: 'O Abu Muhammad, here are fifty dinars, twenty-six of which are for paying your debt, and the remaining twenty-four are for the expenses of your wife.' But in the morning, however much I searched for this coin, I could not find it, though the total number was fifty dinar.'

When he set out on his long and arduous journey from Medina to Merv, the Imam first made a farewell pilgrimage to Mecca, then he went to Basra so as to reach Baghdad without going to Kufa. From Baghdad he went north, up high mountain passes to Kermanshah and Hamadan. He travelled by short stages to ar-Rayy, the Greek Rhages, which was near the site of the present Teheran. From there the patient caravan, bearing the incarnate Light of Muhammad, continued due east for about a month until it reached the city of Tus. From Tus they travelled on to the city of Merv, in what is modern Turkestan. It is possible, and in fact almost certain, that the Imam travelled much more slowly than the time required for successive daily stages from Baghdad to Merv, a period of from two to three months, for he was lavishly entertained and consequently much delayed along the way.

On his arrival in Merv he found the Caliph Ma'mun had not changed his mind, but treated him with the highest honour and put elegant lodgings at his disposal. Shi'ite writers maintain that he was forced to accept Ma'mun's proposal, but that he had expressed his strong preference to be free from all secular administrative duties. According to Ya'qubi it was on the 27th day of Ramaḍan, in the year 202 A.H., that he was officially designated as Ma'mun's 'heir apparent,' wall'ahd, and the Caliph had the Imam's name included with his own on gold and silver coins. The inscription on these coins is well worthy of notice: "The King of God and the Faith, al-Ma'mun, Amir and Khalifa of the Faithful, and ar-Riḍa, Imam of the Muslims." This meant more than that the Imam was to be official chaplain to him, for Ma'mun summoned the descendants of Abbas, men and women, to come to him at Merv. It was an assembly of thirty-three thousand, including both adults and children, and when they were all gathered together, the Caliph called for Ali ar-Riḍa and gave him the place of honour among the greatest of the nobles. He then announced to those whom he had summoned, that he had carefully considered all the descendants of Abbas and also the descendants of Ali, and that he had not found in his time anyone more worthy or more fit to be his successor than Ali ar-Riḍa. He therefore took him by the hand and publicly acknowledged him as his successor. Then he gave him his daughter Habib in marriage. He also sent abroad the command that the wearing of black and the use of black flags should be discontinued, and that hereafter the use of green should be substituted, green being the colour of the house of Ali, whereas black had been the insignia of the Abbasids.

This momentous action was of course reported to the Arab party in Baghdad, who had long been ill-disposed towards Ma'mun. The scions of the family in Iraq perceived that by this appointment the principal authority in the empire would very likely be taken from them. They got together, therefore, and proclaimed that for thus bequeathing the Caliphate after his death to the Imam Riḍa, who was not of their immediate family, Ma'mun himself was declared deposed, and they swore allegiance to Tbrāhīm ibn Mālīdī, who was the uncle of Ma'mun, as their new Caliph. This proclamation took place on the fifth of Muharram, in the year 202 A.H.

While the Imam Riḍa was with Ma'mun in Merv, al-Faḍl ibn Sahl arranged for a conference on religions, to which he invited the leaders of different sects, including Zoroastrians and Christians and Jews, that they might hear what the Imam had to say and that he also might hear what they said. The first conference, when the Imam was seated beside Ma'mun, led to other meetings.

---

1 Kulaini, op. cit., p. 201.
2 Sell, ibn Ashariyya, Madras, p. 32.
3 Ya'qubi, Tarikh, edit. de Goege, pp. 249, 250.
4 Sell, op. cit., p. 32.
One of these was devoted to the discussion of the Divine Unity, when a scholar from Khorasan, Sulaiman al-Mervi, took a leading part in the deliberations (ch. xiii); another conference took up the question of the sinlessness of the Prophets, and the answer to the Imam's statement was made by Ali ibn Muhammad ibn al-Jahm (ch. xiv); and this led to another conference on the same subject (ch. xv), when the Caliph Ma'mun took considerable part in the deliberations (ch. xiii); another conference took up the subject (ch. xiv), and this led to another conference on the same subject (ch. xv), when the Caliph Ma'mun took considerable part in the discussion himself. Unfortunately the reports we have of these conferences from Shi'ite sources were not written until nearly two hundred years after the event, and it was apparently easier for the writer, Ibn Babawaihi (d. 431 A.H.), to supply appropriate sayings for his opponents, whether Jewish, Christian, or Zoroastrian. It is possible that Theodore Abū Quorra, the Bishop of Harran, may have been the unidentified "Catholics", in one or more of these conferences and that his report of a "mujādala," or debate, before the Caliph Ma'mun may be genuine. His report, however, like that of Ibn Babawaihi, is notably weak in stating his opponents' positions, and whereas the one report refers only vaguely and inaccurately to the Taurat and the Injil, the other is perhaps equally unscholarly in its references to the Koran.

At the most, the Imam Riḍa could not have remained in Merv more than about a year, for when Ma'mun heard that his uncle Ibrahim had been proclaimed Caliph in Baghdad, he decided that it was time for him to return from Khorasan and assert his rights in person. He set out, therefore, in the same year, on his return journey to Iraq (202 A.H.). He was accompanied, as Ya'kubi mentions definitely, by al-Riḍa, who was his heir-apparent, and by al-Fadl ibn Sahl, who was known as Lord of the Two Highest Offices, i.e., vizier and commander-in-chief. But when they reached the town of Sarakhs, the vizier, who was in the same hostel with Ma'mun, was assassinated in his bath by Ghālib ar-Rūmī and Sarraj al-Khādīm, who had a number of people as their supporters. All of those in any way connected with the murder were immediately put to death by Ma'mun, which lends weight to the suggestion that the cause was the jealousy of members of the Arab party, rather than that Ma'mun had himself arranged it because of his suspicion that Fadl had been withholding information in regard to the unfavourable military situation in Iraq. And in one or two days, when the army reached the district of Tus, Ali ar-Riḍa died in the village called Nauqawn, in the beginning of the year 203 A.H. Ya'kubi, who gives the Shi'ite point of view, says that "his sickness was no more than three days, and it was reported that Ali ibn Hisham had given him a pomegranate that was poisoned." Ma'mun, however, showed great grief at the time of ar-Riḍa's funeral." Mas'udi says that Riḍa's death was "on account of grapes which he had eaten, as he had eaten too many. Some say, though, that he was poisoned." He is commonly pictured as eating poisoned grapes.

Ibn Babawaihi relates various reasons that have been assigned to Ma'mun for poisoning the Imam ar-Riḍa, and shows also the circumstances in which ar-Riḍa is said to have designated his son Muhammad as his successor in the Imamate.

Thus Ali ar-Riḍa died and was buried far off from Medina, the home of his forefathers of the household of the Prophet. In Sanabad, about a mile from the village where he died, they placed him in a grave inside the tomb of the most celebrated of the Abbasid caliphs; for it was in this same garden spot that Ma'mūn had buried his father Harun ar-Rashid ten years before. At this time, on his long delayed return to Baghdad, he stood in the same place and offered the funeral prayer for the Imam whom he had hoped to make a Caliph.

1 Encyclopedia of Islam, art. "Ma'mun."
3 Ya'kubi, Tarikh, ii, p. 550.
4 Mas'udi, Muruj-ul-Dhabab, vii, p. 63.
5 Ibn Babawaihi, Uyunu'l-Akhbari'l-Rida, chaps. lxx-lix.
CHAPTER XVI
THE DISTANT SHRINE AT MASHHAD
Significance of the Pilgrimage

THE fact that the Imam Ali ar-Rida was buried in such a distant place as Tus has received its full share of attention in the Shi'ite traditions. Even the Prophet Muhammad is said to have remarked, "A part of my body is to be buried in Khorasan, and whoever goes there on pilgrimage God will surely destine to Paradise, and his body will be haram, forbidden, to the flames of Hell; and whoever goes there with sorrow, God will take his sorrow away."

Ali, the Amiru'l-Mu'minin, is represented as having a clear prophetic vision, when he said, "One of my children will be cruelly poisoned in the land of Khorasan. His name will be the same as mine, and his father's name will be Musa." But to compensate for the suffering that was to come to this particular one of his offspring, Ali went on to give the assurance that "whoever goes to visit his tomb, God will forgive all his past and future sins. Though his sins be as many as the stars, as in the drops of rain, or as the leaves of the trees, they will all be forgiven."

And Musa, the father of Ali ar-Rida, is said to have declared very definitely, "My son Ali will be killed by poisoning, with cruelty and deceit, and he will be buried beside the tomb of Harun ar-Rashid." As he continued to show that whosoever should make the pilgrimage to the tomb of his son Ali should have the merit of seventy thousand pilgrimages to Mecca, Musa ibn Ja'far made the statement, "Whoever sits at his shrine for one night is as though he had gone to the seventh heaven to meet God." This statement was challenged with the surprised inquiry, "As though he had gone to meet God"? "Yes," the
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Imam went on to explain, for in the four corners of the seventh heaven are Noah, Abraham, Moses and Jesus; and on the right side of God himself are Muhammad and Ali, and on the left are Hasan and Husain. These are the four who, on the Day of Judgment, will cast the rope that is fastened to the foot of the throne of God to all pilgrims to any of the shrines of the Imams, and will draw them up to sit with them in the seventh heaven."1

Many such traditions are inscribed in the shrine at the present time. This last one may be seen on a cornice opposite the Golden Porch of Nadir.

Historical Sketch of Mashhad

It was in the beginning of the ninth century of the Christian era that the Imam Ali ar-Rida died at Tus. To Ya'kubi, who wrote during the latter part of the same century, we owe the knowledge of the fact that Tus was at that time the name of a district rather than of a particular city. Its two principal towns were Naugawn and Tabarân, and of the two Naugawn was the larger and the one most frequently called Tus. The Arab inhabitants of the district were of the tribe of Tay, but the majority of the people were Persians.2 It was in Naugawn, therefore, that both Harun ar-Rashid and the Imam Rida died, although it was Tabarân which became the celebrated city of Tus in later years. This opinion, based on the statements of Ya'kubi, is confirmed also by the stages given by Ibn Rustah from Nishapur to Tus, which indicate that the destination was at that time Naugawn rather than Tabarân.3

When Harun ar-Rashid came to Tus, he stopped for the night in the house of Hamid ibn Ghutbah at-Tay'i, who was the governor of the district, and who had a house and garden at Sanabad, a mile from Naugawn. As he had requested, he was buried in a room of this house, and his son, Ma'mun, ordered a tomb to be built above his grave. Thus when the Imam Rida died in the

1 Majlisi, Tafkatu'z-Zdirin, p. 314 ff.
2 Ya'kubi, Kitabu'l-Buldan, edit. de Goeje, p. 277; and Le Strange, Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p. 389.
same town of Naugawn, and was buried in the same tomb, it
was said of him:

"He entered the house of Hamid ibn Ghutbah at-Tay'i
And he entered the tomb of Harun ar-Rashid."

In the tenth century we observe that the adjacent quarter of
Tabarán had a fortress "which was a huge building, 'visible
afar off,' as Mukaddasi writes, and the markets of this half of
the town were well supplied." Also we note that at this time "the
neighbouring tombs of Sanábádh were already in the fourth
tenth century surrounded by a strongly fortified wall, and the
shrine, as Ibn Hawkál reports, was constantly thronged by
devotees. A mosque had been built near the tomb of the Imam
Rida by the Amir

Shi'ites.

For several years after this the tomb was left in neglect.
and ruin, for there was such general fear of religious persecu-
tion that no one undertook to rebuild it.

But early in the eleventh century, it is said that Sultan Mahmud,
the son of Sabuktagin, saw Ali, the Amiru'l-Mu'minin, in a vision.
and that Ali said to him, "How long shall this remain as it is?"
He understood that Ali referred to the mashhad, or place of
martyrdom, of the Imam Ri'āḍa, and he erected a creditable
building with a high dome. The work was done under the
direction of the governor of Nishapur in A.D.1009, but this
second building also was soon destroyed by the ravages of
Turkish tribes and robbers. That the destruction was thorough-

1 Ibn Babawalii, Uyuru'l-Abhari'r-Ri'āḍa, ch. 59.
2 Le Strange, Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p. 389.
3 Musli'm-Shams, by Mirza Muhammad Hasan Khan (l'timad'u'd-Dawla)
Vol. II, p. 49.
4 Sykes, Sir Percy, History of Persia, Vol II, p. 935 ff.; Mafa'is-Shams,
Vol. II, p. 50; and Le Strange, op. cit., p. 90.

In the twelfth century, in the reign of Sultan Sanjar, Seljuki,
a man named Abu Ţahir, Kumi, restored the building, either with
his personal funds or at the expense of the Sultan. But before
this new structure had stood for a hundred years, it was damaged
seriously, though not destroyed, at the time of the invasion of
the Mongols. For in A.D. 1220, after Telegu Khan had
slaughtered the inhabitants of Nishapur, he came with hordes
of his followers upon Tus, and did the same thing again. He
destroyed the city of Tus (old Tabarán), and sacked the shrine
at Mashhad, where were the tombs of Ali ibn Musa ar-Ri'āḍa and
of Harun ar-Rashid. They did not completely destroy the shrine,
however, for several inscriptions in the tomb room of the present
mausoleum are dated 612 A.H. (A.D. 1215), or five years before
this Mongul invasion.

There was, however, a restoration and rebuilding of the shrine
early in the fourteenth century, in the government of Sultan
Muhammad Uljaitu, who was the first of the Shi'ah party of the
Moguls. We read in Howarth's History of the Mongols that
Uljaitu's mother had taught him the Christian faith, and that he
had been baptized with the name Nicholas, but that after his
mother's death his wife had persuaded him to become a Mussul-
man. During his reign he "enjoined observance of the command-
ments of religion and the precepts of Muḥammadanism, while an
adherence to the yassa of Ghazan was also required." And the
funds that accrued from the shrine endowments were spent
according to the will of the founders, and it was at this time
when the shrine revenues were available, that the tomb of the
Imam Ri'āḍa at Mashhad was restored. While the faith of Uljaitu
fluctuated many times, especially when he was sorely displeased
with the discussions between the Hanifites and the Shi'ites
on marriage regulations, yet on his later coins the blessing of
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God is invoked, not only upon Ali, but upon all the twelve Imams.1

The traveller Ibn Batutah visited the reconstructed shrine a few years later on in the fourteenth century (A.D. 1333), and relates that he found Mashhad “a large and well-peopled city, abounding with fruits.” Over the tomb, he wrote, “is a large dome, adorned with a covering of silk, and golden candlesticks. Under the dome, and opposite to the tomb of ar-Riḍa, is the grave of the Caliph Harun ar-Rashid. Over this they constantly place candlesticks with lights, but when the followers of Ḥusain enter, as pilgrims, they kick the grave of ar-Rashid, but pour out their benedictions over that of ar-Riḍa.”2 Mustawfī also, who was contemporary with Ibn Batutah, refers to Sanabad by the name Mashhad, and says “the shrine has become a little town,” and speaks appreciatively of the friendliness of the people to strangers, and of the abundance of the fruits.”3

After these accounts had been written, however, only a few years elapsed until, in the devastating raids of Timur Lang (Timur the Lame), which began in Khorasan in A.D. 1380, both Tus and Meshed again suffered severely. Fortunately Timur’s son, Shah Rukh, was made governor of Khorasan and busied himself at once with the task of rehabilitation. And after the death of Timur, particularly at the time of the rebellion in Samarkand, in so much as he anticipated an extensive advance in that direction, he thought it only prudent to organize and reduce to order such places as had already been conquered. In the year A.D. 1405, he at first contemplated rebuilding Tus, but found that those whom the sword had spared had settled round about Sanabad, where they had already built for themselves mud houses. He made an effort, through his officials, to persuade them to return to Tus, but they were unwilling to do so. For they looked upon the place where they had settled as a place of refuge, and accordingly, after securing the permission of Shah Rukh, they built walls and fortifications about their houses, and this is the place that has become the famous city of Mashhad, whereas Tus (on the site of old Tabarán) has been completely abandoned.1

It was the wife of Shah Rukh who provided the money for building adjacent to the shrine a magnificent mosque, which is known by her name still, the Masjid-i-Gauhar Shād, and has been called “the noblest mosque in Central Asia.”2 Inscriptions may yet be seen in this mosque that mention her as the original donor, but there are other inscriptions that attribute the completion and further ornamentation of it to Shah Sultan Husain, Safavi. On one of the earliest inscriptions, dated 821 A.H., which was in the time of Gauhar Shād, there is a tradition that the Prophet Muhammad had declared, “In a mosque a believer is like a fish in water, but an unbeliever is like a cooped-up chicken.”

We have no record of any further destruction that occurred to the shrine of the Imam Riḍa until the time of the severe earthquake that cracked the main building during the reign of Shah Sulaiman I of the Safavi dynasty. Sir John Chardin was in Isfahan when the reports of the earthquake came and he made the following entry in his traveller’s diary for the eleventh of August, A.D. 1673: “On the 11th, there arriv’d two Expresses, one upon the Heels of the other, with bad news, to wit, That two thirds of Metched, the Capital of Corasson, which is the Choromithirene; one half of Nichapour, anoth great Town of the same Province, and a little town near Nichapour, had been overthrown by an earthquake. That which most sensibly touched the Persians, and particularly the Devout Part of them, was the Damage that had happened to the Mosque of Metched, in which is the tomb of Imam Resa, and is a Magnificent Mosque, and Famous through all the East. The Dome thereof was quite broke down, but the rest of the Edifice remain’d as was said, pretty entire. The King immediately sent Post, a Person of Quality, to take a more particular Account of the Damage;
and soon after, he despatch'd two other Lords, with his Orders to the Officers of the Province, in so great a Calamity."

Two months later, Chardin observes: "On the 9th (of October), I went to the House of the King's Goldsmiths, which is in the Royal Palace, to see them make some Gilt Plates in the Form of Tiles, which were to cover the Dome of the Mosque of Imam Reza at Metched, which an Earthquake had flung down as I before related. A thousand men, as was said, were employed in repairing this Mosque; and they worked at it with so much Diligence and Application, that it was to be finished by the latter end of December. These Plates were of Brass, and square, Ten Inches in Breadth, and Sixteen in Length, and of the Thickness of two Crown-Pieces. Underneath were two Bars three Inches broad, soldér'd on Cross-wise, to sink into the Parget, and so serve as Cramp-Irons to fasten the Tiles. The upper part was gilt so thick, that one would have taken the Tile to have been of Massif-Gold; Each Tile took up the weight of three Ducates and a quarter of Gilding, and came to about ten Crowns Value. They were ordered to make Three thousand at first, as I was told by the Chief Goldsmith who was Overseer of the Work." 2

This repair of the golden dome by the order and in the time of Shah Sulaiman is mentioned by an inscription on the dome itself, and the concluding statement of this inscription is: "Shah Sulaiman Husaini was enabled to cover with gold this heavenly dome, to ornament it and to put it in thorough repair, when it had received injury by a severe earthquake in this sacred place in the year 1084/1673, but the date of this repair, when complete, was in 1086/1675." 3 An inscription on the door leading into the mosque from the golden porch indicates that Shah Sulaiman repaired the Masjid-i-Gauhar Shad at the same time. 4

On a panel of the frieze of the interior of the golden dome there is an inscription that commemorates the fact that Shah
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2 Sir John Chardin's Travels in Persia, p. 112.
4 Ma'la'u'sh-Shams, Vol. II, p. 139.
regard the Imam Riḍa. In the sacred city farthest away from Sunnite interference, after a period of many years in which traditions have grown more wonderful it is not surprising that many amazing deeds have been attributed to him. “Rain fell in answer to his prayers, and he indicated for which province every rain cloud was destined; he caused a gold coin to come from a rock by rubbing it with a piece of wood; he informed Abd Allah ibn Mughira of a prayer which the latter had made at Mecca; he knew what passed in the hearts of men and gave many examples thereof; he knew beforehand the hour of men’s deaths. In mid-winter he made the grass grow in a garden and the grapes ripen. The third hour of the day is sacred to him; his intercession is invoked for a favourable journey by land or sea, and in order to be delivered from the sufferings of exile.”¹ And besides all this, the pilgrimage city that was farthest away from the centre of Islamic culture could not be reached except by a long and fatiguing journey of eight or nine hundred miles, so that it was a means of rare merit and a sign of extreme devotion to visit the shrine of the Imam Riḍa in distant Mashhad.

A Description of the Sacred Shrine

A central avenue (Khiabán),¹ running approximately from the north-east to the south-west, extends through the entire length of the city. The visitor may enter the Shrine area from either the Upper or the Lower gate on this avenue, or by way of the covered Bazaar.² There is a water channel³ in the middle of the broad avenue, but the plane trees which were planted here many years ago and which were spoken of as “some straggling trees remaining” when Fraser saw them in 1825,² have now grown to such huge proportions that many of them have fallen. Round about the entire Shrine district a boulevard is being built to afford a convenient and necessary detour for traffic. The making of the southern half of this boulevard has served also

² Fraser, James B., *Journey into Khurasan*, 1825, p. 444.
to open up a section that was badly congested with inferior buildings, such as old hostels and bath-houses, that have been a real menace to the health of the city. And marvellous to relate, the northern half of the new boulevard has been cut directly through the extensive cemetery, where for many generations devout Shi’ites have buried their dead, with implicit faith that on the Judgment Day they would rise with the Imam and be saved from eternal punishment by his intercession. The ditches that were made on each side of the broad new street, so that trees could be planted and irrigated, were dug through six or eight layers of graves, the stones of which have been removed and utilized for curbing or paving purposes. The remainder of the old cemetery, covering about ten acres to the north, is being cleared off and made into a park, which is bisected by the new Tabbasi Avenue, which goes directly from the Shrine into the heart of the quarter of the city which was old Naugawn.

On arriving at the barrier on the Upper Avenue, beyond which wheeled traffic and all unbelievers may not pass, one can see the delicate tile work on the arched gateway that leads into the Old Court, which measures 277 by 105 feet. Beyond this gateway is a comparatively crude-looking tower for the clock, which counts the hours and half-hours from sunrise to sunrise. Across the old court and leading to the Lower Avenue is a similar gateway, surmounted by another tower which is not for a clock but for those who beat the kettle-drums and blow trumpets at sunrise and sunset. This is called the nikārah khānah, or kettle-drum house. Beating the kettle-drums to celebrate the advent of the day and the setting of the sun, and as a royal salute, has been customary in Persia from ancient times. Inside the

---

1 The use of the kettle-drum by the Parthians to frighten their enemies is mentioned by Plutarch (Crassus, xxiii, 10), and the custom of employing the kettle-drums in a royal salute was prevalent in Europe in the Middle Ages. They were used also at the time of the triumphal entry of Edward III into Calais, 1347, and the “nakāres” are mentioned by Chaucer in the Knight’s Tale (line 2514) among the musical instruments employed in the tournament. (Encyclopedia of Islam, art. “Kettledrum.”) The beating of the kettle-drums in the Shrine at Mashhad, however, to greet the rising of the setting sun, suggests that it is a custom that has survived from practices connected with the special veneration of the sun in Persia in pre-Islamic times.
Old Court the visitor is struck with the gleaming gold on the lofty dome above the tomb. This effect is intensified as he looks upon the glistening minaret that rises above the Golden Porch, and as he glances across to the opposite minaret above the Porch of Abbas. Slightly to the west of the centre of the court is a water tank, which in recent years has been supplied, not from the channel running on the avenue, but from pipes that come from a clean reservoir on the western side of the city.

The large area behind the Old Court is taken up by the main building of the Shrine, which has about fifteen rooms and various alcoves and passages. The tomb room is about 34 feet square, and the Golden Dome above it rises to a height of 82 feet. At the present time there is nothing to indicate the tomb of Harun ar-Rashid except an unmarked pillar in the corner of the room that is closest to the tomb of the Imam. The custom of cursing the deceased Caliph is not so uniformly observed as formerly. At the command of Nasiru'd-Din Shah the interior of this dome was decorated with excellent mirror-work, and the walls are ornamented with broad panels of valuable tiles, on which are inscribed verses from the Koran and favourite traditions.

The customary entrance to the tomb is from the East, but in each of the four directions there is a niche or hallway where the pilgrims may stand and offer the prescribed prayers, and on the walls of these hallways are many inscriptions that celebrate the magnificence of the Shrine. An interesting and highly imaginative one of these is at the place of prayer "below the foot of the Blessed One," where one may read:

Gabriel came from Heaven, and likewise a hauri,
To go round about the sacred Shrine of the Imam;
And they deliberately gave their wings to the edge of the shears
In the hope that they might thus be able to tarry.

Another verse in praise of the Shrine declares that "in order to appreciate its full height and glory the Heavens use the Sun and the Moon as binoculars."

The tomb is protected by three steel gratings, one within the other. There is a sarcophagus of wood, plated with gold, that bears the name of Shah Abbas. Surrounding this is the first grating of plain steel, which is protected by a screen of copper wire to receive the gifts that are there deposited by devoted pilgrims, gifts that it is customary for the Shrine authorities to remove a few days before Nā ṫ Ruz (New Year's day) and to sell at auction. The second steel grating is ornamented with gold and jewels and has an inscription that marks it as the gift of Shah Husain, Safavi; and the third or outer grating, also of steel, is decorated with a delicate inscription of the whole of the Surah Insān (Koran lxxvi). The second and third gratings each have gold balls at the corners, and above the tomb there is a wooden roof, which is covered with gold leaf, and from beneath there are golden hanging ornaments that are also set with jewels.

Pilgrims make their way around the tomb, starting at the point to the south which is described as "before the Face of the Blessed One," where they pray for peace upon the Imam, whom they call the Stranger, the Martyr, the Oppressed, the Sinless, the Poisoned, the Bereaved, the Grieved, and the Guide and Protector of the followers of the right way. They pass then to the East, "at the foot of the Blessed One," where they pray for peace upon the Imam again, but they include in their prayer the curse, "God kill him who killed thee! And God curse those who oppressed thee by their hands and their tongues." They then go "behind the Head of the Blessed One," and again ask for peace upon the Imam, this time as the heir of Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad. Finally they pray "above the Head of the Blessed One," at the West, "Be thou for me a mediator before God on High, a Saviour from Hell fire, on Earth a Support, and on the Road of Life an Assurance, and in the Grave a most intimate Friend and Companion, and the mercy of God and His blessing be upon thee."

1 It is the Muhammadan custom, at the time of burial, to lay the corpse on its right side, facing the Kiblah.
Behind the Shrine building is the *Masjid* of Gohar Shad, the entire area of which, including its courtyard, measures 181 by 164 feet. It has a magnificent blue dome, flanked by two minarets, all of blue tile and all rising to a height of 140 feet. The interior of the mosque is 116 feet long, 41 feet wide, and 87 feet high, and to each side of this great corridor there are enclosed rooms that are called “places for the night.” Thus the shape is not unlike a cathedral, except for the great open front, with its arch and minarets, which are decorated with tile work in such intricate designs that they make an altogether delightful harmony of colours. And on the massive dome of blue tiles, that seems to change its tint with the varying light of the sky, appears the Moslem creed, declaring the Unity of God and proclaiming Muhammad as the Apostle of God. And at the base of the great dome are inscribed the first 39 verses of the Surah *Ya Sin* (Koran xxxvi), to which the Shi’ites ascribe such importance.

In the middle of the courtyard of the mosque is a raised stone platform, about 37 feet square, and with fountains on each side. It is called the *Masjid* of the Old Woman, in memory of a supposed old lady who long refused to sell this particular piece of land.

To the east of the main Shrine building is the New Court, which was built in the time of Fath Ali Shah. It also has a water tank in the centre, for a bountiful supply of water is greatly appreciated by the crowds of pilgrims, not only for their convenience and comfort, but to enable them to perform their ceremonial ablutions in any one of the three great courts. Throughout the month of Ramadan and on nights preceding holidays all the courts of the Shrine are brilliantly illuminated. There are now electric lights on the domes and the minarets so that their graceful outlines and lustre and colour may be seen any night, not alone from almost all parts of the city, but by pilgrims and travellers who may be coming over the mountains some fifteen miles away.

The boulevard that is being made around the Shrine district has given new importance to the area north of the central avenue. The large old building behind the Porch of Abbas has been occupied by the oldest of the many theological schools, that of Mirza Ja’far. This building is being remodelled to serve as a library and museum, which travellers who are not Moslems will be free to visit, for it is to have an entrance from the boulevard. When this building is completed, the famous old carpets, the wealth of jewels, and the many handwritten copies of the Koran, and numerous manuscripts of rare books, that have been kept for years in the vaults of the Shrine, will be systematically arranged and displayed. The new building will accommodate the library that is kept at present in three rooms of the main Shrine building, and the rumour is that the number of books on general subjects is to be largely increased so as to give it more of the character of a public library.

The Income from Shrine Properties

In the year 1900 a catalogue of the various properties belonging to the Shrine of the Imam Riḍa was published by the Azamu’d-Daulah. A very small edition of this book was issued, however, and it is only intelligible to those who take great pains to unravel what is evidently its intentional obscurity. Large grants of property were made to the Shrine by successive rulers of Persia and official registers have been kept which are called “tomârs,” or rent rolls. These give the annual income in cash, or in produce, for the many fields, gardens, shops, bath houses, mills, etc., that are included in confusing detail. There is no summary given anywhere in the book to show the total value of the income from these properties, and consequently it has been necessary to classify, condense, and summarize these records, with the result that the total annual income at that time appeared to be approximately $235,000. But after this estimate was made, one of the

This new catalogue (1930) is arranged by subjects and can be much more readily consulted than the list of the books that appears at the end of Vol. II of the *Matâu’sh-Shams*.
Persian newspapers in Meshed\footnote{The Chaman published this account in a "special issue" in 1923, in an article protesting against the misuse of Shrine money.} published a statement account of the Shrine income and expenditures for the year 1878, which gave the total as $250,000. The present Shah of Persia, Shah Rida, the founder of the new Pahlavi dynasty, has succeeded in virtually taking over the administration of all the ecclesiastical lands and endowments throughout the country, with the result that the National Bank of Persia now handles the money for the Shrine in Meshed, and it is said that the annual income has already increased considerably.

**Officials and Servants of the Shrine**

When the first good snow falls there is general rejoicing in Mashhad, for it is the failure of winter rains and snow that causes hard times and famine. Accordingly, when the first snow falls, it is customary for the chief officials of the Shrine to go themselves and shovel it off the flat roof at the base of the Golden Dome. This is a public expression of their gratitude to the Imam when the people joyfully see the prospect for another year of plenty. The highest of the officials in rank is the Mutawali Bashi, the Superintendent in Chief, who is appointed by the Shah to administer the Shrine business, and who has usually been expected to pay the central government ten per cent. of the Shrine's total receipts. Next comes the Assistant Superintendent, Naib at-Tauliya, who is the high priest of the sons of Aaron, so to speak, for he must be a lineal descendant of the Imam. His office is therefore hereditary, like that of the Ka'im, who serves as the President of the Board of Trustees. In addition to these three there are six or eight officers with executive or clerical duties who receive stipulated salaries and perquisites. Several of these men have grown rich from the continued privilege of administering the income from the Shrine properties.

As great a drain, however, on the Shrine's income has come from the very large number of servants and attendants. Until recently there were seven hundred doorkeepers registered on the pay roll, each to draw $25.00 annually and 1,000 lbs. of grain. These doorkeepers were divided into courses or watches, each watch to be on duty for twenty-four hours every fifth day. Their duties were those of ushers and guards. Besides these there were one thousand attendants at double the pay of the doorkeepers, who were divided similarly into five watches. The night when each watch was on duty they received also a dinner of pilau, the delicious dish of boiled rice and mutton that is so popular in the near East, which was ordinarily served to them on the Golden Porch. The attendants' usual duties were to see that the sufficient quantity of water was thrown at once on any spot in the courtyard or in the corridors that might perchance have been polluted, also to light candles, help in the sweeping, etc. And whether a doorkeeper (darbân) or an attendant (khâdîm), each of these seventeen hundred servants had the right also to bury as many as five of his relatives in the Shrine cemetery. At the present time, however, these regular employees have been reduced in number, by the order of the ruling Shah of Persia, so that there are now scarcely one-third as many as there were five years ago.

But in addition to the regular employees there is a considerable number of those who use the Shrine area as their place of business. There is a regular class of preachers (wu'âzu) who go and sit at the numerous pulpits that are scattered about in the courtyards and corridors. Then there are the readers who have committed the Koran to memory, dividing it into thirty portions and reciting one part a day, sometimes on their own account but more frequently by contract on behalf of several others. Also there are some forty or fifty readers of prescribed prayers for the pilgrimage (ziyarat khanan), who accompany the groups of unlettered pilgrims as they ask permission to enter the Shrine, and as they make their salutations to the Imam at the appointed
places when they go around his tomb. These men who read the prayer-book receive gratuities.

Until recently there have also been prayer writers, who sat in the old Court and wrote special prayers, which the ignorant purchasers bound on their arms, or on the arms of their children, in the hope that they would ward off disease or bring good fortune. Sometimes “solicitors,” whose real business was to arrange temporary marriages for the male pilgrims, would be engaged in the ostensible occupation of writing prayers. But ordinarily when a man wishes to procure a temporary wife, he goes to the little room adjacent to the north-east corner of the Tomb Room, to the room called the Masjid of Women, where he tells a woman agent that he wishes to take a temporary wife (zan-i-mula’ah or zan-i-sighah), and an arrangement is concluded with the agent to have a girl meet him there the next day, when the two go out to find a theological student to give them a paper certifying that they are married for a day, or a week, or a month or so if they desire. These temporary marriages are not looked upon as immoral, as they are fully provided for by the shariat, or religious Law, in accordance with the teaching of the Koran and the example of the Prophet and the Imams.

Peddlars of talismans of all sorts also frequent the courts of the Shrine, imposing on the credulity of the hundred odd thousand of pilgrims who visit the city every year. It should be mentioned to the credit of the present administration of the Shrine, however, that in response to a growing public sentiment, these less reputable parasites—the prayer writers, solicitors, and peddlars of talismans—are no longer free to carry on their work openly within the immediate area of the Shrine.

*Mashhad, a City independent of the Shrine*

With the rapid advance in education throughout Persia, the Shrine at Mashhad is steadily waning in influence. The increase in its annual income, mentioned above, does not mean that it is more popular, but indicates rather that the Government has been ferreting out its assets and scrupulously administering its resources as a public foundation for more useful purposes. A large new hospital, modern school buildings for boys and for girls, substantial contributions towards new streets, the plan for the museum and an enlarged library—all these activities go to show a different point of view on the part of the government towards the Shrine endowments. It is not confiscation, but it is a virtual appropriation of these properties and their incomes for more useful and more practical purposes. That families of descendants from the Prophet, through the Imams, should be maintained in comparative luxury at public expense is no longer generally accepted. The expedient of requiring almost all Persians to wear the adopted national hat has been most effective as a direct blow at these privileged classes who were distinguished by their turbans.

There is perhaps a majority of the people in Mashhad who sympathise heartily with this new point of view, and those who object are finding it increasingly difficult to resist the radical change of sentiment that is clearly evident among all classes. The divine right to nobility, independent of intellectual or moral attainments, meets with ridicule. Several European travellers who visited Mashhad in the last century observed that it was the Shrine that made the city, but Mashhad’s significance as the capital of Khorasan and as a city well located for commerce should not be overlooked, and in recent years it has certainly been growing more and more independent of the Shrine. With its splendid cantonments to the south, its aviation field to the east, its newly developed residence district near the Government House, its hundreds of improved buildings along the broad new avenues, its regular motor transport service to all the principal cities of the province, and to Russia and Afghanistan and India, its hospitals, schools, cinemas, public parks, libraries, restaurants, water works, motor buses, and the big new theatre, the modern Mashhad may be truly said to have become a city in its own right, and, according to the new census, a city of 130,000 population, which makes it the largest of the sacred cities of Islam, and the fourth largest city in Persia.
CHAPTER XVII

IMAM MUHAMMAD TAKI, THE PROTEGE OF THE CALIPH MA'MUN

The Muhammadan Empire was by no means at peace when Ma'mun returned to Baghdad. Nasr ibn Shabath, who held out in Syria, Egypt also was in rebellion, and the notorious Babak, leader of the Khuramiyya, was terrorizing Adherbaijan. Likewise, in the regions of the Persian Gulf, there were depredations by the az-Zutt, the Jat tribes from India who are said to have been the original Gipsies. Moreover the Caliph had not gotten well on his way to Baghdad when the Haruris broke out in rebellion in Khorasan.

When he arrived, however, he came as a conqueror who was in no sense a suppliant. Nevertheless he showed a disposition to be merciful to those who had opposed him or who had been disloyal, and to conciliate in so far as he could the various factions in the empire. His deceased brother Amin's former vizier, Facil ibn Rabi, who had always worked against him, was forgiven and allowed to retire in peace. Those who sympathized with the Caliph's tendency to side with the Alids saw him do in green as he entered the city. Not only was Ma'mun himself, so arrayed but likewise his officers and his troops and all the people.

However, before a month had passed, in public assembly he changed his green apparel for the Abbasid black, and bestowed black garments upon his commanders, and the leaders of the principal families, and all his government employees. In so doing he acknowledged that while he was out in Khorasan he had bet on the wrong colour. Whether this conviction had come to him shortly after leaving Merv and led to his having a hand in bringing about the death of the Imam Ri'da, as later Shi'ites have maintained, or whether in the sudden death of the Imam and in the extreme unpopularity of the Shi'ite parties in Baghdad he but read the signs of the times and found that they pointed to black as the colour with the better omen, is a question that may never be conclusively determined.

On the journey from Merv, his own vizier, Fa'dl ibn Sahl, had been killed in his bath. He was a Zoroastrian who had become a Moslem and who had a strong Persian loyalty and Shi'ite sympathy. It was largely due to his influence that Ma'mun had appointed the Imam Ri'da to be his heir apparent and had given him his daughter Umm Habib in marriage. A similar question arises in connection with the death of Fa'dl ibn Sahl, was Ma'mun himself the instigator of this murder, or did the Arab party take this means to get rid of the vizier they hated? It would be of interest if we could know how Hasan ibn Sahl, who was governor of Arabia and Irak, would have answered this question when he heard of his brother Fa'dl's death and received the heads of the supposed murderers. The Caliph had sent him a letter of condolence on the death of his brother and had promised to appoint him as the next vizier. But all we know is that Hasan ibn Sahl went temporarily insane, or was so regarded for a time, and next we hear that he had recovered and had promised his daughter in marriage to the Caliph.

As part of Ma'mun's policy to conciliate and retain all the strong men he could, he reappointed Hasan ibn Sahl as governor of Irak, and in this capacity the latter co-operated handsomely in the literary and cultural interests of the Court. For in spite of the fact that there was warfare and political turmoil in all directions during the reign of Ma'mun, the period marks perhaps the apex of the Oriental renaissance. There was freedom for speculation and discussion, eagerness in translating the Greek classics, and official encouragement of poetry, art, and science.

Most significant for the life of the Imam Muhammad Taki was the fact that Ma'mun's favour towards the Shi'ites persisted even after he had replaced the green colour with the 'black.'
Indeed he took that action as a political necessity and against his personal preference. For not only were prominent Shi'ite Persians appointed to responsible positions, but particular public favour was shown to the family of the deceased Imam Riḍa. One of his brothers was chosen to preside at the annual pilgrimage, and it was but a short time until the Caliph married his own daughter Umm Faḍl to Muhammad Taḳī, the son of Ali Riḍa. According to Yaḳūbi he bestowed upon the bridegroom one hundred thousand dirhems, and said, "Surely I would like to be a grandfather in the line of the Apostle of God and of Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib."

Muhammad Taḳī (the Pious), who was sometimes also called Jawād (the Generous), was nine years of age (some say seven) at the time of his father's death. He was living in Medina at the time and there were many Shi'ites who took account of his youth and were in doubt as to whether he was really the Imam. However, a number of the learned and prominent men from all quarters came to the annual pilgrimage, and they were so impressed with him that their doubts were dispelled. Kulaini relates that the mutawalli (superintendent of the Shrine) gave him an examination that lasted for several days, in which he answered thirty thousand questions to their great amazement.

He was not the son of his father's legal wife, Umm Ḥabib, the daughter of Ma'mūn, but his mother was a concubine whose name and origin is uncertain. According to Kulaini her name was Ḥabībī, and she was a Nubian, but the same authority mentions that it was the opinion of others that she was Khaizarān, a girl from Rum (or the Byzantine empire). The statement is also recorded that she was of the household of Mary the Copt, who was the slave mother of the Prophet's little son Ibrāhīm.¹

The Shi'ite account of the Caliph's first meeting with this boy Muhammad Taḳī is an unusually pretty story.² He had come apparently to Baghdad shortly after the death of his father, and one day when Ma'mūn was out hunting with his falcons he passed through a village where a group of boys were playing. Among them was Muhammad Taḳī, who was then nearly eleven years old. When the Caliph's cavalcade approached the boys ran away hurriedly, but the young man stood his ground. Ma'mūn looked upon him and stopped and asked him, "Boy, what kept you from running away with the others?" Muhammad replied quickly, "O Amīru'l-Muminīn, the road was not so narrow that I should fear there would not be room for you to pass, and I have not been guilty of any offence that I should be afraid, and I considered that you were the sort of man who would not injure one who had done no wrong." The Caliph was much pleased, and after he had gone on a short distance one of his falcons brought him a tiny fish, which Ma'mūn concealed in his hand and returned and asked the boy, who was still standing where he had met him, "What have I in my hand?" The young Imam answered that the Creator of living things had made fish to live in the sea, but that sometimes the little fish came up into the clouds, and that his falcon had brought him one of these little fish.

It was soon after this that the Caliph called together a great assembly that met for several days, when all sorts of questions were put to the precocious young Imam, who astonished them all with his judgment and learning. Then it was that Ma'mūn announced that he thereby formally gave him his daughter in marriage with a large dowry. At this, we are told, the Imam lowered his head, and the Abbasids, who were jealous of him, "died" in chagrin.³

Thus the Caliph Ma'mūn showed his continued interest and regard for the Shi'ites and made the son of the deceased Imam Riḍa his special charge or protégé, and the boy would come from time to time to the royal palace for study and conversation with the learned men he would meet there. Unfortunately the traditionists have emphasized the miraculous in his attainments.
to the exclusion of incidents that might have shown his scholarship. It is disappointing, for example, to read the testimony of Yahya ibn Akihám, who was one of the men who quizzed him closely before accepting him as the Imám, and to discover that all he has to relate is that when he asked him, with apology for his directness, "Who is the Imám?" the reply was, "I am." He then asked, "By what proof?" and Muhammad Taši's cane spoke, "My master is this man, the Imám of the Age and the Proof of my Lord."¹

A year or so after the wedding the Caliph permitted him and his young wife to go and live in Medina. To this the Abbásid leaders were altogether agreeable for they hated to see the preference that was shown to him in Baghdad. In Medina he lived simply, after the manner of previous Imáms, for about three years, meeting those who came to pay him their respects, generously giving to the poor, and avoiding participation in public affairs. He performed miracles also, many of them of the same nature as those ascribed to other Imáms, such as predicting that a particular slave girl would bear a man a son, or causing a tree to bear fruit in the time it took him to say his several prayers at the tomb of the Prophet, or rejoicing the heart of an old woman by bringing her dead cow to life again.

His married life with the Caliph's daughter Zainab, who was later known as Umm Fašl, is reported to have been unhappy, for the conduct of this his legal wife "was not conducive to the friendship and the mutual love which should exist between husband and wife. In order to create enmity against him she used to write to her father disparaging letters about her husband, saying that he associated with slave girls. He rebuked her for making such charges and for making unlawful what God had made lawful."²

From Medina he and his wife returned to Baghdad in time to be present at the Caliph's magnificently celebrated wedding, when seven years after his betrothal, Ma'mun married Burán, the eighteen-year-old daughter of the Governor, Hasan ibn Sahl. It was a gay occasion for the holy Imám to witness, for, instead of rice, seed pearls were thrown upon the bridegroom, and these were picked up and given to the bride, who had already been arrayed in a robe of lustrous pearls by no less a personage than Zubaida, the widow of Harun ar-Rashid." The bridal chamber was lighted with candles of costly ambergris," and the bride's father, the most wealthy and influential Persian of the age, "to mark his gratitude for the royal favour, spent fabulous sums in presents to all around. Balls of musk were cast amongst the crowd who rushed about to catch them. In each was the name of an estate, slave-girl, steed, or other prize, which fell to the lot of him who caught it. Dresses of honour were conferred on all, and so this festival, unparalleled in its magnificence, came to an end.⁴

One difficulty that occurred later in Baghdad between the Imám Taši and Umm Fašl involved the royal family in considerable embarrassment. According to a tradition that is attributed to Hakimah, the sister of the Imám Riğa, Umm Fašl told her that once a strikingly beautiful woman had come to see her and had informed her that she also was the wife of the Imám Taši. And Umm Fašl said that in her distress, as she wept on her pillow that night, her jealousy changed to sheer anger, and she arose and went to her father and complained that her husband had not only contemptuously dishonoured her, but that his conduct was an insult also to her father and to the Abbásid dynasty. "It happened," she explained to Hakimah, "that my father was under the influence of liquor, and he seized his sword and called for his horse and his retainers, and went at once to the Imám's house. There he found the offender asleep, and he slashed him with his sword repeatedly, so that the bystanders thought that he had cut him to pieces. But the next morning, when sober, the Caliph deeply regretted what he had done and sent to inquire for the Imám's health, and the messenger returned to say that he had seen him engaged in his morning prayers as

¹ Khulasatu'l-Ahhbdr, ch. 37, miracle No. 10.
² Sell, Ikhna Ashariyya, Madras, 1925, from Tufatu'l-Mutašin, p. 66.
³ Muir, The Caliphate, Rise, Decline and Fall, p. 503.
usual. The Caliph, however, sent again to make more particular inquiry, and learned that the Imam said that a special shirt he wore must have protected him. Nevertheless, to express his shame and sorrow, the Caliph sent to the Imam as presents the horse he had ridden and the sword he had wielded the night before. And in addition, Umm Faḍī continued, “he severely rebuked me, and declared that if I ever came to see him again with complaints against my husband, he would refuse to see me as long as I lived.”

During the eight years the Imam lived in Baghdad, he was principally engaged in teaching. Some of his sayings that are remembered are mentioned by Ibn Khallikan. One of these was in favour of early rising, namely, “that Ali ibn Abu Ṭalib had said that once when Muḥammad sent him to Yemen, he said to him, ‘O Ali, he is never disappointed who asks for good (from God); and he never has a motive for repenting who asks (His) advice.’” Again he related that the Prophet had said, “Make it a point to travel by night, for more ground can be got over by night than by day.” And on another occasion he reported that Muḥammad had said to Ali, “Rise betimes in the name of God, for God hath bestowed a blessing on my people in their early rising.” And it is said that Muḥammad Taḵī himself used to declare, “Whosoever gaineth for himself a brother in God, hath gained for himself a mansion in Paradise.”

It was during this time that the Imam Taḵī was teaching in Baghdad that the Caliph Ma‘mūn fell more and more under the influence of the Mu’tazalites, and especially of their leader, Ḥādī Ahmad ibn Abu Du‘ād. He was finally urged in 212/827 to proclaim publicly the dogma of the creation of the Koran to be an eternal and uncreated book. This last was a stroke at the foundation of Islam, and was destined to exert a long and important influence.

“It is related that Ma‘mūn had received from Kabul a volume entitled The Eternal Reason, which attempted to undermine Islam by teaching that reason is the only source of religion, and that revelation cannot be the sure ground upon which to base a universal cult. To seek and develop this religion of reason and conscience became thereafter his persistent effort. He insinuated doubts at first by means of discussion, at which no one was permitted to appeal to revelation, but only to reason. Thus, unconsciously, Ma‘mūn began a process by which that implicit faith which had been at once the foundation and the inspiration of Islam, which had nerved its warriors in their terrible warfare, and had brought the nation out of its former obscurity to the foremost position among the peoples of the world, was to be taken from them.”

But as has been pointed out, the liberal Mu’tazalites were exceedingly intolerant in their treatment of the orthodox, and were bent on forcing them to accept the syllogism, God created all things, the Koran is a thing, so therefore the Koran is created. To gain this admission from them the chief judges and lawyers were severely catechised, scourged and imprisoned. But the Alids, however, were treated with the greatest consideration, and it is especially noteworthy that the Imam Taḵī was not arrested or annoyed in any way during the reign of Ma‘mūn. The position he held makes it difficult to suppose that he was able to avoid discussing these theological questions, so the more probable explanation is that he made the desired concessions to the opinions of the party in power, which was a procedure that this Shi’ite followers would have no difficulty in explaining by their doctrine of legitimate dissimulation (taḵfyʿa) for prudential purposes.

---


---

But Ma'mun was forced to leave Baghdad in 215/830 on his great campaign against Theophilus. The immediate cause of this war is obscure. It has been suggested that the Byzantine Emperor had been supporting the rebel Bābak and that he had encouraged the emigration of thousands of Persian Christians from the Caliph's dominions. Others have said that it was because the Emperor had refused to allow the philosopher, Leo of Thessalonica, to accept the Caliph Ma'mun's invitation to go to Baghdad. In any event the war was carried on for two full years, and Ma'mun died suddenly in a town near Tarsus, the Apostle Paul's birthplace, in Cilicia. There in Tarsus, in accordance with his own request, the free-thinking Caliph had the salāt said over his body with the burning of incense and candles, a custom that was in imitation of the practice of the Christians of the time.

After the death of Ma'mun, the Imám Muḥammad Taḵī went with his family back to Medina, where they remained only a little more than a year, however, when the new Caliph, Ma'mun's brother, Mu'tasim, sent for them to come to Baghdad. This was in the beginning of the year in which the Imam died, 220/835, and while there is no evidence to show that his relations with the new Caliph were lacking in cordiality, yet statements are to be found in the books most commonly read by present day Shi'ites that say he was poisoned by his wife, Umm Faḍl, on the instigation of Mu'tasim. But there is no agreement in the details of these charges, some saying that his wife gave him a poisoned handkerchief when he was in bed, while others say she gave him poisoned grapes. Still others say that Mu'tasim himself sent the Imám poisoned sherbet to be given by the hands of a servant, while others say that the Caliph invited him to his house, where poison was mixed with the food. In the comprehensive account of his life in the Bahrul-Anwar, is found the testimony of the older and more authoritative books, such as the Irshādul-Mufid and the Kashfu'l-Ḳamāh, that "although some say he was poisoned we have found no tradition to confirm it."

"He was buried," as Kulaini observes, "in Baghdad, in the cemetery of the Ṭuraiysh, beside the grave of his grandfather, Musa al-Kazim." The funeral service for him was repeated by al-Wathik, the son of the Caliph Mu'tasim.

1 Kulaini, Usulul-Kadār, p. 203.
ANYONE approaching Baghdad from the north or the west will be impressed by the sight of the four golden minarets at Kazimain, the Shrine of the Two Kazims, Musa ibn Ja'far and Muhammad Taqi. These two saints were respectively the Seventh and the Ninth of the Twelve Imams, at whose tombs the Shi'ite Muhammadans are accustomed to seek healing and to invoke intercession for the forgiveness of their sins. Across the arched gateway, in the wall that surrounds the Shrine, are suspended huge chains, which indicate that the area within is sacred and that unbelievers are not to enter. The visitor who is forbidden entrance, however, may look through the gate and see the minute patterns in the tile work on the front of the building, or may climb up to a neighbouring roof, as did the writer and his wife, to get a better view. Moreover, recent years have afforded a new avenue of approach from the air, which is free to all faiths, and the photograph from above disclose the whole plan of the Shrine.

The present building dates back only to the beginning of the sixteenth century, and has been kept in excellent repair. This building represents the restoration of Shah Ismail I (1502-1524), though when the Turkish Sultan, Sulaiman the Great, captured Baghdad and remained there for four months in 1534, he visited the sacred places of the Shi'ites, and is said to have contributed to the further ornamentation of the Shrine at Kazimain. The tiles for the double cupula, however, were provided in 1796 by Shah Agha Muhammad Khan, who was the first of the Persian Kadjir dynasty. In 1870, Na'ir al-Din Shah had these golden tiles repaired on one of the domes and on the minarets. It is
the dates of all these alterations are clearly indicated by inscriptions. 1

If we bear in mind that the two Imams who are buried here died in the beginning of the eighth century, it will be evident that there are seven hundred years of the history of their tomb to account for previous to the comparatively modern restoration of Shah Ismail I. The Imams lived in the early days of Baghdad, while the walls of Mansur's Round City on the western side of the Tigris were still standing. There were cemeteries to the north and north-west that went by various names—that of the Syrian Gate, that of the Abbasids, and that of the Straw Gate. 2 The two Imams were buried immediately to the west of this later cemetery, but by the time Ya'kubi wrote the whole northern district was designated in a general way as the cemetery of the Kuraish. 3 The Shi'ites assert that both of these Imams were poisoned, or put to death in some other way, at the instigation of the reigning caliphs, but it is significant that in the case of Muhammad Ta'labi, the funeral service was read by a representative of the royal family, 4 which undoubtedly distinguished the Imam as an important person, at whose grave some sort of a mausoleum would be built.

But as to the importance attached in the early times to the visitation of this tomb, the only information available is on the questionable authority of traditions that have been attributed to the Eighth and Tenth Imams. These traditions are answers they are said to have given when they were asked by their followers concerning the merit of pilgrimages to Kazimain. It is related that the Imam Ri'da, whose life in Baghdad was during the caliphate of Harun ar-Rashid, told his Shi'ite followers to say their prayers of salutation to his father, the Imam Musa Kazim, "outside the walls of the Shrine, or in the near-by mosques," if the Sunnite authority and prejudice in Baghdad was too great for them to do so at the tomb itself. He said the merit of so doing

1 Encyclopedia of Islam, art. "Kazimain."
2 Ibn Sa'd, Tabakhat, VII, ii, pp. 68, 1, 18; 99, 1, 13; 90, 1, 21; and 80, 1, 11.
4 Kulaini, Usul'I-Kafi, p. 203.
was the same as the pilgrimage to the tomb of the Imam Husain at Kerbala, or to the tomb of the Prophet Muhammad at Medina, or to the tomb of Ali at Najaf. From this we infer that a building of some sort was recognized at that early date as marking the tomb of the Imam Musa and that it was surrounded by a wall. Further statements are said to have been made a few years later by the Imam Ali Naqi, whose period in the imamate began during the later part of the caliphate of Mu'tasim, and who enjoyed the greater indulgence that was shown the Shi'ites until the period of reaction against them and the Mu'tazalites under the Caliph Mutawakkil. He is said to have given the following particular instructions for visiting this Shrine:

"When you wish to visit the tomb of Musa ibn Ja'far and the tomb of Muhammad ibn Ali ibn Musa, first you must bathe and make yourself clean, then anoint yourself with perfume and put on two clean garments, after which you are to say at the tomb of the Imam Musa:

Peace be upon thee, O Friend of God!
Peace be upon thee, O Proof of God!
Peace be upon thee, O Light of God!
O Light in the dark places of the Earth!
Peace be upon him whom God advances in thy regard!
Behold I come as a pilgrim, who acknowledges your right,
Who hates your enemies and befriends your friends,
So intercede for me therefore with your Lord.

"You are then free," said the Imam Ali Naqi, "to ask for your personal needs, after which you should offer a prayer in salutation to the Imam Muhammad Taqi, using these same words."

Majlisi, who has included these traditions in his instructions for modern pilgrims to this Shrine, makes the observation in explanation of the unusual brevity of the prescribed prayer, "that it was necessary in those times to take great care in dissimulation (ta'kiyah) that the Shi'ites should not suffer injury."1

Another tradition that dates from the same century in which these two Imams died is attributed to a certain Hasan ibn Jamhur, who said:

1 Majlisi, Tafsir-e-Zaidiin, pp. 308 ff.

"In the year 296 A.H., when Ali ibn Ahmad ibn al-Frati was vizier, I saw Ahmad ibn Rabb, who was one of the Caliph's writers, when his hand had gotten infected so that it had a bad odour and turned black. Everyone who saw him had no doubt but what he would die. In a dream, however, he saw Ali, and said to him: 'O Amir-ul-Mu'minin, will you not ask God to give me my hand?' Ali answered, 'I have many things to do, but you go to Musa ibn Ja'far and he will ask this for you from God.' In the morning they got a litter and anointed him with perfume. They had him lie down in the litter and covered him with a robe. Then they carried him to the tomb of the Imam Musa, whose assistance he sought in prayer. The afflicted man took some of the earth from the tomb and rubbed it on his arm up to the shoulder and then bound the arm up again. The next day, when he opened the bandage, he saw that all the skin and the flesh of the arm had fallen off, and that only the bones and veins and ligaments remained. The bad odour, also, had ceased. When the vizier heard of this they took the man to him to testify to what had happened. In a short time the flesh and skin grew back again, and he was able to resume his work of writing."

Majlisi adds the comment that "in every period there are so many miracles (mu'jizat) and demonstrations of power (karamats) at the tomb of these two saints (or sinless ones) that there is no need of describing cases in times past, for in our own time there are so many of these instances occurring and recurring that to recount them would be a lengthy process."2

After the Abbasid caliphs had fallen more and more under the authority of the commanders of their armies of Turkish mercenaries, there was a rising of the Buyids (or Buwaihids) in Persia; and in A.D. 946 the Caliph Mustakfi was blinded by the Buyid Prince, Mu'izzul-Dawla, who set up the blinded Caliph's son, al-Mu'taddid, as a nominal ruler while he exercised the actual authority himself. Ibn Athir has related that "the Buyids were fanatical adherents of Ali and were firmly convinced that the Abbasids were usurpers of a throne that rightfully belonged to others."2 They did not take over the caliphate, but in addition to retaining for themselves the authority and perquisites of the government of the provinces, they proclaimed the first ten days
of the month of Muharram as a period of public mourning for the Imam Hussein, and they frequently enriched the sanctuary at Kazimain with their gifts. The Caliph Ta'bi is reported to have led the Friday prayers in the Kazimain mosque, so that in the period of the revival of the Shi'ite influence under the protection of the Buyids, we are certain that the Kazimain Shrine was regularly visited by pilgrims and served as "the rallying place of the heterodox party."

It was during this period that the four great works of the Shi'ite traditionists were compiled. Kulaini died in Baghdad in A.D. 939, after completing his monumental work, *The Compendium of the Science of Religion* (al-Kafi fi 'lm ad-Din), which is perhaps the most highly esteemed of all the Shi'ite source books. Ibn Babuwayhi had come to Baghdad from Khorasan in 966, where he devoted himself to teaching and writing. His *Every Man His Own Lawyer* (Kitab man la yadhuruhu'l-Fahih), is also one of the four most authoritative books on Shi'ite law and tradition. And sixteen years after the death of Ibn Babuwayhi, at-Tusi also came from Khorasan to teach in Baghdad, where he wrote the remaining two of the four great books of traditions that lie at the basis of Shi'ite theology and jurisprudence, *The Correcting of Judgments* (Tahdib al-Ahkam) and the *Examination of Differences in Traditions* (al-Isibsār).

At this time of greater boldness on the part of the Shi'ites, riots with the Sunnis were not infrequent in Baghdad. In one of these disturbances in 1051, the Sunni leader was killed in a fight that had ensued when the Shi'ites ventured to put an inscription laudatory of Ali above one of the city gates. The indignation of the Sunnis was so great that in the tension of the situation after their leader's funeral, they rushed as a mob into the Shrine of Kazimain and plundered the tombs of the two Imams. "After carrying off the gold and silver lamps and the curtains which adorned these sanctuaries, the rioters on the following day completed their work by setting fire to the buildings. The great teak-wood domes above the shrines of the Imams Musa and Muhammad were entirely burnt. This fact that the domes were at first of teak-wood has something to do doubtless with the number of times they were burned.

It was shortly after the burning of the Shrine in 1051 that the Seljuk Sultans displaced the Buwaihids as military dictators in Persia and "protectors" of the Caliphs in Baghdad. They had learned what they knew of Islam in the distinctively Sunni atmosphere of Bukhara. Nevertheless, when they came to Baghdad, no injury was done to the Shrine at Kazimain. And when Sultan Malik Shah visited it in 1086, it had apparently been repaired from the damages of the fire of thirty-five years before.

Ibn Jubayr, who gives a detailed description of Baghdad in 1184, in his *Travels*, mentions the tomb of Musa Ibn Ja'far, but he does not speak of it as Kazimain, and he makes no reference to the tomb of the Imam Muhammad Ta'ki, which would suggest that Shi'ite influence was at that time at such a low ebb that this shrine, so close to the city of Baghdad, had been abandoned as a place of regular pilgrimage.

Notwithstanding, before another hundred years had passed, when the domes of the Shrine had again been destroyed by fire, we find that its repair was regarded as of sufficient importance to be the one and only enterprise that the short-lived Caliph Zahir had been able to undertake. And Ibn Tiktaqa, who mentions this repair of the domes in his *Kitab al-Fakhri*, is known to have succeeded his father as supervisor of the sacred towns of the Shi'ites in the Baghdad vicinity, so that it is possible that the minority community, while by no means free, may have enjoyed certain prescribed and restricted rights. Their headquarters, however, were no longer in Baghdad but in Hilla, and greater importance was given to Najaf and Kerbala as places of pilgrimage.
When the Mongols came with their overwhelming force in 1258, they wrought almost complete devastation in and around Baghdad. There is said to have been an understanding, however, that the holy cities of the Shi'ites should be spared, and in fact Kazimain was the only one of these shrines that suffered. This was due perhaps to the destruction of the western part of the city first. It may have been during the subsequent siege of the fortress on the eastern side of the Tigris that the deputation of Shi'ites from Hilla arrived and arranged with Khulagu Khan for the special protection of Najaf and Kerbala. However that may be, we know that the city of Baghdad was utterly ruined by the Mongols, and that the tombs of Kazimain were burned. “

All the inhabitants, to the number, according to Rashid ad-Din, of 800,000 (Makrizi says 2,000,000) perished, and thus passed away one of the noblest cities that had ever graced the East—the cynosure of the Muhammadan world, where the luxury, wealth and culture of five centuries had been concentrated . . .

The booty captured, we are told, was so great that Georgians and Tartars succumbed under the load of gold and silver, precious stones and pearls, rich stuffs, gold and silver vessels, etc., while as to the vases from China and Rashan (i.e., porcelain), and those made in the country of iron and copper, they were deemed scarcely of any value, and were broken and thrown away. The soldiers were so rich that the saddles of their horses and mules and their most ordinary utensils were inlaid with stones, pearls and gold. Some of them broke off their swords at the hilt and filled up the scabbards with gold, while others emptied the body of a Baghddadian, refilled it with gold, precious stones and pearls, and carried it off from the city.”

The death of the last of the Abbasid Caliphs, Mustasim, has been so celebrated in literature that what actually happened is obscure.

There are numerous accounts of how Khulagu Khan was disgusted when he saw that in his avarice the Caliph had gathered gold which he had been unwilling to spend either in defence of the city or to effect favourable terms of capitulation. Marco Polo relates the story that when Khulagu Khan entered Baghdad he found to his astonishment a town that was filled with gold, and in his indignation he gave orders that the avaricious Caliph should be “shut up in this same town, without sustenance; and there, in the midst of his wealth, he soon finished a miserable existence.”

This story is based on the narrative of Mirkhond, of Joinville, and of Makakia, the Armenian historian, and as Howarth remarks, it has provided “one of those grim episodes which Longfellow delighted to put into verse”:

I said to the Caliph, “Thou art old,
Thou hast no need of so much gold;
Thou should’st not have heaped and hidden it here,
Till the breath of battle was hot and near,
But have sown through the land these useless hoards,
To spring into shining blades of swords,
And keep thine honour sweet and clear.”

Then into his dungeon I locked the drone,
And left him there to feed all alone,
In the honey cells of his golden hive;
Never a prayer, nor a cry, nor a groan,
Was heard from those massive walls of stone,
Nor again was the Khalif seen alive.

But other records suggest that there were discussions about the propriety of killing the holy Khalif. An astrologer, Husam ad-din, pointed out dire portents that would happen if the Caliph’s blood was shed. But we are told that another astrologer, a Shi’ah, Naṣr ud-din, from Tus, remarked that no such things had happened when John the Baptist, the Prophet Muhammad and the Imam Husain were killed. We are told in fact that “it is probable that Khulagu would have spared the Caliph’s life, impressed by the lugubrious prognostications of the faithful Musulmans about him, if he had not been dissuaded from this course by the Shi’ahs who were with him, and who had a bitter resentment against the Abbasid dynasty.” One notable fact in

Howarth, History of the Mongols, iii, pp. 126, 127.

Travels of Marco Polo the Venitian, ch. viii.
this connection is that the life of the Caliph's vizier in Baghdad was spared. He was Muayid ud-din Alkamiya, who was known to have been favourable to the Shi'ites, and who was also reported to have sent his submission to Khulagu, and to have invited him to invade the country. However this may be, the Caliph was put to death on the 21st of February, 1258. Wassaf and Novairi say he was rolled up in carpets and then trodden under by horses so that his blood should not be spilt. This was in accordance with the yasa of Jingis Khan, which forbade the shedding of the blood of royal persons.

But the Caliph's vizier, whose life was spared, "retained his post as vizier, the reward doubtless of his dubious loyalty." Various prominent Persians, as distinguished from Arabs or Turks, were appointed to important positions in the new administration of affairs, and among the first buildings to be rebuilt was the Shrine of the two Imams, at Kazimain.

After the fall of the last of the Abbasid Caliphs, Baghdad was never rebuilt on its former scale of grandeur. The Il-Khans, who were the descendants of Khulagu, held the city for 82 years—not as a capital, however, but merely as the chief town of the province of Irak. It was near the close of their period of authority that the traveller Mustawfi visited Baghdad (1339), and at that time he mentioned seeing the shrines of Kazim and of his grandson, Ta ki, the Seventh and Ninth Imams. He observed that Kazimain was a suburb by itself, about six thousand paces in circumference.

About that time the Mongol tribe of Julayr wrested the power from the Il-Khans, and their chief, Shaikh Hasan Buzurg, made his residence in Baghdad in 1340, as the town best suited for his tribal headquarters.

Fifty odd years later, in A.D. 1393, in connection with his widespread conquests, Timur spent three months in Baghdad. It happened to be in the summer that he besieged and captured the city, and the Persian chronicler in the Zafar Nameh remarks that "the heat was so intense, that as for the fish in the water, the saliva boiled in their mouths; and as for the birds in the air, from the fever heat their livers were cooked and they fell senseless."

The horrors of the taking of the city are described in graphic detail. So thoroughly had all avenues of escape been closed that when the wind accelerated the flames that filled the air, there were many people who threw themselves into the water, to escape the fire or the sword. It was a time when the slave market was such that an old man of eighty and a child of twelve sold for the same price, and the fire of hate waxed to such a heat that the garment of the wealthy merchant and the rags of the sick beggar burned the same way. Individual soldiers in bands of the troops had been commissioned to each get a head, but some who were not content with one head got all they could tie to their belts. It is mentioned, however, that such of the men of learning and rank as were able to appeal to Timur himself were granted his protection and shared his bounty, but the general carnage was hideous. When the inhabitants had been thus almost annihilated, their habitations were dealt with. Only the mosques, the schools, and the dormitories were spared. Accordingly, we read that Timur left Baghdad on account of "the vile odour of the carcases of the dead."

Nevertheless, when Timur took his departure, we are told that he ordered that the city should be rebuilt. The Shrine at Kazimain, however, was not restored. After the death of Timur, there was a brief reoccupation of Baghdad by the Julayrs, who were displaced by the "Black Sheep" Turkomans, who held the city from 1411-1469. They in turn were driven out by their rivals, the "White Sheep" Turkomans. It was therefore after a long period of neglect, when the city had been held by successive generations of half-savage tribes, that Shah Ismai I, of the Safawi dynasty captured Baghdad in 1508, and it was in 1519 that he completed the rebuilding of the Shrine at Kazimain much

---

\[1\] Howarth, _op. cit.,_ pp. 127-131.


as it stands to-day. With the rise of Shah Ismail there is an interesting and significant story of the revival of Persian Shi’ite power, which belongs in the history of Ardebil in Adherbaijan rather than in a description of the Shrine of the “Two Kazims” at Baghdad.

The population of the present town of Kazimain is estimated at from six to eight thousand, and we are told that frequently from twenty-five to thirty thousand pilgrims visit the Shrine in one day. If viewed from a point of advantage, this Shrine with its twin domes of gleaming gold is one of the prettiest sights in Baghdad; and if studied in its historical associations throughout the last eleven hundred years, it affords a thrilling resumé of the changing fortunes of the far-famed city of the Arabian Nights.

CHAPTER XIX

THE IMAM ALI NAKI, WHO WAS TWENTY YEARS A PRISONER

In the first half of the ninth century of the Christian era, Rome, Constantinople and Baghdad yielded to the rising power of foreign peoples. On Christmas Day, A.D. 800, Pope Leo III placed the imperial crown on Charlemagne, the great King of the Franks. In 843, by the Treaty of Verdun, the territorial Empire was divided into three separate kingdoms. The Western Franks, speaking a Romance tongue, were, in the still distant future, to form the nation-state which we call France; the Eastern Franks, Teutonic in speech, were to become the historical Germany; and a middle kingdom was to include for a brief period, Burgundy, Lorraine and Italy.41

In the Byzantine Empire Theophilus (829-842) was forced to maintain his authority at great hazard by employing armies of Persians and Armenians. Likewise in Baghdad during this period, fearful of the plots and counterplots of the conflicting national elements in Islam, the Caliphs, Ma’mun and Mu’tasim, and their immediate successors put their chief dependence on hired Turkish troops. For greater personal security they founded a new military city at Samarra, some sixty miles north of Baghdad, where they made their headquarters. But before long their Turkish generals, whose armies suppressed the repeated Moslem insurrections, began to dictate and became the true rulers, while the Caliphs were guarded as little more than useful puppets in the prison city of their own making. It was at this time, when the imperial authority in Islam was rapidly passing into the hands of the Turks that the tenth Imam, Ali NaKI, lived.

There is uncertainty as to whether he was born in 827 or 829.

and equally good authorities differ. If we prefer the earlier date, he was little more than seven years old when his father died. According to the authorities cited, his mother was a concubine, who was called Samanah, the Maghribiya, or Westerner, but in the more popular manual in Persian, the *Ahayidu’s-Shi’a* (Mishkat iv), her name was Susan (Lily), and she was called Durrah-i-Maghribiya, the Pearl of the West, which would indicate that she was a captive from one of the Christian nations.

As the boy grew into manhood he lived in Medina and occupied himself in teaching. Gradually, he attracted pupils in large numbers from the provinces where the adherents of the house of the Prophet were strongest—Iraq, Persia, and Egypt. During the seven or eight years that remained of the Caliphate of Mu’tasim after the death of the Imam Muhammad Taqi, and throughout the five years of the next Caliph, Wathik, we do not hear that the young Imam was molested. One of the traditions he is said to have related, that had been written in the Sahifa by the hand of Ali ibn Abu Talib at the dictation of the Apostle of God, and inherited by the Imams from generation to generation, was that the Prophet had defined faith (imân) as contained in the hearts of men, and that their works (a’mal) confirm it, whereas surrender (islâm) is what the tongue expresses which validates the union.

During the Caliphate of Mutawakkil, however, a reaction set in against all freethinking, with systematic persecution of both the Mu’tazalites and the Shi’ites. Only the strictly orthodox were exempt. In the year 851, when the Imam was nearly twenty-five years old, the Caliph Mutawakkil ordered the pilgrimages to the shrines of Ali and Husain to be stopped. Then it was that he had the mausoleum of Husain totally destroyed.

At this period the Caliph came to be suspicious also of the young Imam Ali Naqi. But on one occasion at least, according to Mas’udi, the Imam is said to have saved himself by a shrewd answer to an artful question that the Caliph put to him. Mutawakkil asked, “What does a descendant of your father have to say in regard to al-Abbas ibn Abdull-Muttalib?” He answered, “What would a descendant of my father say, O Governor of the Faithful, in regard to a man whose sons God has required his people to obey, and who expected his sons to obey God?” The Caliph was so pleased with this reply that he commanded that he be given one hundred thousand dirhems.

And in the same connection Mas’udi quotes another incident, one which is derived originally from al-Mubarrad, and which Ibn Khallikan has incorporated in his description of the Imam Ali Naki (*Abu’l-Hasan al-Ashari*). “Secret information having been given to al-Mutawakkil that the Imam had a quantity of arms, books, and other objects for the use of his followers concealed in his house, and being induced by malicious reports to believe that he aspired to the empire, one night he sent some soldiers of the Turkish guard to break in on him when he least expected such a visit. They found him quite alone and locked up in his room, clothed in a hair shirt, his head covered with a woollen cloak, and turned with his face in the direction of Mecca; chanting, in this attitude, some verses of the Koran expressive of God’s promises and threats, and having no other carpet between him and the earth than sand and gravel. He was carried off in that attire, and brought, in the depth of night, before al-Mutawakkil, who was then engaged in drinking wine. On seeing him the Caliph received him with respect, and being informed that nothing had been found in his house to justify the suspicions cast upon him, he seated him by his side and offered him the goblet which he held in his hand. ‘Commander of the Faithful,’ said Abu’l-Hasan, ‘a liquor such as that was never yet combined with my flesh and blood; dispense me therefore from taking it.’ The Caliph acceded to his request and asked him to repeat some verses which might amuse him. Abu’l-Hasan replied that he knew by heart very little poetry, but al-Mutawakkil having insisted, he recited these lines:

They passed the night on the summits of the mountains,

---

2 *Mas’udi*, *Murajul-Dhahab*, vii, p. 382.
protected by valiant warriors, but their place of refuge availed them not.

After all their pomp and power they had to descend from their lofty fortresses to the custody of the tomb.

O what a dreadful change! Their graves had already received them when a voice was heard exclaiming:

Where are the thrones and the crowns and the robes of state?

Where are now the faces of the delicate, which were shaded by veils and protected by the curtains of the audience hall? To this demand the tomb gave answer sufficient. The worms, it said, are now revelling upon these faces.

Long had these men been eating and drinking, but now they are eaten in their turn.

"Every person present was filled with apprehension for Abu'l-Hasan's safety; they feared that al-Mutawakkil, in the first burst of indignation, would have vented Hasan's safety: they feared that al-Mutawakkil, in the first

But they perceived the Caliph weeping bitterly, the tears trickling down his beard, and all the assembly wept with him. Al-Mutawakkil then ordered the wine to be removed, after which he said: 'Tell me, Abu'l-Hasan, are you in debt?' 'Yes,' replied the other, 'I owe four thousand dinars.' The Caliph ordered the sum to be given him, and sent him home with marks of the highest respect."

Yahya ibn Harthama, the captain of the guard, is reported to have related his experience as follows: "The Caliph Mutawakkil sent me to Medina with orders to bring Ali ibn Muhammad to answer certain accusations that had been made against him. When I arrived, his household made such wailing and lamentation as I had never heard. I tried to quiet them and assured them that I had received no orders to do him any harm. And when I searched the house where he lived, I found only a Koran, books of prayer and such things. So while I took him away, I offered him my services and showed him very high respect.

"But one day on the journey, when the sky was clear and the Sun just rising, Ali put on a cloak when he mounted his horse and knotted the animal's tail. I was surprised at this, but it was only a little while afterwards that a cloud came up and there was a regular torrent of rain. Then Ali turned to me and said, 'I know that you did not understand what you saw me do, and that you imagine that I have had some unusual knowledge of this affair. It is not, however, as you supposed, but as I was brought up in a desert, I know the winds that come before rain. This morning the wind blew which does not deceive, and I noticed the odour of rain and so prepared for it.'"

"On our arrival in Baghdad, our first visit was to Ishak ibn Ibrahim, of the family of Tahir, who was the governor of the city. He said to me, 'O Abu Yahya, this man (Ali) is a descendant of the Apostle of God. You know Mutawakkil and have influence with him, but if you urge him to kill this man, the Prophet himself will be your enemy.' I replied that I saw nothing in the conduct of Ali except what was altogether praiseworthy. I went on to Samarra, where I saw Waṣif, the Turk, for I was one of his intimate friends. 'I swear before God,' he said to me, 'if a single hair of the head of this man falls, I will myself demand satisfaction.' I was somewhat surprised at the attitude taken by these men, and when I informed Mutawakkil of what I had heard in praise of the Imam, he gave him a handsome present and treated him with all sorts of honour."

Mas'udi also remarks, "In the Akhbarul-Zaman (his great work that is no longer extant) we have already described the occasion when Ali ibn Muhammad refuted the false prophetess Zainab in the presence of al-Mutawakkil by descending into the den of the lions, and how they crouched at his feet, and how Zainab then gave up the claim that she was a daughter of Husain ibn Ali ibn Abu Talib, a woman whom God had permitted to live until that time."

Nevertheless, owing to numerous other reports that had come to the Caliph's ears about the disloyalty of the Imam, Ali Naki was kept a prisoner at Samarra. This town was at first called al-Askar (the army), because Mu'tasim had built it as a canton-
ment city outside Baghdad, and consequently the Imam Ali Na'fi has sometimes been designated as “al-Askari,” on account of the twenty years he spent as a prisoner in the city of the army.

The miracles that his friends and acquaintances have related are for the most part reminiscent of his life in Samarra. Abü'l-Hashim, Ja'far, reported that on one occasion he saw a group of people approaching Samarra from Medina, and that the Imam Ali Na'fi had come out of the city to meet them. He rode a horse with a gold-embroidered saddlecloth, and at a particular point on the desert he dismounted and seated himself on the sand. Abü'l-Hashim took advantage of this opportunity to acquaint him with his dire financial needs, and the Imam answered, "Do not distress yourself, for I will relieve your anxieties." He then thrust his blessed hand into the ground and gave him a handful of sand and stone, saying, "This will be enough for you." Abü'l-Hashim protested, but notwithstanding, he kept what the Imam had given him, and when he examined it some time afterwards, he found it was yellow gold, which he took to an assayer, who said that he had never tested finer gold in his life.

Abü'l-Hashim was therefore able to meet the Imam regularly, as he could see that he had not returned to his previous financial state. One time his friend Muhammad ibn Khazib was riding with him and told him to make his horse go faster. The Imam replied, "You will be in chains in prison before me," and sure enough, in but four days' time Muhammad ibn Khazib was chained in prison and was put to death a few days afterwards.

A group of slaves that belonged to Mutawakkil recognised the Imam Ali Na'fi in the presence of the Caliph, and went and prostrated themselves before him, kissing his hands and feet. Mutawakkil afterwards asked Bultán, who had charge of these slaves, "What was this the slaves did?" Bultán said that he himself did not understand it, so the Caliph asked the slaves, "Why did you do this?" They answered, "Because this is the man who comes to the sea every year and teaches us religion. He is the wasi (representative) of the last prophet of the age, and we have seen him do many miracles." When the Caliph

heard this, he said to Bultán, "Kill those slaves." Bultán further relates, "I killed them and buried them. When night came I decided to go to the Imam, so I arose and hastened to him to explain the matter. A servant at the door told me the Imam was seeking me, so I accompanied him to the andarun (private family apartment), where I found the Imam seated. He said to me, 'How are the slaves?' I said, 'I killed them all.' 'You killed them all?' he asked. 'Yes,' I replied, 'I swear that I did.' Then he asked, 'Do you want to see them?' 'Yes,' I said, 'but I tell you that I have killed them and buried them.' He then motioned that I should go further within the andarun. I did so, and there I saw all the slaves. They were sitting at ease and eating fruit." The writer of the Khulasatu'Alkhūrbdr observes, "This tradition appears in two or three books, but God is the one to determine whether it is true."1

These traditions would suggest, however, that for the most part the Imam Ali Na'fi was allowed considerable personal freedom in his life at Samarra—to meet his friends, to go in and out of the city on horseback, and to sit in the presence of the Caliph. He also had his own house, but he was closely watched by spies. It is said that Mutawakkil finally gave orders for his execution.

In open durbar he ordered his chamberlain to bring the Imam to his presence, and summoned four servants with naked swords to stand ready when the order was given to slay him. When the Imam left the hall of audience, the four servants stood by the door with drawn swords, but instead of striking him they threw away the swords, and fell at his feet and humbly saluted him. Mutawakkil inquired the cause of such strange conduct. They said that they saw near the Imam a person with a drawn sword away the swords, and fell at his feet and humbly saluted him. Mutawakkil inquired the cause of such strange conduct. They said that they saw near the Imam a person with a drawn sword who said: 'If you give any trouble to the Imam I will slay you all,' so they dared not obey the Caliph's order to slay him. Hence it is said that by divine aid the life of the Imam was saved.

"After a while Mutawakkil became very ill with a boil, which was so bad that he could neither sit nor rise. The royal

1 Khulasatu'Alkhūrbdr, op. cit., miracles Nos. 2, 3, and 4.
physicians wished to lance it, but the patient did not agree. Other remedies failed. Then Mutawakkil’s mother sent secretly to the Imam for advice. He recommended a plaster which was made from the dung of goats. When the prescription was read the assembled doctors laughed and said it was useless, but Fath ibn Khalid recommended that it should be tried. This was done and the boil at once burst and the patient was cured.¹

The Caliph Mutawakkil was himself killed in the year A.D. 861 by the Turkish mercenaries who had come to control the affairs of Baghdad, and particularly to dominate the Caliphs at Samarra. His son Muntasir died a year later, and his successor Musta’in ruled only three years until his death in 865. But the Imam Ali Naqi still lived on as an honoured prisoner in Samarra. “His high qualities are duly set forth by Shi’ah historians. Allowing for the fulsome flattery which characterizes their accounts of the Imam, it does appear that he was a good-tempered, quiet man, who all his days suffered much from Mutawakkil’s hatred, and under it all preserved his dignity and exhibited his patience.”²

Yağubi says that he “died mysteriously on the twenty-sixth or twenty-seventh of Jamadi al-Akhir, 254 A.H. (868), and that al-Mutazz sent for his brother Ahmad ibn al-Mutawakkil, who prayed for him in the quarter known as the district of Abu Ahmad, but when many people gathered and there was great tumult and crying, the bier was taken to his house and he was buried there in the courtyard. He had reached the age of forty years, and he left two sons, Hasan and Ja’far.”³

¹ Sell, Ithna Ashariyya, Madras, C.L.S., 1925, p. 44; and Khulasatu’l-Akhbar, op. cit., miracle No. 21.  
² Sell, op. cit., p. 47.  

CHAPTER XX

THE ELEVENTH IMAM, HASAN AL-ASKARI

THERE is doubt as to whether the eleventh Imam, Hasan al-Askari, was born in Medina or in Samarra, in the year 230 A.H., or one or two years later. Kulaini says he was born in 232, but has not committed himself in regard to the birthplace.¹ Majlesi has cited varying opinions of ancient authorities in the twelfth volume of his voluminous Baharu’l-Anwar (Oceans of Lights), without throwing any special light on the subject.² We know, however, that it was not until 234 A.H. that the Imam Ali Naqi was taken as a prisoner to Samarra, so that up until that time the family home was in Medina, where it would seem more probable that the boy was born. As in the case of quite the majority of the imams, his mother was a slave girl, who was honoured, after bearing children to her master, with the special title Umm Walad (mother of offspring). Her own name was Hadith, though there are some who say that she was called Susan, or Ghazala, or Salil, or Haribta. He himself acquired the titles a-Samat (the Silent), al-Hadi (the Guide), ar-Rafik (the Friend), az-Zaki (the Just), and an-Naqi (the Pure). But the designation he usually received, indicating his residence in the “army town,” was al-Askari. His patronymic was Abu Muhammad. The unsophisticated reader of Persian or Arabic books may at times be amused at the way the biographers frequently employ the patronymic in describing the doings of little boys, in such expressions as “When the father of Muhammad was two years old.”

However, at that very time, when Abu Muhammad was two years old (or possibly three or four), his father, the Imam Ali

¹ Kulaini, Usulu’l-Kafi, p. 208.  
Naki (cf. ch. xviii) was suspected of being involved in plots against the Caliph Mutawakkil and was brought to Samarra as a prisoner. As he was allowed to live in his own house, the family were also permitted to come to Samarra. There the boy grew up and gave most of his time to study. In addition to the ordinary studies required of Muhammadan boys in the Koran and the Shari'at he may have occupied himself somewhat with languages, for in later years one of the remarkable things that was observed about him was that he could talk Hindi with the pilgrims from India, Turkish with the Turks, and Persian with the Persians. He and his father and his grandfather were each spoken of at times as "Ibn Ri'la," for there was a large sect of the Shi'ites, the Waqifiyya, who contended that the imamate stopped with Ali ar-Ri'la and who were thus unwilling to concede that it continued through his descendants.

One of the miracles related about Hasan al-Askari is that in his early childhood he fell into a well and the women of the household cried out in despair and ran to report the accident to his father, who was busy saying his prayers. The father was unruffled, as the account runs, and assured them that the boy would be all right. He went deliberately to the well, and when the child was seen gaily playing on the top of the water, and more than that, the water rose quickly to the surface so that he was rescued with ease.

When he was seventeen or eighteen years old, during the caliphate of Musta'in, he is said to have had the satisfaction of riding a high-spirited mule that belonged to the Caliph. It was rumoured that the Caliph fully expected that the mule would kill him, but much to his surprise, the Imam showed complete ability to master the animal.

An interesting story is told of the great pains his father took to procure for his son a Christian slave girl. He entrusted this important matter to his friend Bashar ibn Sulaiman. First he wrote a letter in the "script of Rum" and sealed it with his own noble seal. He placed the letter in a red purse, with 220 dinars, and then said to his friend, "Take this and go to Baghdad. Go to the ferry at the river when the boats from Syria are being unloaded. When you observe that most of the buyers of the female slaves are the agents of the Beni Abbas, and that there are only a few of the young Arabs making purchases, spend that whole day on the look-out for a shipowner whose name is Amr ibn Yezid. Observe when he exhibits a slave girl who will wear two silk garments to protect her from being seen or handled by the buyers. You will hear her call out in the language of Rum, 'Even if you have wealth and the glory of Solomon the son of David, I can never have affection for you, so take care lest you waste your money.' And if a buyer approaches her she will say, 'Cursed be the man who unveils my eyebrow!' Her owner will then protest, 'But what recourse have I, I am compelled to sell you?' You will then hear the slave answer, 'Why this haste, let me choose my purchaser, that my heart may accept him in confidence and gratitude.'

"You are to go, then, O Bashar, and tell Amr ibn Yezid that you have a letter, written in the script of Rum by a certain nobleman, and that this letter shows his kindness, appreciation, and liberality. You must give the letter to the slave to read, that she may discern from it the character of the man who desires to buy her."

Bashar reported later, "When I carried out these instructions, and gave the girl the letter, she was not able to keep from crying as she read it. Then she said to Amr ibn Yezid, 'Sell me to the writer of this letter, for if you refuse I will surely kill myself.' I therefore talked over the price with Amr until we agreed on the 220 dinars my master had given me. When I had paid the money, and received the girl, she came with me without protest. In fact she was laughing and very happy. And in her excitement she took the letter of the Imam Ali Naki from her pocket and kissed it, and put it on her eyes and her eyebrows, and rubbed it on her face and her body. I told her I was amazed that she should act this way when as yet she did not know the writer. She.
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1 Khulasatu'l-Ahkâr, ch. xxxix, miracle No. 17.
2 Ibid., op. cit., miracle No. 19.
answered, 'May the offspring of the Prophet dispel your doubts!' Afterwards she gave me the following account of herself:

‘I am a princess, the granddaughter of the Emperor of Rum. My mother was a descendant of the disciple Thimun (Simon), the vicegerent (wasi) of Jesus. My grandfather, the Emperor, was anxious to marry me to his nephew. I was thirteen years old. At his castle he gathered a great assembly, including 300 monks and hermits, 700 of the nobility, and 4,000 of the officials of the army and the landed gentry. He had had a special throne made, which was inlaid with jewels. It stood in the court of the castle and was approached by forty steps. On that throne he had his nephew seated in state, and round about the throne there were images standing. Christian priests were there to pay him honour. They opened the Injil (New Testament, literally 'gospel') and the supports of the throne gave way and all the images fell. My cousin also fell down with his throne, and he fainted. All the great officials and the gentry were overcome with fear, and said, 'O King, preserve us from witnessing this ill-fated day, for this sort of a thing is a proof of the decline and disappearance of the Christian religion.' At this my grandfather was exceedingly angry, and commanded that the pillars of the throne should again be made firm, and that the images should be put back in their places, and that my unfortunate cousin should be brought forth once more for his wedding. But again the same thing happened, and this time the people were so terrified that they scattered in all directions. My grandfather fell himself and it was in sorrow and chagrin that he arose and went to his private quarters.

‘One night after this I saw a dream, in which Jesus appeared with his disciples at the place where the throne had been erected. There they built a pulpit of light, and behold, Muhammad, peace be upon him and his family, and his wasi (Ali), and all his exalted descendants had come into the castle. Jesus went forward to embrace Muhammad, who said, 'O Spirit of God, I have come to seek the daughter of your wasi, Thimun, for my son Hasan al-Askari.' Jesus looked to Thimun (who was among the disciples), and said, 'Nobility and glory has come to you in this opportunity to unite your mercy with that of the family of Muhammad.' To this Thimun assented, and all of them ascended the pulpit of light, while Muhammad conducted the wedding service. After the dream, when I awoke I was afraid, and dared not repeat the story of what I had seen for fear that my father or my brother would kill me. While I kept the secret, love for Hasan al-Askari found its place in my heart and constrained me to give up drinking wine and I did not want to eat. Consequently I grew thin and ill. My father consulted every doctor in the city in vain. Finally he said to me, 'O you who have seen a light, is there some desire in your heart that I might satisfy?' I answered, 'The doors of pleasure are closed for me, however, if you set free the Moslem prisoners, it is possible that Jesus and his mother may help me.' My father granted my request, and after that I took a little food and felt better. A few days passed and I had another vision, when Fatima and Zahra and Maryam came to me and explained that the Imam Hasan al-Askari could not come to me unless I should become a Moslem. I asked her 'How did you come to fall among the prisoners?' Bashar asked her. She replied, 'The Imam Hasan told me that my father was planning to send an army against the Moslems, and that I should disguise myself and some of my women and arrange to go along with that army. I did this, and before long some of the Moslem guards captured us, and now you see how it has turned out.'

Bashar has related that when they reached Samarra he went to the Imam Ali Naki, who received them gladly. The Imam asked the girl if he should give her ten thousand dinars or a bit of good news. When she chose the latter he informed her that she was to be given to his son Hasan, as she had seen in her dreams, and that she was to be the mother of the one who was to cause justice to reign upon the earth. She was then committed to the
The suggestion has been made that slaves were given pet names, such as Narjis (narcissus), Susan (lily), and Khamt (fragrant milk). Perhaps the idea has poetic value, but the fact seems to be that these names were rather those in common use among the Christian peoples from whom the slave girls were captured. The story that Narjis Khatun was a princess may be but a fiction to enhance the nobility of the twelfth imam, but it is well within the range of probability that she was indeed a slave who had been taken from somewhere in the Byzantine empire, and who had been sold into that type of slavery that provided concubines for the harems of the gentry in Moslem cities. Wavell has called attention to the fact that this sort of slave traffic was still being carried on in Mecca in 1912.¹

While the Imam Hasan al-Askari was a prisoner, there was a severe drought with a consequent famine in Baghdad. A Christian priest was said to have lifted up his hands toward heaven and to have prayed, with the result that rain fell. The Caliph was concerned lest for this reason the people would forsake Islam. When the Imam was consulted he said that if the people would gather in one place he would remove their doubts. He was allowed to leave his prison and to go before the people, to whom he said, “When the priest prays, seize his hand!” They did this and found that the priest was holding in his hand a bone, which the Imam declared was from the body of some prophet of God, “for when a prophet’s bone was lifted up that way, the clouds would come and rain would fall.” In this way he succeeded in removing the doubts of the people, and in recognition of his services the Caliph allowed him to return to his home in Samarra.²

² It is related also that once the Imam was accosted by a beggar who swore that he had absolutely nothing on which to live. The Imam rebuked him, as he declared, for swearing to a lie. He then gave him 100 dinars on the understanding that hereafter he was not to swear to a falsehood. “And for doing

¹ Wavell, A Modern Pilgrim in Mecca, p. 142. On the question of the names of slave girls, see Friedlander, J. A.O.S., Vol. 29, p. 54.
² Khulasatu’l-Akhbār, op. cit., miracles 14; and Sell, op. cit., p. 50.
so on this occasion," the Imam admonished him, "you will be greatly in need of the 200 dinars you now have hidden in your house, and you will not be able to find them." The beggar afterwards testified that the time came when he wanted exactly this amount, which was what he had hidden, but he discovered that his worthless son had stolen it and gone away.

Another story is related by a man who said he had gone to see the Imam Hasan al-Askari, and while he was seated in his presence, he suddenly remembered that he had fastened fifty dinars in the sleeve of his coat, but when he felt to see if it was there, he failed to find it and feared that he had dropped it. The Imam saw his confusion and said to him, "Do not worry. It fell in your brother's house and he is keeping it for you." When he returned, as the Imam had said, his brother had it and gave it to him.1

One of the Imam's visitors said that when he had seated himself, the Imam said to him, "Look under your feet, for you are sitting on a carpet on which many of the prophets and apostles and imams have sat." He sat there thinking to himself, "Would that I could see this carpet!" Reading his thoughts, the Imam said, "Come near to me." He went closer and the Imam drew his blessed hand across his face so as to give him supernatural discernment. Then he saw the carpet, on which the Imam pointed out the footprints of Adam, Abel, Seth, and Noah of Kedar, the son of Ishmael and the progenitor of the Arabians; of Ezra, Enoch, Methusaleh, Hud, Abraham and Lot; of Isaac, Joseph, Jacob, Moses, David, and Solomon; then of Khizr, Daniel, Elisha, and Alexander the Great; and finally of Abdul Mu'ttalib, of Abu'l-Manaf, of Muhammad, of Ali, of the several Imams who were his descendants, and of the Mahdi himself. After this remarkable experience, the visitor relates, "the Imam told me to close my eyes, and after I did so, I found that I had returned to my former situation and could no longer perceive the carpet."2

1 Mas'udi, Mawsu'ul-Dhahab, Vol. VIII, p. 40; and Majlisi, Baharu'l-Anwar, Vol. XII, p. 140.
2 Tadhkiratu'l-A'imma, p. 158; and the Ahsa'id ush-Shi'ah, Bk. IV, ch. ii.

During the last four or five years that the Imam Hasan al-Askari lived, his chief annoyance from the Caliph was the restriction of the payment of the "one-fifth," which was a tax on particular products and which went to a charity fund from which many of the descendants of the Prophet were accustomed to receive assistance. In the early days the income from the garden of Fadak, which had once belonged to the Prophet Muhammad, had been set aside by Omar II for the Prophet's descendants, but later caliphs had taken this also for the state treasury.

It was in 260 A.H. (873), by a general agreement of the authorities, that the eleventh imam, Abu Muhammad Hasan al-Askari died in his own house in Samarra, where he was buried in the same place with his father.1 The people's handbooks say that he died from "the poison of Mu'tamid al-Abbasi."2
CHAPTER XXI
THE HIDDEN IMAM, WHO IS EXPECTED TO RETURN

For the Sunnites the "Mahdi" stands for "an eschatological individual in the future," of whom "the Prophet gave good tidings that he would come in the End of Time." The word means the one who is absolutely guided by God, and it is in this sense that he is regarded as worthy to guide others. The term that occurs in the Koran, however, is not al-mahdi, the one guided, but the active participle, al-hadi, the guide (Suras xxii, 53; and xxv, 33). In the first reference it is declared that "God is surely the Guider of those who believe," and the second reference states, "But thy Lord is a sufficient guide and helper." It is obvious that these references are not in themselves sufficient to justify the expectation of the coming of the "Mahdi," but they have been subsequently utilized as a background for an elaborate premillennial hope, which is based on traditions that have been summarized as follows:

1. "The world will not come to an end," said the Prophet Muhammad, "until a man of my tribe and of my name shall be master of Arabia."

2. "When you see black ensigns coming from the direction of Khorasan, then join them, for the Imam of God will be with the standards, whose name is al-Mahdi."

3. "The Mahdi will be descended from me, he will be a man with an open countenance and with a high nose. He will fill the earth with equity and with justice, even as it has been filled with tyranny and oppression, and he will reign over the earth seven years."

4. "Quarrelling and disputation shall exist amongst men, and then shall a man of the people of al-Madina come forth, and shall go from al-Madina to Mekkah, and the people of Mekkah shall make him Imam. Then shall the ruler of Syria send an army against the Mahdi, but the Syrian army shall perish by an earthquake near Badá', between al-Madina and Mekkah. And when the people shall see this, the Abdal will come from Syria, and also a multitude from al-Iraq. After this an enemy to the Mahdi shall arise from the Quraish tribe, whose uncles shall be of the tribe of Kalb, and this man shall send an army against the Mahdi. The Mahdi shall rule according to the example of your Prophet, and shall give strength and stability to Islam. He shall reign for seven years and then die."

5. "There shall be much rain in the days of the Mahdi and the inhabitants both of heaven and earth shall be pleased with him. Men's lives shall pass so pleasantly, that they will wish even the dead were alive again."

It is very probable that the dismal failure of the Muhammadan Empire to attain to equity and justice during the period of the Umayyad caliphate (41-132 A.H.) may have had something to do with the rise of the tradition that one absolutely guided by God would come in the End of Time. Very early indeed, in 66 A.H., after the killing of Ali and of Husain, and after the prolonged horrors of civil strife, the term mahdi had been applied to Muhammad, the son of Ali by the Hanife woman. He was called "al-mahdi ibn al-wasi" (the legatee, i.e., Ali), and when he died and was buried on Mount Ra'dwa', the sect that had followed him began looking for his return from this mountain. Thus he came to be the "expected Mahdi." It is noteworthy that this use of the term, which may be said to be known to history, was nearly two hundred years before the compilation of any of the standard books of traditions, so that there was ample time for the hopes of pious Moslems to crystallize into ever more definite eschatological beliefs. At the same time the very fact that the Koran did not warrant any such hopes made it all the more necessary that they should be confirmed by appropriate and reassuring traditions.

Ibn Khaldun, however, has thrown doubt on the validity of these traditions, for he called attention to the fact that they are not included in the great works of Bukhari or Muslim, and he
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2 Hughes, Dictionary of Islam, p. 305, translated from the Mishkat-ul-Masabih, Bk. XXIII, ch. 3.
3 Macdonald, op. cit., p. 112.
4 Wensinck, A Handbook of Early Muhammadan Traditions, p. 130.
questioned the right of Tirmidhi and of Abu Daud to rely on the authority of Asim, for as he pointed out, on the testimony of several of his contemporaries, "all the Asims have had bad memories." Accordingly, on account of the indefiniteness of the Koranic statements and the untrustworthy character of the pertinent traditions, the Sunnite systematic theologians have not required belief in the coming of the Mahdi in their creeds. Nevertheless, Ibn Khaldun recognised the prevalence of this expectation as a popular belief among the Sunnites, for he wrote: "It has been commonly accepted among the masses of the people of Islam, as the ages have passed, that there must needs appear in the End of Time a man of the family of Muhammad who will aid the Faith and make justice triumph, that the Muslims will follow him, and that he will reign over the Muslim kingdoms and be called al-Mahdi."

For the Shi'ite theologians, on the other hand, the expectation of the coming of the Mahdi is an essential belief. They maintain that the terms in the Koran that express the idea of divine guidance should be referred scrupulously to the Imams, and they give special emphasis to the verse which says, "And among those whom we have created are a people who guide others with truth, and in accordance therewith act justly" (Surah vii, 150). Kulaini and others of the Shi'ite teachers have asserted, on the basis of traditions from the Imam Ja'far as-Sadiq and from the Imam Baqir, that the word ummat (people) signifies the 'imam (the Imams) of the family of Muhammad, and there is a tradition that Ali said, "This people will be divided into seventy-three sects, seventy-two of which will be in Jahannam (Hell), and one in Bahisht (Heaven), and it is only that one sect that God referred to in this text." Many verses in the Koran refer directly to the resurrection judgment (al-Kiyama), and the reference to the "one standing" in judgment, in the verse, "Who is it that is standing over every soul to mark its actions?" (Surah xiii, 33), is believed to be to the Mahdi.1

But with the Shi'ites also the Koran has not been regarded as sufficiently explicit, and what is lacking has been supplied in the traditions. According to a clear statement by Majlisi,2 the Prophet Muhammad is related to have said:

"O ye people! I am the Prophet and Ali is my heir, and from us will descend al-Mahdi, the seal (i.e., the last) of the Imams, who will conquer all religions and take vengeance on the wicked. He will take fortresses and destroy them, and slay every tribe of idolaters, and he will avenge the deaths of the martyrs of God. He will be the champion of the Faith, and a drawer of water at the fountain of divine knowledge. He will reward merit and requite every fool according to his folly. He will be the approved and chosen of God, and the heir of all knowledge. He will be valiant in doing right and the one to whom the Most High has entrusted Islam. . . . O ye people, I have explained to you, and Ali also will make you understand it."

In the Shi'ite hope, however, both in the hearts of the multitude and in the declarations of the theologians, the coming of the Mahdi is identified with the radj'a (return) of the Hidden Imam. There are accordingly three salient points to consider, first, to inquire what may be known about the Twelfth and Last Imam before the time of his concealment (ghaibat); second, to indicate from the best authorities what the doctrine of his concealment is; and third, to describe the nature of the Shi'ite expectation of his return.

The Twelfth Imam, who is called the Master of the Age, is said to have been born in Samarra in 255 or 256 A.H. This would have been four or five years before the death of his father, the Imam Hasan al-Askari. We observe that what is related about the child is scrupulously adapted to what was expected of the Mahdi, and this fact in itself throws suspicion on the traditions that afford the only evidence of his life. Over two hundred years before his time the Prophet had declared, or so we are told, 3

---

2 Majlisi, Hayatu'l-Qulub, iii, section 32, p. 290.
that "his name shall be my name, his patronymic will also be mine, and his titles will be al-Mahdi (the One Guided), al-Hujjat (the Proof), al-Muntazar (the One Awaited), and as-Sahibul-Zamán (the Master of the Age). We are not therefore greatly surprised to find that these names are repeatedly ascribed to him in the traditions.¹

The son of one of his father's head servants has related: "When he was born the Imam Hasan al-Askari called for my father and told him that ten thousand rafi (about one thousand man) of bread, and ten thousand rafi of meat should be given in charity to the Bent Hashim and others, and that many sheep should be killed. This was for the ḍiḳaṭa," which was a sacrifice that had been customary from pre-Islamic times on the seventh day after the birth of a child. Also two of the Imam Hasan al-Askari's slave girls, Nisim and Mariya, have related that when His Excellency al-Kā'im was born, he knelt at once and raised his forefingers in witness towards heaven, sneezed, and said: "Praise to God the Lord of the Worlds, and salutation to Muhammad and his Family!" Then they said that he continued speaking, and said, "The oppressors thought that the Proof of God would disappear, but if I get the opportunity for speech there will be no further doubt about God." Nisim has also related that one night when she went to attend the child, she sneezed, and the boy said, "By the command of God! I am very glad. Do you want me to tell you what is indicated in your sneeze?" When she assented, he said, "You are safe with learning." Halimah went on to say that afterwards, when forty days had passed, she went to see her brother and she saw the child walking about the house. At this she expressed her surprise, but she was assured by her brother that such a child would make the growth in a month that might be expected of ordinary children in a year. For he said that while in the womb the Imams are known to speak, to read the Koran, and to worship their Preserver. He said that while they are still in their infancy, the angels instruct them, for they descend to their service each morning and evening.

¹ Ibn Babawaihi (d. 381 A.H.), Kamalu'd-Din, Teheran 1307 A.H., p. 240; and Majlis, Haṣbu'l-Yaḥīn, p. 146.
days before his death. And on that occasion she met a fully
grown man whom she failed to recognize as her nephew, but her
brother assured her that he was indeed his son, born of Narjis
and none other, and that he was to be his successor in the
imamate as he himself expected soon to depart from the world.
"Therefore," he said, "accept his word and obey his commands." It
was only a few days after that her brother died, and she
saw the Master of the Age every morning and evening, and he
answered whatever she asked, and often told her beforehand
just what she wanted to know.1

It was near the time of the death of his father that the boy,
who was called Muhammad, is said to have been designated as the
Imam. A certain Ismā'īl has reported: "I visited the Imam
Hasan in his final illness, and I sat beside him. He said to
Aḵīd, his servant, 'Prepare for me a brew of gum-mastic,'2 and
directly the mother of the Master of the Age brought the bowl
and put it into the hand of Hasan Askari. But when he tried
to drink, his hand trembled so that the bowl struck his
touching his lips in prayer, and gave it to his father. When he drank it, he said,
'Make me ready for prayer.' So the Master of the Age took a
towel and gave his father the ceremonial ablution, and anointed
his head and his feet. The dying Imam then declared, 'O my
dear child, you are the Master of the Age, you are the Mahdi,
you are the Proof of God on earth, my child, my wasīt (representative), and, as my offspring, you are m-h-m-d (Muḥammad),
my good son, a child of the Apostle, the last of the Imams, pure
and virtuous. The Apostle of God has informed the people
about you. He has mentioned your name and your patronymic.
This is the covenant of my fathers that has come down to me —
and at that moment the Imam died." Such is the story that
Ismā'īl heard from the servant of Aḵīd, and which is repeated
in many popular books on the authority of Shaikh Tusi.3

It was apparently at about this time that the boy disappeared,
or went "into concealment." According to the Jannatul-
Khuwād,4 "he disappeared in his own home, which he had
inherited from his father, in Samarra, in a cellar (sardāb) in that
house which had several steps leading to it. It was the place
where he and his father used to conceal themselves from the
annoyance of the wicked when they wished to perform their
devotions. There is great reward to the one who visits him and
his father at this place. At the time of his disappearance he was
six, or seven, or nine years of age, plus several months and some
days, according to differences in the records." The Aḥa'id
ush-Shī'āh (Mishkāt iv) makes no mention of the manner in
which he disappeared, but observes that the statement that "the
Imam has not yet been born is a mistake and the statement that
he was born and died during the lifetime of his father is also a
mistake, so therefore it is necessary to believe that he has been
born and that he is alive, but in concealment, and that by God's
will he shall appear at the End of Time."

While it is the general Shi'ite opinion of the present day,
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1 Majlisi, Baharut-'Amār, Vol. 13, Persian translation, pp. 2-4; and Ḥakī
eyābīn, p. 146 ff.; and Ibn Babawaihi, op. cit., p. 240. Cf. Mas'ūlī, Ithb
2 "The lentisk or mastic plant (Parry) is indigenous to the Mediterranean
coast region from Syria to Spain... During the 15th, 16th and 17th centuries
mastic enjoyed a high reputation as a medicine, and formed an ingredient in
a large number of medical compounds; but its use in medicine is now obsolete
and it is chiefly employed for making varnish" (Encyclopedia Britannica, 11th
4 Jannatul-Khuwād, table xix.
which is in accord with the older authorities, that it was in Samarra that he disappeared, yet in the seventh or eighth century of the Moslem era (approximately four hundred years after the event), it was sometimes said that it was in Hilla. Ibn Khaldun and Ibn Khallikan and Ibn Batuta evidently had this impression, and Ibn Khaldun states that "when imprisoned with his mother, he entered a sort of well or pit in the house that his family occupied at Hilla, and there he disappeared, but he is to come forth at the end of the age to fill the earth with justice."

The opinion that, if he lived at all, he was mysteriously lost very close to the time of his father's death, is confirmed by the fact that the traditions attribute miraculous reappearances to him immediately in connection with his father's funeral and to defend his own rights at the time of the distribution of the property. We are informed, for example, that when his uncle, the "false Ja'far," was about to say the prayer at the Imam Hasan's funeral, "a fair child, with curly hair, and shining teeth" appeared and seized his uncle's cloak and insisted that he himself should offer the prayer. And a few days later a company of pilgrims came from Kufa to visit the Imam who they found was dead. They inquired for his successor, and were told by some of the Shi'ites that his brother, Ja'far, had taken his place. They said they would accept him if he would prove himself by telling them their several names and indicating how much money they had. While Ja'far was protesting against this examination, a servant of the boy Ka'im appeared, saying that his master had sent him to say that they had certain particular names and a definite amount of money. Accordingly they sent the servant to tell his master that they accepted him. And when Ja'far had laid false claim to the inheritance, the Master of the Age appeared at the side of the house and said, "Why do you lay claim to my rights?" Ja'far could but turn pale and keep silence. His Excellency then disappeared, and Ja'far hunted everywhere but could find no signs of him. The grandmother of the Imam Hasan appeared and ordered that he should be buried in that house. Ja'far interfered and said, "This is my house, do not bury him here." Again the Master of the Age appeared and said, "O Ja'far, is this your house?" And at once he departed and they saw him no more.

The traditions are full of instances when he was manifested to believers, after prayer or in time of need. But for a period of about seventy years he was represented on earth by wakils, i.e., by agents or advocates. The first of these was Úthmán ibn Sa'íd. When Úthmán died he was succeeded by his son, Abu Ja'far, who in turn designated Abu'l-Kasim ibn Ruh, who appointed Abu'l-Hasan Samarri. When the latter was about to die they urged him to designate someone in his place, but he refused, and replied, "Now the matter is with God." Accordingly the period when the Hidden Imam was represented by his wakils is known as the "Lesser Concealment," and this period extended, it is said, from A.D. 869-940. Since that time the Shi'ite Mahdi or the Hidden Imam has been in the "Great Concealment," and he is not expected to return until just before the End of Time.

The doctrine of his ghaiba, or concealment, declares simply that he has been withdrawn by God from the eyes of men, that his life has been miraculously prolonged, that he has been seen from time to time, has been in correspondence with others, and maintains a control over the fortunes of his people. An interesting illustration of the way the people have been encouraged to seek the assistance of the Hidden Imam is found in the practice of sending him short letters. In the manual in which he sets forth the many duties and privileges of pilgrims, Majlisi has given an accepted form for a short letter in Arabic, which anyone can write or have written and sent to the Master of the Age. It may be placed on the tombs of any of the Imams, or it may be

---


2 Majlisi, Häbu'l-Ya'qib, pp. 152 and 146.


fastened and sealed, and covered with clean earth, and cast into the sea or into a deep well. In any case it will reach the Hidden Imam and he will give it his personal attention.¹

It is, however, in portraying the nature of the return (raj'a) of the Hidden Imam that the Shi'ite traditionists are at their best. In the elaboration of the doctrine of the return of the Hidden Imam special emphasis is given to the following verses in the Koran (Surah xxviii. 2-6):

"We will recite to thee portions of the History of Moses and Pharaoh with truth, for the teaching of the faithful.

"Now Pharaoh lifted himself up in the earth, and divided his people into parties: one portion of them he brought low—He slew their male children, and let their females only live; for he was one of those who wrought disorders.

"And we were minded to shew favour to those who were brought low in the land, and to make them spiritual chiefs (imams) and to make them Pharaoh's heirs.

"And to establish them in the land; and to make Pharaoh and Haman and their hosts the eyewitnesses of what they dreaded for.

"And we said by revelation to the mother of Moses, 'Give him suck; and if thou fearest for him, launch him on the sea; and fear not, neither fret; for we will restore him to thee, and make him one of the apostles.'"²

When asked for his interpretation of this passage the Imam Zainu'l-Abidin is said to have replied: "I swear by the truth of God who surely sent Muhammad, that the good are we People of the Household and our followers, like Moses and his followers, whereas our enemies and their partisans are like Pharaoh and his followers."³ Majlisi's own succinct statement of the doctrine of the return is that "on the Day of Judgment, in the time of His Excellency the 'One Standing,' a great assembly of the good, who have been very good, and of the evil who have been very bad, will return to the world. The bad will return for retribution and suffering here on earth, and to see much more glory given to the People of the Household of the Apostle than that which they have denied them. The return of these evil ones is that they may undergo particularly severe punishment. Other people will remain in their graves until the final resurrection. There are many traditions to confirm the teaching that only those will return at the time of return (raj'a) who have either been especially distinguished for their faith or for their unbelief, whereas the rest of mankind will remain in their own state."¹ Thus the return is represented as a preliminary judgment, in which the Hidden Imam and his followers will be vindicated, and those who have refused to give them their rightful place of leadership will suffer just retribution. For example, among the first to come back to earth will be Husain ibn Ali, with his followers, and at the same time will come the wicked Yezid ibn Muawiyya, with his supporters, and against them all Husain and his army will take summary vengeance.²

In discussing the question of the return of believers, a distinction has been made between those who have been killed by violence and those who have died a natural death. The Imam Riđa is said to have pronounced that "Whatever believer dies a natural death in this life will be killed at the time of the return, and likewise whatever believer is killed in this life will die a natural death at the time of the return. . . . Hence one who is killed by the sword has no advantage over one who dies under the bed-covers, for the one must come back to drink the cup of death and the other must return to be killed." The prophets who have been killed are to return according to the Imam Baćir, and are to be established in their homes, to eat food, to marry, and to live as God wishes, enjoying their lives, and after that they will die among their own people.³

When Ali reappears on earth he will have the staff of Moses and the ring of Solomon. He will meet his companions by the Euphrates, near Kufa, and they will go forth to fight Satan, who will be at the head of an army of all of those who have followed him

² Majlisi, Tofsa's-Za'irin, p. 396.
⁴ Ib. ibid., p. 336.
from the time of Adam until that day. Then there shall occur a battle such as never happened before. And the forces of the Amīr‘ul-Muminīn will indeed be forced back a hundred paces, until the feet of some of them are in the river. At that time a cloud will arise in the sky, and in front of this will come a host of angels, led by Muḥammad, the Apostle of God, armed with a spear of light. But when Satan sees Muhammad, he and his hosts will give way. Muḥammad will kill him with his gleaming spear and all of his almost innumerable army will be destroyed.

One of the strangest characters who is expected to return is Šā‘īf ibn Ša‘īd, or as some say the son of the sorcerer Shiğk. The Prophet Muḥammad’s encounter with him, in company with Umar and others of his Companions, in a place called Raḥtun, is related by al-Bukhārī and Ahmad ibn Hanbal and Tirmidhī, and like the Shi‘īte traditionists, supposedly on the authority of the Prophet, they usually identify this Šā‘īf ibn Ša‘īd with al-māsīḥ ad-Daţ̣ijl (the false Christ) who is expected to return before the Mahdi. A popular and modern book in Persian (Teheran, 1328 A.H.), called the Nur‘ul-Anwar or Light of Lights, describes this Ibn Ša‘īd as he was known in real life and shows how he is expected to reappear.

Muḥammad went with several of his Companions to the house where it was understood that Šā‘īf lived. Umar knocked at the door, and an old woman came and admitted them to the outer court of the house. Muhammad had heard that he was regarded by the Jews as a prophet and thought that he would test him by asking him about two of the surahs in the Koran (Nos. 61 and 87). When they entered the house they saw him fanning himself, and that he was getting bigger with each stroke of the fan. He was talking with everybody. The Prophet Muhammad commanded him to witness to the Unity of God and to his Apostleship (cf. Bukhārī, Šaḥīḥ, 23: 80), but he refused and said, “You are no more of an Apostle than I am,” and afterwards he claimed to be God. The Prophet therefore gave up what he had in mind because of the aversion that he felt toward him. But at once Šā‘īf repeated rapidly the surahs Muhammad had been thinking of, at which the Prophet exclaimed, “May God kill you!” Then Umar drew his sword, without the permission of the Apostle, and struck the head of Šā‘īf a terrific blow, which had, however, no effect, except that the sword returned on Umar’s own head with such force that his head was cut open, so that four fingers could be inserted, and the blood ran all down his face. The Apostle remarked, “You are not able to kill him, for you cannot alter what God has permitted,” but at the same time he put his blessed hand on the head of Umar and the wound was healed at once.

The Apostle then started towards Medina, and Šā‘īf leisurely put on his sandals and took his staff and started to follow him. When the people saw this, however, they were ready to mob him, but he ran away towards a mountain, and placed a huge stone there. Then the Prophet commanded the mountain and struck his hands in prayer, saying aloud, “O God, stop the wickedness of this tyrant!” Immediately a great bird descended from the sky and seized the accursed Šā‘īf in its talons and bore him to the sea of Tabaristān (the Caspian Sea) and cast him on an island where he has since been imprisoned.

In some of the books it is written that God has imprisoned him, along with the ass that he rode, on an island that is more than two hundred miles square, and although God fills that island every day with green pasture, and supplies it abundantly with water, yet the monstrous ass is never satisfied.

Tamīm al-Dāri related to the Apostle: “We were thirty men in a ship, and our ship was adrift for a month, until at last we reached an island, where we saw an ass that was so huge that on looking at him from the front you could not see his tail. He had the head of a camel and a human face. His back was like that of a cow, and spotted. We swore we had never seen such an animal. The beast called out, ‘ad-Daţ̣ijl who rides me is bigger than I am!’ We asked, ‘Where is he?’ The ass replied, ‘He is in this castle.’ We entered the castle and saw a veritable giant there. He had one eye blinded, but the other eye shone like a star in his forehead (Ibn Bahawaihi, Kamalu’d-Din, p. 291), and from his eyebrow there were six hairs that stood out like a spear, and on his forehead was written al-kaţ̣īf (the infidel). His feet were fastened with chains, and his hands were in a yoke that was bound to his neck. When he saw me he
recognized me and asked if I knew Muhammad. I said, 'Which Muhammad?' He said, 'The Prophet of Arabia, who was born in Mecca and fled to Medina.'

It is this Jewish contemporary of the Prophet Muḥammad that the traditions represent as the Anti-Christ who is to come forth as one of the signs of the approach of the End of Time. He is to appear in the Jewish quarter of Isfahan or in Kufa or in Khorasan. In many ways he will attract the thoughtless to follow him. Even the ass that he will ride will have hairs like musical instruments that will vibrate beautifully in the wind, to interest people; and instead of ordinary dung, the mysterious animal will drop dates that will deceive the young.

The literal meaning of the name Dajjāl is a "Deceiver" and it is probable that this name for a repulsive character in an old Arab myth, was used by Muḥammad to express his contempt for Ṣā'īf ibn Ṣā'id, so that thus both the man and the myth have survived in the evolution of the story of the coming of the expected Anti-Christ. The Shi'ites quote many sayings of the Imams about the signs of the coming of ad-Dajjāl. Ali is said to have foretold that there would first be a widespread falling away from faithfulness in prayer and from the obligations of the Law, that there would be much deceit and laxity of all kinds, with undue freedom between men and women, when women would affect the attire of men, and ride on horseback like men.

When ad-Dajjāl shall return and gather a horde of followers, he will approach Jerusalem, where the Hidden Imam will have appeared to mobilize the Faithful. Then suddenly, at a place called Afik, the true Christ will descend from Heaven, each hand resting on the shoulder of an angel. The Christ will go first and kill ad-Dajjāl, and after he has wiped off the blood from his lance, he will come to Jerusalem and join in worship behind the Imam and show himself a true Muḥammadan. This he will demonstrate by killing swine and breaking crosses, destroying synagogues and churches, and by killing all the Christians who refuse to believe in him in his new rôle. For "after he has killed the false Messiah (al-masīḥ al-dājūl) not one of the People of Scripture will be left who does not believe in him, so that the community (milla) will become one, the community of Islam. Then will come universal security of man and beast and Jesus will remain forty years; thereafter he will die and the Muslims will hold funeral service for him and bury him (at Medina, it is universally accepted, beside Muḥammad, in a vacant space between Abu Bakr and ʿUmar)."


2 Ibn Babawaih, Kamālū’d-Dīn, p. 290.
In the year A.D. 836, after two years' experience with factional strife in Baghdad, the Caliph Mu'tasim departed with his Turkish army to Samarra, "which he founded and made his residence and military camp."1 There eight caliphs lived in the short period of fifty-six years.2 The distance of Samarra from Baghdad is sixty miles, and according to the road book of Ibn Rustah (A.D. 903), there were ninety-nine stages of twelve miles each between Surra-man-raa and Mecca.3 This name, Surra-man-raa (He who sees it rejoices), is said to have been given by Mu'tasim himself, when, for approximately £2,000, he purchased as a site for his new city a garden that had been developed by a Christian monastery. The Caliph's happy Arabic pun was based on the Aramaic name, Sâmarra, which was a town in the immediate vicinity from the times before the Arab conquest. The general district, however, was known as Ṭirhàn.4 Thus the site chosen was an attractive garden spot in a fertile valley of the Tigris, and there the Caliph built his new capital, which became known as "the second city of the Caliphs of the Beni Hashim." A main avenue, with many residences, ran along the river bank. In the garden of the monastery he built his royal palace, known as the Dâru'l-Amma, and the monastery itself became his Treasury.

A Friday Mosque, the walls of which are still standing, was built by Mu'tasim very close to the quarter of the city that was set aside for the army. Mustawfi informs us further that "he
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built a Minaret for the Mosque, 170 ells in height, with a gangway (to ascend it, that went up) outside, and no Minaret after this fashion was ever built by anyone before his time." This minaret, which is shown in the foreground of the accompanying photograph, is so large that a man on horseback is said to be able to ascend its so-called gangway. The same thing is claimed for the similar minaret in the Mosque of Tulun, which may have been modelled after it.

But the Turkish mercenaries, on whom Mu'tasim and his sons and grandsons relied, soon became the true masters of the situation. While they cherished their position as guardians of the caliphs, whom they permitted to live in luxury and security, nevertheless they so exploited their own opportunities for gain, through cruelty and oppression, that in matters of internal administration the authority of the Muhammadan Empire sank to a low ebb. This was at a time, however, according to Dinawari, when there were more victories for the troops than during any preceding caliphate.

In Samarra the caliphs busied themselves building palace after palace, on both sides of the river, and at a cost that Yakut estimated as 204 million dirhems, which would not be less than eight million sterling. A great cypress tree is celebrated in the Shah Nameh as having sprung from a branch brought by Zoroaster from Paradise. It is said to have stood at the village of Kishmar, near Turshiz, and to have been planted by Zoroaster in memory of the conversion of King Gushtasp to the Magian religion. "Such too was its power that earthquakes, which frequently devastated all the neighbouring districts, never did any harm in Kishmar. According to Kazvini, the Caliph Mutawakkil in 247 (861) caused this mighty cypress to be felled, and then transported it across all Persia, in places carried on camels, to be used for beams in his new palace at Samarra. This was done in spite of the grief and protests of all the Guebres,
but when the cypress arrived on the banks of the Tigris, Mutawakkil was dead, having been murdered by his son." Mustawfi, who wrote in the fourteenth century, and with Shi'ite sympathies, mentions how the Caliph Mutawakkil enlarged Samarra, and in particular, how he built a magnificent Kiosk, greater than which never existed in the lands of Irán, and gave it the title of the Ja'fariyyah (his name being Ja'far). But evil fortune—brought down on him in that he had laid in ruins the tomb of the Imam Husayn, son of Ali (at Kerbala), and furthermore had prevented people from making their visitation to the same—decree that, shortly after his death, his Kiosk should be demolished, so that no trace of it now remains. Indeed, of Samarra itself, at the present time, only a restricted portion is inhabited. 3 The historical topography of the ephemeral capital can be very plainly seen by anyone flying over the site in an aeroplane. 4 The restricted portion that was still inhabited in the fourteenth century was approximately the same as the modern Samarra, and was a part of the "Camp of Mut'asim." Here the Imams, Ali Nāki and his son, Hasan, had been allowed to live, and hence they were called the Askariyain, or the "dwellers in the Camp." It was here also that both of them were buried. 5 The modern Samarra is only a few paces removed from the walls of the old Friday Mosque, which agrees with Mustawfi's observation that "in front of the mosque stands the tomb of the Imam Ali an-Nāki, grandson of the Imam Ali ar-Rida; and also of his son, the Imam Ḥasan al-Askari." That the city of the Caliphs was much more extensive is indicated by the modern observation that "the ground plan of the many barracks, palaces and gardens can be very plainly seen by anyone flying over the site in an aeroplane." 6 The historical topography of the ephemeral capital of the Caliphs as outlined by the Arab geographers, Ya'kubī and Yaḵut, has been investigated recently by archeologists, so that the location of the principal streets and of many of the palaces has been determined. Also the findings have proved to be of special value to students of Muslim art, for they are representative of the period when the civilization of the Abbasid caliphate was "shedding its lustre over the world." 7

It was in the part of Samarra that still remains that the Imam Mahdi—Muhammad ibn Hasan al-Askari—is said to have disappeared from human sight. Mustawfi says this happened in 264 (878) at Samarra. 8 The fact that the Shi'ite community was permitted to have its headquarters after the fall of the Buyyids in the near-by city of Hilla, from which place they conducted their negotiations at the time of the invasion of Khulagu Khan, gave rise to the tradition that the Hidden Imam would reappear in that town. This accounts for the confusion of the traveller, Ibn Batuta (A.D. 1355), who found shrines dedicated to the last Imam, both in Hilla and Samarra. The "mosque of the last Imam" in Hilla marks the place of his expected reappearance, but the place of his disappearance is at Samarra. At Hilla, Ibn Batuta found that the mosque had an extended veil of silk stretched across its entrance, and it was a practice for the people "to come daily, armed to the number of a hundred, to the door of this mosque, bringing with them a beast saddled and bridled, a great number of persons also with drums and trumpets, and to say: 'Come forth, Lord of the Age, for tyranny and baseness now abounds; this then is the time for thy egress, that, by thy means, God may divide between truth and falsehood.' They wait till night and then return to their homes." Samarra itself was at that time in ruins, though Ibn Batuta mentions that "there had been a mashhad in it, dedicated to the last Imam by the Rāfīza." 9 It may have been owing to the fact that the place was in ruins that pains were not taken to ascertain that the mashhad was the "place of witness" in memory of the Imams, Ali Nāki

2 Mustawfi, op. cit., p. 49.
3 It is interesting to observe that Shahrastání (ed. Cureton, p. 128) makes the statement that the Imam Ali Nāki is buried at Ḥumām, but this is probably an error. Cf. Mustawfi, op. cit., p. 49; and Herzfeld, Ency. Islam, art. "Askar Sāmarrā."
and Hasan al-Askari, and that a different spot near by was highly regarded as the place where the last Imam disappeared.1

From the point of view of present-day Shi'ites, the modern city of Samarra is of outstanding importance on account of its two shrines, both of which have in recent years been kept in excellent repair. It is the Shrine of the Askarian that has the golden dome, which was presented by Nasr al-Din Shah and completed under Muzaffer al-Din Shah in the year 1903.2 Beneath it there are four graves, those of the two Imams, Ali Naki and his son, Hasan al-Askari, and those of two illustrious women who are associated with them in the traditions. One of these is Halimah, the sister of the Imam Ali Naki, who has related at length the circumstances of the birth of the Hidden Imam, and the other is Narjis Khatun, the Christian slave who is said to have been the mother of this boy, who disappeared when he was five (or nine) years old. The second shrine marks the place where it is considered that the Hidden Imam went into concealment. It has a dome that is distinguished for the soft and delicate design that is worked out in blue tiles, and beneath it is the sardab (a cellar or pit) where the young Imam is said to have disappeared. Visitors may enter this pit by a flight of steps.

The Pilgrims' Guide Book gives directions for visiting each of these Samarra shrines.3 When you wish to visit the tombs of the Imams, Ali Naki and of Hasan al-Askari, you should first bathe, and then go before their graves. If it is not practicable for you to do this (i.e., if there is danger involved in your doing so), you should make a gesture of salutation before the lattice (in front of the shrine), when you are in line with the sepulchre, and then repeat the prayer of salutation.” After repeating the prescribed form of salutation, you are “to put forth effort in your prayer on behalf of yourself, and for your father and your mother, and to make whatever other petition you wish. If you are able

2 Dr. Herzfeld was apparently misinformed and considered that the golden dome is over the sardab. Cf. Enc. Islam, art. “Askar Samarrā.”
3 Majlisi, Tojatt’s-Zā’īrin, pp. 335 and 356 ff.

Visitors may go close to the tombs, do so, and make two prostrations in prayer, and whatever prayer it is your desire to make will surely be answered.” Attention is called to the fact that the authorities have mentioned also in their books that it is advisable to visit also the tomb of the mother of the Sahibu‘l-Amr, Narjis Khatun. “Also the tomb of Halimah Khatun, the daughter of the Imam Muḥammad Taği, is in this sacred shrine (beneath the golden dome), and the prayer of visitation must be made at her grave also, for she was honoured by the Imams in a high degree, and both during the lifetime of the Imam Hasan al-Askari and afterwards, she visited the Sahibu‘l-Amr. She was present, indeed, at the time of his birth, so that she served four Imams.” It is especially recommended that visits to the tombs of the Askarian should be made on their birthdays and on the days commemorative of their death.

At the pit, or sardab, a prayer of salutation is made to the Hidden Imam, who is addressed as the Caliph of God, the Representative of those sent in the past, the Guardian of the secrets of the two worlds, the Last Gift of God, the Door of Approach to God, the Light of God that will never be extinguished, and the Proof of God for all beings on earth and in the heavens. Then follows the following Confession of Faith:

“I bear witness that thou art the Proof of God for the living and the dead, that thine army is to be victorious, thy friends to be saved and thine enemies to suffer. Thou art the keeper of all learning, the revealer of all that is hidden, the demonstrator of all truth, and the frustrator of all folly. I rejoice that thou art my Imam, my Guide, my Leader, my Master. I seek no other in thy stead and accept no master in thy place.

“I bear witness that thou art the established truth, that there can be no mistake or doubt, and that God's promise of thy coming is sure. But I am dismayed at thy tarrying so long, and do not have patience to wait for the distant time. It is not surprising that some have denied thee. I am waiting, however, for the day of thy coming. Thou art an Intercessor who will not be questioned.
and a Master who will not be taken away. God has kept thee for the assistance of the Faith, a protection for believers and a punishment for infidels and heretics.

"I bear witness that it is by thy favour that our actions will be approved, our works made pure, and our merits multiplied. Whosoever comes to thy friendship and acknowledges thee as the Imam, surely his works will be accepted, his word believed, his good deeds made many, and his sins blotted out. But whosoever rejects thy friendship and denies thee, or substitutes another for thee, surely God will send him face first into the Fire, without taking the trouble to weigh his good works.

"I testify to the existence of God, to the existence of the angels, and to thy existence, O my Leader. In this my faith is sincere, the same in my heart as in my words, the same in secret as in public, and to this thou art my witness.

"This is the covenant that God has commanded between me and thee. If the time is prolonged and my life is continued, my assurance, my friendship, my trust, my certainty, surely all will increase. I will be waiting for thy appearance, and for the Holy War along with thee. It is for this that I am ready to forfeit my life, my property, my son, my wife—all indeed which God's favour has granted me—they are all in thy hands and are for thee to give or to deny. O my Leader, if I am living on the bright day of thy coming, with its glistening standard, then am I thy servant to command. May I have opportunity for martyrdom before thee! And, O my Leader, if I should die before thy coming, grant me thy intercession, and that of thy pure fathers, before God on High, that God may allow me to appear again before him at the time of thy coming, that I may follow thee and satisfy my heart against thine enemies.

"O my Leader, I come as a sinner, as one who is ashamed and afraid when he thinks of the punishments to come from the Sovereign of the Universe; but I have trusted in thy intercession and have referred all to thy leadership, that I may be forgiven from sin, that my faults may be overlooked, and my mistakes pardoned. I beseech thee for thy intercession, O my Leader, to help me to accomplish my desire. Ask God to forgive my sins, for I have grasped the life-line from thy hand."

The pilgrim is then instructed as to the right procedure on entering the sarāb, where the Imam disappeared. He is to stand between the two doors, to grasp one of the doors with his hands, "and to cough like one asking to enter," and to say slowly and from the heart, "In the name of God the Merciful and Forgiving."

There in the court of the sarāb he should repeat two rākats of prayer, after which he should say:

"God is great, there is no God but God! God is great, all praise be unto God! Praise be unto him who has guided us to know his friends and to recognize his enemies. Praise be unto him who has assisted us to make this pilgrimage to our Imam, and who did not appoint us to be of those who were enemies to Ali, or of those who considered Ali to be God, or of those who attributed all human actions to God or to Ali, or of those who were scornfully disobedient to Islam. Peace be upon the Friend of God, the son of God's friends, the one treasured for the honour of God's friends and for the confusion of his enemies. . . ."

"O God, as thou hast led my heart to remember him, so make my sword ready and notable in his service. If I am to die first, grant him authority in thy Caliphate for the death of those who oppose him. Cause me to rise from the dead at the time when he appears, to come out from my tomb with my grave clothes, that I may fight in the Holy War before his face, in the ranks of those whom thou hast chosen in the Book: 'Surely Allah loves those who fight in His way in ranks as if they were a firm and compact wall' (Koran 61, 4)."

"O God, Thou hast lengthened the period of our waiting! Those who oppose are ridiculing us, and further waiting is a sore trial. Show us the face of Thy blessed Friend during our time, or after we have died. O God, as I trust thee that I will return, hear my cry, hear my cry, hear my cry!

"O Master of the Age, I have left all friends for thee! I have left my native land to visit thee! Concealing my action from those of my home town, I have sought that thou mightest be my
intercessor before my Sovereign and thy Sovereign, and before thy fathers, who are also my friends. Be thou my good fortune, and as thy bounty is ample, send good to me.”

Such is the faith and hope of the Twelvers (ithna āshari), the Shi’ites who believe in a series of twelve Imams, and who say that the Imamate passed from Ali to his two sons, Hasan and Husain; from Husain to his son, Ali Zain al-Abidin, to his son, Muḥammad al-Baṣir, to his son, Ja’far as-Ṣadiq, to his son Musa al-Kazim, to his son, Ali ar-Rida, to his son, Muḥammad al-Taqi, to his son, Ali an-Naṣir, and to his son, Hasan al-Askari; and finally, on the evidence of traditions that are not easily confirmed, to Muḥammad al-Mahdi, “who disappeared and will come at the end of time to announce the last judgment and to fill the earth with justice.”

CHAPTER XXIII

THE FOUR AGENTS OF THE HIDDEN IMAM

We are told that the birth of the twelfth and last Imam occurred on the eighth of the month, Sha‘bān, in the year 256/869, and that his agent (wakil) was Ḫūṭman ibn Sā‘īd, who left his authority to his son, Abu Ja‘far Muḥammad, who left it to Abu’l-Kasim ibn Ruh, who left it to Abu‘l-Hasan Ali ibn Muhammad Samarri.” The tenth and the eleventh Imams had regarded Ḫūṭman ibn Sā‘īd as their private secretary and treasurer, and they had both considered him thoroughly reliable. What he said on their behalf was the same as if they had said it. At times the Imam Hasan Askari addressed him in public as the “agent for the property of God,” for which property he was to give a receipt when he accepted it on behalf of the Imam.

A company of forty leading Shi’ites called upon Hasan Askari shortly before his death to learn who was to be his successor as the Proof of God on earth. He retired from the group for about an hour, when he returned with a beautiful child in his arms. He explained that this child was the one who was to succeed him, but that after that day they would not see the child until he was considerably older. “In the meantime,” he said, “you are to accept what Ḫūṭman ibn Sā‘īd says, as he is the representative of your Imam.” It is further related that Hasan Askari said of him, “He is my agent (wakil), and his son, Muḥammad, is the agent of my son, Muḥammad.” It was he therefore who washed the body of Hasan Askari and anointed it for burial and placed it in the grave.

When he was asked if he had seen Hasan Askari’s son, who was...
supposed to have been born near the time of his father's death, \( \text{\v{U}thman ibn S\'aid} \) broke down weeping, and said: "Yes, it is true, I saw him and his neck was like this," and he made a gesture to indicate that the boy had an enormous neck. But he would not reveal the name of the child, for he said that if his name should be mentioned his enemies would begin searching for him.

The grave of \( \text{\v{U}thman ibn S\'aid} \) is said to be in Baghdad at the Sh\afi'-al-Mayd\'an, in the mosque near the city gate. In 408 A.H. there was an entrance to the tomb room from a small door in the prayer niche of the mosque, but thirty-two years later this wall was destroyed and the tomb was left in the open court where it could be visited by anyone.

The second agent, \( \text{\v{A}bu Ja'}far Muhammad ibn Uthman} \), was designated by the written will of his father to succeed him as the representative of the Hidden Imam. He washed his father's body and attended to the funeral rites. There was unanimity among the Shi'ites of Iraq in according to him the authority his father had exercised. He is said to have had several books on the Law which he had inherited from his father and which his father had received from the Imams. During the period that he served as his agent, he took an oath that the Master of the Age was present among the pilgrims of Mecca every year and that he sees them although they fail to see him.

As a sign of \( \text{\v{A}bu Ja'}far's \) supernatural intuition it is related that one of his friends found him inscribing a verse from the Koran on a slab of stone, and in the margins he was cutting the names of the Imams. His friend asked him what he was doing. "It is for my grave," he said, and then he told this man when all, and that after him is \( \text{\v{A}hmad} \), and after him is \( \text{\v{A}li} \), who is the \( \text{\v{W}asi} \) (executor) of the Prophet. This agrees with the traditions and this is what seems to me to be right." But the spectators were surprised at what he said. And the Sunnis who were present jubilantly congratulated him and carried him on their shoulders as they ridiculed and abused those who had considered him among the Rafidah (the Repudiators of Abu Bakr and \( \text{\v{U}mar} \)). But the Shi'ite narrator says that

---

1. *Ibid., op. cit., p. 130 ff.*
2. *Ibid., op. cit., p. 132 ff.*
When he heard Husain ibn Ruh's careful statement, he found great difficulty in concealing his laughter, even though he drew his sleeve across his mouth, and to avoid further embarrassment he left the room. But as he departed he caught the eye of Husain ibn Ruh, and an hour or so later he received a call from this eminent personage and they enjoyed the joke together.

That he was gifted with persuasive tact is evidenced by the tradition that ten men went to see him about a matter in which nine of them were his opponents. At first they were bitter in denouncing his attitude, but at the end of the interview they had not only come to agree with him but had been much impressed by his patience and gracious consideration.

On one occasion his opinion was asked concerning the practice of temporary marriage. Now the word ordinarily used to express this relationship is mutād, which means "enjoyment." According to Shi'ite law, "Marriage, like other contracts, requires declaration and acceptance for its constitution; and both must be expressed in such a manner as to demonstrate intention, without any sort of ambiguity. The words appropriate to the declaration are zwawojoku and ankuhtoku, both signifying, 'I have married thee.' With regard to the word muttudoku, which signifies, 'I have bestowed on thee' or 'given thee the enjoyment,' there is some doubt of its being legally sufficient; but the opinion which is in favour of its legality has been generally preferred."¹

According to the Hanifites this word mutād, or any of its derivatives, is not sufficient to make a marriage legally binding. Among the Sunnites such temporary marriage is looked upon with extreme disfavour, and the fact that it is permitted by the Shi'ites² is a point of frequent criticism.

For this reason Abu Ḵasim was asked to explain, "Why is temporary marriage with a virgin looked upon with disfavour?" He replied, "The Prophet has declared that modesty is part of faith. When you take a girl in temporary marriage, to have pleasure with her, you spoil her modesty and injure her faith."

When one of those present asked him, "But if anyone does this, has he committed adultery?" the representative of the Imam replied, "No, I wouldn't say that."

It is said that once he sent a book of regulations and admonitions to a number of learned Shi'ites at Kumm. They gave the book their approval, except that the specified tithe to be paid at the time of the feast of breaking the fast was listed at only half as much as they thought it should be.

Abu Ḵasim ibn Ruh died in 326 A.H., and again on the testimony of Umm Kulthum, the daughter of Abu Ja'far, it is recorded that the grave of Abu Ḵasim ibn Ruh is near the house of Ali ibn Ahmad Nubakhti, at a point beyond the Shawk bridge and towards the city gate. Majlisi calls special attention to the propriety of Shi'ite pilgrims also visiting the tomb of Abu Ḵasim ibn Ruh.³

The fourth agent was Abul-Hasan Ali ibn Muhammad Samarri. Seventy years had passed since the death of the Imam Hasan Askari, and notwithstanding the devoted expectation of the Shi'ite community, the Hidden Imam had not appeared. In these two generations those who had known the Imam personally had died. In the rugged path of dissimulation a mere remnant of the Shi'ite community had survived, and that through a period of political and social turmoil when the faith of all the people languished. Oppression and injustice had become so rife upon the earth that many felt that surely the long expected Imam must come. For not only was the small Shi'ite community divided and downtrodden but the prestige of the empire of Islam had suffered from the repeated raids of border tribes and the Muslim armies had suffered serious losses in the continued warfare with the Byzantines.

For in the very same year that the Imam Hasan Askari died, the Caliph al-Muhtadi, the good Caliph, perished, after torture, a victim to the resentment of his Turkish chiefs. The reign of his successor, al-Mu'tamid, brought a series of dire calamities. First the Zanj entered Basrah, where they are said to have killed

² at-Ṭust, Istihār, Vol. II, p. 76.
three hundred thousand in one day, and to have swept across Persian Iraq like a scourge. They carried death and destruction wherever they went. Their leader was Ali al-Khabith, who claimed to have been sent by God, and who was bent on destroying all the people who refused to accept him and his mission. He had a pulpit set up at his headquarters and there he openly reviled the heroes of Islam. He took special delight in dishonouring the Alide women, letting them be sold for a mere pittance, and allowing his followers to hold them in abject slavery. Finally, after he had spread terror for four years, he was killed and his head was brought to Baghdad on a spear, and the people gave themselves over to a day of public rejoicing.

But in the meantime the cities had been stricken with plague, and earthquakes were added to complete the horror. In the remaining years of the reign of Mu'tamid, until 279/892, the empire was torn with civil strife, and at the same time strained to the utmost in the desperate but ever indecisive war with the Byzantines. And of the next Caliph, al-Mu'tadid, it is written, "dissensions were lulled during his days through the excessive dread he inspired." Since he inspired such fear on account of the number of people he arbitrarily put to death, and because he succeeded in restoring the power of the house of Abbas, he was called as-Ṣaffah the Second, in memory of the great "shredder of blood" who was the founder of the Abbasid dynasty.

A few years later there occurred the widespread and somewhat mysterious rise of the Karmatians, who in the year 317/929 slaughtered the pilgrims at Mecca and carried away the Black Stone from the Kaaba. As part of a general movement for social reform and justice, controlled by the Isma'ilis, who had founded the Fatimid dynasty in Egypt and Syria, they exploited the Alid legitimist tradition in a grand conspiracy, which spread rapidly throughout the empire. And about the same time the Dailamites, a warlike people from the mountainous region of Gilan, and who had furnished mercenaries to the armies of the Caliphs, captured Isfahan and were making rapid headway in Mesopotamia.

The fourth agent of the Hidden Imam, therefore, had come upon evil times. He may have felt that the years that had passed since the time of the last Imams had been so filled with oppression, bloodshed and iniquity that the Imam himself must certainly appear. Or he may have been thoroughly disillusioned and have felt the insignificance and unreality of his position as the accredited agent of the supposed Imam. In any event, at the time of his death, when he was urged to name his successor, he gave an answer that has come down in history, "The matter is now with God." He refused therefore to name anyone to succeed him, so that from the year he died, 329/940, the Hidden Imam has had no visible representative on earth. The period of the Lesser Concealment, extending from 256-329 A.H., was finished, and the almost one thousand years that have passed since then are part of the Greater Concealment. The grave of the last "agent" is on the Shāria Khalbakhi near the canal Nahrābī.


3 as-Suyuti, History of the Caliphs, pp. 376-383.

4 Ibid., op. cit., p. 386.

CHAPTER XXIV
PILGRIMAGES TO THE GRAVES OF IMAMZADEHS

IMAMZADEHS are descendants of an Imam, whether they be the immediate children or whether their descent is through several generations. Majlisi remarks that it is doubtful if there are any traditions from the Imams that can be cited as giving authority for pilgrimages to the tombs of all of their children, but “it is a custom that has been sanctioned by the learned doctors of faith, and with due allowance for uncertainties, the visit to each of their tombs is advisable on account of their evident connection with the Imams and the probability that visiting their tombs may be a means of blessing.”

Kumm

Traditions are recorded, however, in support of the pilgrimage to the distinguished mausoleum of Fatima at Kumm. She has been called Ma'sumah, the innocent. She was a daughter of the Imam Musa Kazim, and a sister of the Imam Riḍa. Kumm was a city in Persian Irak that was recognised as a Shi'ite centre. It is said that when the sister of the Imam Riḍa was on her way to join her brother in Khorasan, she had halted in Kumm. There she took ill and died. There are some who say she was poisoned.²

Mustawfi found Kumm in ruins when he visited it in the fourteenth century. While he makes no mention of the tomb of Fatima, he observes that the population were Shi'ites of the sect that followed the Twelve Imams.³ However, Ibn Babawaihi (A.D. 991) related the tradition that Sa’d ibn Sa’d asked the Imam Riḍa concerning the pilgrimage to the tomb of his sister, the daughter of Musa ibn Ja’far, and the Imam Riḍa replied, “Whoever visits her will go to Heaven.” Other traditions to the same effect are related by Majlisi, from both the Imam Riḍa and from his son the Imam Muhammad Taḵi, in the instructions he gives for the pilgrimage to her tomb. “When you arrive at her grave,” he says, “stand opposite the head and repeat thirty-four times ‘God is Great,’ and thirty-three times ‘Praise be to God,’ and also say thirty-three times ‘God be exalted.’” Then after invoking peace upon Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad, upon Ali and Fatima and Hasan, and Husain and all the Imams, the devout pilgrim is to salute Fatima, the daughter of Musa ibn Ja’far, and in his prayer he is to trace her ancestry on back through the line of her father’s predecessors.

There is another tomb in Kumm where they have built a sepulchre, with a large stone that indicates that it marks the graves of Ali ibn Ja’far as-Ṣadiḵ and of Muhammad ibn Musa. The date of the placing of this stone was about four hundred years before the time of Majlisi, i.e., about A.D. 1300, which would suggest that it was placed there at the time of an attempted restoration of the Shrine after the Mongol conquest. But Majlisi takes pains to add that “while there is no doubt of the distinction of Ali ibn Ja’far, yet it has not been proved that this is his grave, for in various books of biography and elsewhere, it is shown that he did not come to this region. It is generally considered that he was buried in the valley of ʿUraḍ (near Medina), and since the inscription on that old tomb is so clear, perhaps it is better to make the pilgrimage to him there.”

The present town of Kumm, a walled city that one enters through a typical Persian gateway that is decorated with ornamental tiles, owes its importance to the fact that Shah ʿAbbas, the great Safawid, took special pains to encourage pilgrimages to the shrines lying within Persian territory, that less money might be carried out of the country. It is for this reason also that the
later rulers of the Safawid dynasty are buried at Kumm, and the shrine itself is a striking example of the architecture of that period.

Shah Abdu'l-Azim

One of the best known shrines in Persia is the tomb of the imamzadeh Shah Abdu'l-Azim. He was only four generations removed from the Imam Hasan and was distinguished as a traditionist, a scholar, an ascetic, and a saint. He was also a personal companion of the Imam Muhammad Taki and of his son the Imam Ali Naki, both of whom he served with the greatest devotion and self-sacrifice.

His tomb was outside the city of Ray. But at the time of the Mongol invasion Ray was totally destroyed, and the remnants of the population gathered in the village of Tihrin, which in later years became the capital of modern Persia, Teheran. The proximity of the shrine of Shah Abdu'l-Azim to the capital city has doubtless given it exaggerated importance in recent years, but there is nevertheless sufficient mention of it in the older books to show that it has long been recognised as a place of pilgrimage.

The story is that Shah Abdu'l-Azim fled from the Caliph and came secretly to Ray, where he was in hiding in a pit in the house of a Shi'ite. He spent most of his time in worship, for during the day he fasted and at night he would rise for prayer. It was discovered that he went secretly at night to visit the tomb which now lies opposite his own. There is a road between the two. He said that this was the tomb of a man from the descendants of the Imam Musa Kazim. As he spent so much of his time there, gradually the people of Ray came to know about it. Before long one of them claimed to have seen the Apostle of God in a dream, and that the Apostle had said, "A man from my descendants shall be taken from Lords' Street and they shall bury him near an apple tree in the garden of Abdu'l-Jabbar ibn Abdu'l-Wahhab." The man who had seen the dream went at once to the owner of this garden and made an effort to buy it. But in his conversation he learned that the owner of the garden had also seen the same dream and had already dedicated the garden to the descendants of the Prophet and as a cemetery for other Shi'ites.

It was at about this time that Abdu'l-Azim, who lived on Lords' Street, became ill and died. When they stripped him to bathe his body before burial, in his pocket they found a note of identification, in which he had written, "I am Abdu'l-Ghasim Abdu'l-Azim, the son of Abdulla ibn Ali, the son of Hasan ibn Zaid, the son of the Imam Hasan ibn Ali ibn Abu Talib." 1

There is a tradition that when a man went from Ray to see the Imam Ali Naki in Medina, the Imam asked him, "Where have you been?" He answered, "I have been to visit the grave of the Imam Husain at Kerbala." To this the Imam Ali Naki replied, "If you had visited Abdu'l-Azim, whose tomb is near your home, you would have gained the same advantage as one who has visited the Imam Husain." 2

The prescribed prayer for this pilgrimage includes the following petition, "I ask that thou wilt intercede for the redemption of my neck, and the neck of my father, and the necks of my brothers, and of all the believers, men and women, from the Fire and for entrance into Heaven with thy followers." 3 The first and for many years the only railroad in Persia was the line five miles long that runs from Teheran to the shrine of Shah Abdu'l-Azim, which is a most popular sanctuary for devoted believers to visit on Fridays. And near this tomb is a grave that is considered to be that of Hamza, a descendant of the Imam Musa. Majlisi thought it was probably the tomb that Abdu'l-Azim was accustomed to visit secretly, and he therefore advised pilgrims to also make the visit of salutation to the tomb of Hamza.

1 He is wrongly identified by Le Strange with Husain ibn Ali-ar-Rida (op. cit., p. 277), who is buried, according to Mustawi, in Kazvin [Nashatul-Kulub, English trans., p. 64]. This mistake is corrected, however, in a note in the same author's translation of Mustawi, showing that according to the Haji Iqdam the saint commonly called Abdu'l-Azim, whose shrine is still the most popular sanctuary of Teheran, "was the son of Zaid (son of Zaid, son of the Caliph Ali), who was Amir of Medina, in the time of the Caliph Mansur, and who died at Ray." 1 Majlisi, op. cit., p. 422.

2 Majlisi, Miftahu'l-Jamal, p. 430.
Ardabil in Adherbaijan

It has been claimed that Shaikh Safiyyu'd-Din (d. 735/1334) of Ardabil, from whom the Safawi kings traced their descent, was himself a descendant of the Imam Musa Kazim. He was twenty-two generations removed, however, and the line included five Muhammads without further designation, so his character as an imamzadeh may at least be doubtful. Nevertheless, as a saint in his own right, he was most highly esteemed and influential. Ardabil was the first capital of the Safawids, and many of the earlier rulers of the dynasty are buried there at the shrine of Shaikh Safi, who was described by one of his contemporaries as "His Holiness, the Pole of the Heaven of Truth, the Swimmer in the Oceans of the Law, the Pacer in the Hippodrome of the Path, the Shaikh of Islam and of the Muslims, the Proof of such as attain the Goal, the Exemplar of the Bench of Purity, and the Rose-tree of the Garden of Fidelity." His life and teachings, with special emphasis on his karamat (spiritual gifts), were described in a book of 216,000 words that was written by his follower Tawakkul ibn Isma'il, who has come to be known as Ibn 'l-Bazzâz. This huge book is called the Ṣafawatas-Ṣafâ, "The Best Things of the Chosen Ones." It was lithographed in Bombay in 1329/1911, and has been described and outlined by Professor Browne.

Ardabil is a town of great historic interest, for at the time of the Muslim conquest of Persia the Umayyads made Ardabil the capital of Adherbaijan. It has an elevation of nearly 5,000 ft., and among the mountains that seem to virtually surround it is the lofty extinct volcano, Mount Sawaiân. This mountain town continued to be the administrative centre of the province of Adharbaijan until shortly before the Mongol conquest. Yakut says that in 617/1220 it was "a very populous town." When the Mongols came, however, the town was almost completely destroyed and the Muslim population were ruthlessly massacred. But in the fourteenth century, under the successors of Shaikh Safi, there arose in Ardabil a kind of theocratic state, the military power of which depended on the descendants of the Turkish slaves manumitted by Shaikh Šadr al-Din, the so-called Ḫızil-Bâš (Redheads).1 The rulers in this state were hereditary spiritual instructors,2 descendants of Shaikh Safi, and in the sixteenth century Isma'îl, the sixth in descent, became the founder of the celebrated Safavid dynasty of Persian Shahs. It was Shah Isma'îl I who made Shi'ite Islam the official religion of Persia, and as he and his immediate successors are buried in Ardabil, in the courtyard of the mausoleum of Shaikh Safi, the continued significance of this shrine to Shi'ite pilgrims can be readily appreciated. When the Russians sacked the place in 1827 they took away the library of Shaikh Safi and it is now part of the Imperial Library of Leningrad. Earthquakes have also damaged the buildings. Even the non-Muslim visitor who goes to the Shrine in the early morning when there are not many Shi'ite pilgrims there who might protest, or who gets permission from the Department of Public Instruction, may enter the long room containing the tombs of several of the Safawid Shahs and see there several interesting stone tablets, valuable carpets, and a collection of old Persian and Chinese porcelain.

Shah Tahmasp (1524-1576) mentions in his diary a visit he made to the Shrine at Ardabil at a time when he was in doubt as to the outcome of a particular battle in his long continued warfare with Turkey. "There I made the pledge with twelve candles as had been commanded (by Ali, whom he had seen in a dream), along with other offerings that I determined myself. I visited the tombs of the great saints, I prayed in the courtyard of the Shrine, and then I slept at the tomb of Shaikh Haidar (the father of Shah Isma'îl I). Here it was that Shaikh Safiyyu'd-Din appeared to me in a dream and declared: 'After twenty days your affair will be either zuhûr (appearance) or ḥurâj (departure).' I was uncertain which of these two words he used, but when I awoke I said to myself, If it is zuhûr, perhaps it refers to the Imam,

1 Browne, Persian Literature in Modern Times, p. 33 ff.
2 Sir T. Wolseley Haig, Encyclopedia of Islam, art. "Ṣafawids."
the Deliverer to be sent by God and the Established Representative of the House of Muhammad, but if it is the other word, *khurūj*, well what would that mean?"¹

***Graves of other Imamzadehs***

Majlisi remarks that "in all the cities there are many tombs attributed to imamzadehs and other relations of the Imams. The graves of some of them, however, are not marked, and in case of others there is nothing in particular that is known of their lives. It is advisable to visit all of them whose tombs have been identified. Honour shown to them is equivalent to honouring the Imams. While no separate instructions are given for these pilgrimages, it is well that their tombs should be visited in the same manner as those of other believers. If a distinction is made in the mode of addressing them let the salutation to them be the same as to the Imams, with whatever words flow to the tongue to show them honour. Any written salutations that the learned doctors have included in books are also acceptable."²

There is a shrine on the site of the old town of Kuchan in Khorasan that is in memory of Ibrahim, who was one of the sons of the Imam Riḍa,³ and the fact that this town was destroyed by an earthquake in 1895, with considerable damage to the Shrine, was explained to me by the naive village people who are living there now in vineyards. They said that it happened that the Imamzadeh Ibrahim had gone to visit his father in Mashhad on the night of the earthquake, and thus the place had been left without his protection. Otherwise such a disaster would not have occurred.

Another Imamzadeh, Muhammad ibn Muhammad ibn Zaid ibn Imam Zainu'l-Abidin, is buried in a garden outside Nishapur. There his grave is marked by a mausoleum which has a dome that is noted for its beautiful tile designs. It is said to have been repaired by Shah Ṣafī in 1041/1631. It is in a niche in a building that adjoins this Shrine that the traveller may see the exceedingly unpretentious tomb of the Persian poet Umar Khayyám. Every Friday, and on religious feast days, scores of visitors go out from Nishapur to this garden. Most of them know little about Umar Khayyám. They go out to this Shrine to seek the intercession of the descendant of the Imam. In their prayers they tell him their personal needs and their fears, their misfortunes and their diseases in this life, with the hope that he may use his influence to help them. They plead with him also to intercede for their forgiveness and happiness after death. Surely he would do this much for them, since they have taken the trouble to come and honour him by saying their prayers at his grave—for honouring him is honouring the Imams, and honouring the Imams is honouring God.

CHAPTER XXV
MINOR PLACES OF SHI'ITE PILGRIMAGE

In spite of the boasted monotheism of the Koran, the Muhammadan peoples have retained many animistic customs and one of the most vital phases of the religious life of the common people in nearly all Muslim countries is the veneration they pay to the graves of saints. In both these matters the theologians and traditionists have followed the tide of public opinion. Honour is paid by the Shi'ites, not only to the grave of the Prophet at Medina and to the graves of the Imams and their descendants, and to the place where the Hidden Imam disappeared and to the graves of his four agents, but there are many other sacred places that pilgrims are advised to visit. The people have come to have their local saints, whose graves or other relics they visit with the hope that the respective saints will thereby be pleased and will intercede for them, that they may have health and prosperity.

Stones with the Footprints of Imams

"Among the miracles (mu'djizât) popularly attributed to Muhammad was the fact that when he trod on a rock, his foot sank into the stone and left its impress there... The most famous of these footprints is that in the Masdjid al-Aksâ, at Jerusalem, on the rock from which Muhammad mounted Burâk for his journey to heaven." Similar footprints that are attributed to Muhammad are pointed out in Damascus, in Cairo, in Constantinople, and in India "such slabs of stone are found all over the country." In Persia, however, it has been more in harmony with the genius of Shi'ite Islam to attribute the supposed footprints on such stones to particular ones of the Imams. Not far from Nishapur, and on the road to Mashhad, there is an old fortified village on the top of a hill, at the foot of which there is a beautiful shrine that lies half hidden among trees. Beneath the blue dome of this shrine a stone is kept that bears the huge impress, supposedly, of the foot of the Imam Rida. Pilgrims who travel to Mashhad in the modern way, by motor-lorry, almost always insist that the driver should stop in Kadamgah (the place of the footprint) to enable them to visit this shrine. We read of a similar place in Adharabaijân, in a village called Jiwaldar, where there is another kadamgah, and where the imamzadeh Sayyid Amir Admad is said to be buried.1

The Graves of Companions of the Prophet

Majlisi has recommended that the pilgrimage salutation should be made also at the graves of Companions of the Prophet who gave their support to the Shi'ite cause, especially in the case of those whose outstanding merit is recognised. Among these is Salman the Persian, who suggested to Muhammad the digging of a moat for the defence of Medina, and who, in his later years, so identified himself with the life of the common people by the simplicity of his habits that he has become the subject of many popular legends. Many Shi'ites visit his tomb on their return from Kerbala, for it is located at the village of Asbandur, in the region of al-Madain. There are other authorities who say that he was buried in the environs of Isfahan.2

The tomb of Abu Dhar is also regarded as worthy of a pilgrimage, for he was the third person to profess his belief in the Apostle, and he went to Persia as a missionary for the new faith. He is particularly remembered on account of his truthfulness, for it is related that the Prophet himself once said: "The sky never cast its shadow upon anyone, and the earth never received anyone who was more truthful than Abu Dhar." He was also absolutely fearless, and it is said that it was because he had openly reproached the Caliph Uthman that he was banished to a place at

1 T. W. Arnold, Encyclopaedia of Islam, art. "Kadam Sharif."
a distance of four days' march from Medina, a village called Rabdānā where he died in the year 34 A.H.1

Others of the loyal Companions of the Prophet and supporters of the rights of Ali whose tombs are to be visited if possible are al-Mikdad, who died in Egypt and who is buried in Medina; Ammār ibn Yāsir, with whom the Prophet entered into the covenant of brotherhood, and of whom he declared, "Ammār was always ready in battle, showing his manhood and receiving many wounds"; Idrīs ibn Ḥatim, who was noted for his generosity and his zeal for Islam, and who served "at the stirrup of Ali"; Jabir ibn Abdulla, who was one of the helpers of Ali, and who outlived all the other Companions; Ḥudhaifā ibn al-Yamān, who was killed with his father and his brother in the battle of Uhud, and who was buried in Medina; Hujr ibn Idrīs, who had become a Muslim in his childhood and who fought with Ali in the Battle of the Camel and also at Siffin; and finally Ǧānbar, who is mentioned as the faithful "freedman" of Ali. All of these Majlisi has given special mention and advises that their graves be visited.8

The Graves of Scholars, Poets, and Saints

It is said that the tombs of great Shi'ite scholars and traditionists should be visited whenever possible. Such men are Shāhīkh Ṿuʃīd and Sayyid Murtada, who were the teachers of the famous traditionist at-Ṭusi, and who were buried in Baghdad.10

The tomb of Shāhīkh Ṭusi is at Najaf, though as his name indicates he came from Ǧūs in distant Khorasan. The lawyer-theologian ʿAlī b. Ḥili, whose name shows he was from Ḥili, was another man of ability and distinction in the Baghdad school. His able service is recognized and his tomb also should be visited.1 As Majlisi has observed, many scholars and traditionists were buried in Ǧūm. Among those whose graves should be visited are ʿAlī ibn Babawaih, Mūḥammad ibn ᴽalawāh, ᴽuṭḥ Rawandi, Zakariyyah ibn Adam, Zakariyyah ibn Idrīs, and ʿAlī ibn Iṣḥāq.2

The possible advantage of poets also as mediators is not overlooked. A few years ago the writer saw eight blind men, all of them aged, who were sitting patiently at the tomb of Shāhīkh Abduʾr-Rahmān Jāmī, who was buried there the same year Columbus discovered America. A tree was growing right up from the grave, which is not infrequent at the tombs of poets, and is not inappropriate for the man who said, "Life is a very splendid robe: its fault is brevity." It was he also who said, "O Jāmī, close thy mouth from speech in this garden, for there the song of the nightingale and the shriek of the raven are one." And one wondered, as he watched the visitors gazing upon the tomb, how many of them were familiar with what Professor Browne called Jāmī's prayer for spiritual enlightenment:4

"My God, my God! Save us from preoccupation with trifles, and show us the reality of things as they are!" Withdraw from

---

1 Fursat Shīrāzī, op. cit., p. 77; and Ibn Saʿd, Taʾbūḥat, IV, ii, pp. 161-174.
3 Ibid., VI, p. 7; and ʿAthārwalʿ-ʿAjaʿ, p. 74.
4 Ibid., VI, p. 13; and ʿAthārwalʿ-ʿAjaʿ, p. 73.
5 Ibid., III, ii, p. 114; and ʿAthārwalʿ-ʿAjaʿ, p. 73.
6 Ibid., VI, 8, and VII, 64.
7 Ibid., VI, 151-154; and ʿEncyclopædia of Islam, art. "Ḫudr."
8 Ibid., VI, 164; and Yaqūbī, Tārīḵh, ii, pp. 250, 253.
9 Najaf, in Iraq.
10 Majlisi, Tojatuʿz-Zaʿīrin, p. 422.
12 Browne, Persian Literature under Tartār Dominion, pp. 547, 548.
the eyes of our understanding the veil of heedlessness, and show us everything as it truly is! Display not to us Not-Being in the guise of Being, and place not a veil of Not-Being over the beauty of Being. Make these phenomenal forms a Mirror of the Effulgences of Thy Beauty, not a cause of veiling and remoteness, and cause these phantasmal pictures to become the means of our knowledge and vision, not a cause of ignorance and blindness. All our deprivation and banishment is from ourselves, leave us not with ourselves, but grant us deliverance from ourselves, and vouchsafe us knowledge of Thyself!"

There is another Jāmī, the saint Shihāb-ud-Din Ahmad, who is buried in his home town, the large village of Jām in Khorasan. At his grave also there is a wide-spreading tree that affords restful shade to many visitors. Timur came to this shrine in the fourteenth century, and the traveller Ibn Batutah, who visited it about the same time, reported that most of the land appeared to belong to descendants of the saint. At the present time the town itself is known as Turbat-i-Shaikh Jāmī, or the place where Shaikh Jāmī is buried.¹

Pilgrimages by Proxy.

Specifications are given by Majlisi for pilgrimages to be made by proxy (nayābat). "Understand," he says, "that the merit of a pilgrimage to any of the Apostles of God, or to any of the Imams, may be bestowed upon the holy spirit of any of them, or may be bequeathed to the spirit of any one of the believers, and through them the pilgrimage can be made by proxy." In explanation of this statement he cited the following traditions²:

Dawud ibn Šarma said to the Imam Ali Naḵī, "I visited your father and I gave the merit of the visit to you." The Imam replied, "There is great reward and merit for you from God, and praise and honour from us." There is a tradition also that the Imam Ali Naḵī sent a person to the ḥa'ir (pool or swamp) where the Imam Husain is said to be buried, "to make the pilgrimage and prayer for him."

¹ Le Strange, Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p. 357.
² Majlisi, op. cit., p. 423; and Ibn Babawāhī, Kamalu'd-Din, p. 241; and Majlisi, Baharū'l-Anwdr, Persian translation of Vol. 13, p. 8.
CHAPTER XXVI
THE RISE OF THE BUWAIHIDS

In the Muhammadan conquest of Persia, frontier posts were established south of the Caspian Sea on the line represented by Zanján, Kazvin, Ray, and Damghán. The region lying north of this line and extending to the shores of the Caspian is included in the modern Gilán and Mazandarán. With its marshes and wooded mountains it had been like an impenetrable jungle that had continued for many years to be the refuge of tribes of Guebres and pagans. By the tenth century, however, all this district, made up of the small provinces of Tabaristan, Jurján and Kümis, was included in the province of Daylam. The Daylamites were at that time most prominent and influential, for from among them had sprung the remarkable family of the Buwaihids, who had succeeded in establishing their authority throughout western Persia and were the recognised dictators to the Caliphs for more than a century.

It was by recruiting Daylamites along with Turks for the huge armies of mercenaries that fought for the Caliphs against the Byzantines that Islam had first won its way among these peoples. Later on there was a Muhammadan governor of Tabaristan, Nāşiru'll-Haḳḳ Abu Muhammad, and through his influence "a large number of fire-worshippers were converted to Islam in Daylam." Mas'udī mentions the missionary work that was done for Islam by Hasan ibn Ali Utrush. He had spent many years in Daylam, where he found that the "people were entirely unbelievers, either Magians or pagans, and he called them to the Most High God, and they listened and became Muslims."

He built places of worship for them, and in 301 A.H., with the assistance of his Daylamite followers, he led the Ḍild uprising that drove the "Blacks," or Abbasids, out of the Daylamite country. Thus it was that various military leaders, chiefs in their own districts, and who had become converts to Islam, found opportunity for advancement in the service of neighbouring Muslim rulers. At the same time it should not be forgotten that their friendly contacts had been with Shi'ites, and consequently they had become convinced of the righteousness of the cause of the persecuted house of Ali. The friendship of these mountain chieftains, newly converted to Islam, proved to be of inestimable value, for it was during the one hundred and twenty-five years of the supremacy of the Buwaihids that the Shi'ite traditions were compiled and their distinctive doctrines were formulated. But strange as it may seem there is but little known about the origin of this Daylamite family.

The story that is recorded by Ibn Tīkṭāḳa in the El Fachri reads more like a tradition of little historical value. He says that concerning the founding of the (Buwaihid) dynasty, Shariyar ibn Rustam, ad-Daylami, has related the following story: "Abu Shuja' Buwaih was a true friend of mine in his early life, and one day I went to him when his wife had died. She was the mother of his three sons who afterwards ruled the country. They were Ali and Hasan and Ahmad. Abu Shuja' Buwaih had been most devoted to his wife. I managed to console him and quieted his trouble, and brought him to my house and prepared food for him. There I called his three sons and we were explaining the matter to them, when a man walked past the gate, a man who was regarded as a great astrologer, an interpreter of dreams, and a writer of charms and talismans. Abu Shuja' summoned him and said to him, 'Yesterday I saw a dream, will you explain it to me? I dreamt that a great fire started from my loins and that the flame grew very long and kept extending.'"

1 Le Strange, Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p. 173.
ing until it reached the sky. Then it opened and divided into three parts, and from these parts were born a number of other parts, and the world itself was hidden by these flames. Then the astrologer said, 'This is a most significant dream and one which I will not interpret for less compensation than a robe of honour and a horse.' But Buwaih said to him, 'I swear that I have no other clothes than what are on my body, and if I give you these I will be naked.' The astrologer said, 'Then ten dinár?' Buwaih said, 'I swear that I do not have two dinár, so how could I give you ten?' He promised him something, however, and the astrologer explained that the dream signified that he would have three sons who would rule the earth and those who dwell upon it, and that their fame would ascend to the vaulted heaven, as this fire had done, and that there would be born to them a company of powerful kings, just as he had seen the flames spring forth from the separate parts of the fire. But Buwaih protested, 'You should be ashamed to ridicule us this way, for I am but a poor man, and afflicted, and my sons are these simple poverty-stricken men, how is it that they will be kings?' The astrologer said, 'Tell me the exact time of the birth of each of your sons.' Buwaih told him this. The astrologer began to look in his astrolabe and in his almanacks, and he arose and took the hand of Ali, and said, 'I swear that he is the one who will rule the provinces.' He then took the hand of Hasan and said, 'And this one will rule after him.' But Abu Shuja' Buwaih was enraged and called out to his sons, 'Slap him, for he has insolently been making fun of us!' They slapped him, therefore, and we laughed at him. But the astrologer only said, 'There is harm done, for you will recall this when you are in your dominion.' Abu Shuja' gave him ten darhams and he went away.

About as much as can be determined about the Buwaihid family is that they were in the military service of the Daylamite prince of the time, Mardawij ibn Ziyár, who, in a grand raid, had made himself the independent ruler of Tabaristan and Jurján, occupied Isfahán and Hamadan, and in the year A.D. 931 had pushed on to the Mesopotamian frontier. The sons of Abu Shuja' Buwaih were among his most valiant chiefs, to whom he doubtless owed much of the dashing brilliancy of his campaign. Accordingly he made one of them, Abu'l-Hasan Ali, the governor of an important and well fortified town south-east of Hamadan that was called Karaj (or Karah). But the young warrior had no sooner established himself there when he pressed on, apparently on his own authority, and took Isfahán. Mardáwj is said to have been fearful concerning the young Buwaihid's rapid advance and ordered that Isfahán should be restored to the Caliph. At this rebuff the Buwaihid chief was indignant, and immediately broke off further relations with his patron and continued to carry on his depredations and conquests. In a series of rapid raids he and his brothers captured so many important places that in a very short time Ali was governing Fars, Hasan was dominating Medina, and Ahmad had conquered Kirman. Ahmad then made a further campaign to the West, and in A.D. 945 he entered Baghdad, and the Caliph Mustakfi had to create him Amir al-Umara and gave him the honorific title of Mu'izz ad-Dawla (the Strengthener of the Empire). At the same time Ali and Hasan received the titles Imad ad-Dawla (the Support of the Empire) and Ruhun ad-Dawla (the Pillar of the Empire) respectively, and similar pompous titles were henceforth the usual appellation of the Buwaihid rulers. In regard to the way the Caliphs gave these high-sounding titles, al-Biruni made an interesting comment: "When the Beni-Abbas had decorated their assistants, friends and enemies indiscriminately, with vain titles, compounded with the word Dawla (that is 'empire,' such as Helper of the Empire, Sword of the Empire, etc.), the empire perished; for in this they went beyond all reasonable limits. This went on so long that those who were especially attached to their court claimed something like a distinction between themselves and the others. Thereupon the Caliphs bestowed double titles. But then also the others

2 Stanley Lane-Poole, Muhammadan Dynasties, p. 136; and Mas'udi, Vol. IX, p. 10 ff.
3 Encyclopædia of Islam, art. "Buyids."
wanted the same titles and knew how to carry their point by bribery. Now it became necessary a second time to create a distinction between this class and those who were directly attached to their court, so the Caliphs bestowed triple titles, adding besides the title of Shahinsiah. In this way the matter became utterly opposed to common sense, and clumsy to the highest degree, so that he who mentions them gets tired before he has scarcely commenced, he who writes them loses his time writing, and he who addresses them runs the risk of missing the time for prayer."\(^1\)

It was not long after Ahmad ibn Buwaih, or the Mu'izz ad-Dawla, had made himself master of Baghdad, until he had the Caliph Mustakfi blinded. It is said that he thus disqualified him to rule because he feared the possible return of the Turks who had fled to Mosul, but the immediate provocation on which he justified the act was a reception that the chief lady of the Caliph's harem had given to the officers of the Turkish and Daylamite mercenaries who were still in Baghdad. This was construed as an effort to influence them against the conqueror to whom they had surrendered.\(^2\) Accordingly, a few days later, when the Caliph was giving a reception to a foreign ambassador, when the Mu'izz ad-Dawla arrived he showed his apparent obeisance by kissing the Caliph's hand. As he withdrew two of his officers came forward to give the Caliph the same greeting, but instead, as they took the Caliph's hand they pulled him from his throne and threw him on the ground and dragged him by his turban, and the Daylamites thronged through the palace into the harem and plundered it until nothing was left therein.\(^3\) The Buwaihid dictator returned to his own palace, whither the humiliated Caliph was driven on foot through the streets. There he was deposed from his exalted office and his eyes were seared over with a hot iron. Such was the vengeance of the first Buwaihid, as awe-inspiring as it was precipitate.

Although the deposed Caliph's cousin was appointed to succeed him, hereafter, for the next hundred years, the Caliphs were to exercise only nominal authority, and that only at the bidding and with the approval of their Buwaihid masters. Moreover, there was grim irony in the fact that the Buwaihids and their Daylamite supporters were Shi'ites who only tolerated the Caliphate as an administrative convenience in governing their Sunnite subjects. The suggestion was made to the Mu'izz ad-Dawla that he appoint a member of an 'Alid family to the Caliphate, but "one of his friends dissuaded him, urging that at present he was under a Caliph whom both he and his adherents would readily kill if he ordered his death; whereas if he appointed to the Caliphate an 'Alid whose title was acknowledged to be valid by both him and his followers, the latter would refuse to kill the Caliph if ordered to do so."\(^4\)

One of the greatest innovations introduced by the Mu'izz ad-Dawla was the custom of public mourning during the first ten days of Muharram.\(^5\) This order was given in A.D. 963, that there might be an annual commemoration of the tragic death of the Imam Husain (chapters vii and viii). The practice has continued as the most distinctive and most widely known of all Shi'ite customs; and in connection with the public parade on the tenth day of Muharram to show their loyalty to the house of Ali, the mourners have resorted to a great variety of spectacular and gruesome ways of shedding their blood and mutilating their bodies.

The most celebrated ruler of the Buwaihids was not one of the original three brothers. Four years after the capture of Baghdad, Ali, the 'Imad ad-Dawla, died and was succeeded by his brother Hasan's son, who was known by the title 'Aqib ad-Dawla, the Arm of the Empire. For thirty years, A.D. 949-982, he exercised an arbitrary authority in Fars, Irak, Ahwaz, and Kirmán. In the history of Persia he is mentioned frequently and with great praise for the encouragement he gave to poets and scholars.

\(^1\) Sachau, Chronology of Ancient Nations, p. 129.
\(^2\) Muir, The Caliphate, Rise, Decline and Fall, p. 575.
\(^4\) Zaydan, Umayyads and Abbasides, p. 275, from Ibn Athir, viii, p. 177.
\(^5\) Browne, Persian Literature in Modern Times, p. 31.
The Shi'ites regard him as their great benefactor, who contributed magnificently to the restoration of their sacred shrines, the building of mosques, and the endowment of schools for religious education. At one time he commanded that the Caliph's name should be omitted from the public prayers in the mosques on Fridays for a period of two months. But again, when he was at the height of his power, as the acknowledged ruler of the provinces from the Caspian to the Persian Gulf, and from Isfahan to Damascus, we read of his accepting the most exalted honors he could imagine from the Caliph. The Caliph gave him whatever he demanded of the insignia of royal rank—the robe of honour, the jewelled crown, the banner of the Commander-in-Chief, and the banner of the Heir Apparent. At times it looked very much as though he was about to take the Caliphate itself, for he went so far as to require that the Caliph permit his name to be mentioned in the Friday prayers in the mosques.

To quote Professor Arnold, "The infliction of such humiliations on the Caliph is in striking contrast with the honour and reverence paid to him, whenever it was politic to bring him forward, as the supreme head of the faith. In the very year after the Ædud ad-Dawla had extorted the privileges above-mentioned, an ambassador was sent to Baghdad in 980 by the Fatimid Caliph of Egypt, Æziz bi'lláhi. He was received with impressive ceremonial: the troops were drawn up in serried ranks, and the nobles and officers of the state were arranged in order of their dignity in the place of audience, but the Caliph was invisible behind a curtain. When Ædud ad-Dawla received permission to approach, the curtain was raised, and the spectators could see the Caliph seated on a high throne surrounded by a hundred guards in magnificent apparel and with drawn swords. Before him was placed one of the most sacred relics in Islam—the Qur'an of the Caliph Ûthman; on his shoulders hung the mantle of the Prophet; in his hands he held the staff of the Prophet, and he was girt with the sword of the 'Apostle of God.' Ædud ad-Dawla kissed the ground before this spectacle of imposing majesty, and the Egyptian envoy, awe-struck, asked him:

'What is this? Is this God Almighty?' Ædud ad-Dawla answered: 'This is the Khalifa of God upon earth,' and he continued to move forward, seven times kissing the ground before the Caliph. Then Òâ' (the Caliph) ordered one of his attendants to lead him up to the foot of the throne. Ædud ad-Dawla continued to make a show of reverence before such unapproachable and impressive majesty, and the Caliph had to say to him: 'Draw near,' before he would come forward and kiss the Caliph's foot. Òâ' stretched out his right hand to him and bade him be seated. Ædud ad-Dawla humbly asked to be excused, and only after repeated injunctions would he consent to sit down in the place assigned to him, after first reverently kissing it. After this elaborate ceremony, Òâ' said: 'I entrust to you the charge of my subjects whom God has committed to me in the East and in the West, and the administration of all their concerns, with the exception of what appertains to my personal and private property. Do you therefore assume charge of them?' Ædud ad-Dawla answered, 'May God aid me in obedience and service to our Lord, the Commander of the Faithful.' This solemn farce ended with the bestowal of seven robes of honour upon Ædud ad-Dawla, who kissed the ground on the presentation of each, and then took his leave, followed by all the rest of the great assembly.'

The Turkish generals, who had dominated the Caliphs for many years before, were effectively succeeded by the Buwaihids, who reduced the Caliphate to a mere figure-head. During their reign, however, there was continued literary activity. Each of the several contemporary dynasties, all virtually independent of the Caliph, had its own circle of grammarians, poets and theologians. The outstanding poets were Mutanabbi and Tha'âlubi, who were known throughout the empire, but we find mention of the fervent Shi'ite bard, Ibn al-Hajjaj, who is said to have expressed the desire in his will that his body should be laid at the feet of the Imam Musa at Kazimain. And the Daylamite poet, Mihyar ibn Marzuwa, employed his art in the expression

of his Shi'ite opinions in a way that so displeased the Sunnites, that one of them, recalling that Mihyar had originally been a Zoroastrian, exclaimed, "Mihyar, when you were converted, all you did was to shift from one corner of Hell into another."1

Three eminent Arab geographers also belonged to this period, Iṣṭakhrī, Ibn Hawkal, and Muḥaddasī, all of whom were familiar with Baghdad. But the most distinguished of all the writers of this period was the celebrated historian, Mas'udi, who has included in his Muruju'l-Dhahab (Prairies of Gold) an excellent contemporary account of the way the Daylamites came suddenly into power.2 Mas'udi was himself a Shi'ite and it is probable that we are indebted to the sympathetic Buwayhid dynasty for the freedom of his narratives about the Caliphs up until A.D. 947.

1 Huart, A History of Arabic Literature, New York, 1903, p. 86.
2 Mas'udi, Muruju'l-Dhahab, Vol. IX, pp. 1-34.

CHAPTER XXVII

THE Earliest CoLLectIoNS OF shi'Te TradITIoNS

Significant effects of political changes on the development of the Ḥadīth literature are easily traced throughout the course of Muslim history. It is noteworthy in the first place that there are no existing compilations of Muhammadan traditions, whether Sunnite or Shi'ite, that date as far back as the Umayyad caliphate. Mālik ibn Anas is the only one of the early writers who was born during that period, and the last forty-five years of his life, the years of his literary activity, were lived under the authority of the Abbāsids.

He was one of a group of Alids who had given their oath of allegiance to Al-Mansur. They had done this under compulsion, and afterwards, in A.D. 762, they wished to declare themselves in favour of Muḥammad ibn Abdulla. Mālik ibn Anas, who was the founder of the earliest school of Muhammadan law, ventured to make the decision that an oath given under compulsion was not binding, and for so doing, in spite of whatever authority he could cite from the traditions, he was publicly flogged. The experience taught him the lesson that even a chief justice must recognize existing political authority, for after his whipping he continued to figure in the public life of Medina for thirty-three years, and during the last year of his life the Caliph Harūn ar-Rashīd attended his classes. While his interest in collecting traditions was for the sake of their bearing on questions of jurisprudence, and the Muṣāfā is not one of the six canonical collections, notwithstanding this limited objective it was necessary for him to scrupulously regard the wishes of those who were in political authority.

Nevertheless, there are traditions included in the canonical collections that have been handed down from Umayyad times.
The tragedy at Kerbala had made such an impression on the minds of the people that travelling story-tellers and poets who sympathized with the house of Ali had to be considered by the unpopular rulers in Damascus. Of these poets in Umayyad times, there were men like al-Kuthayyir, who had allied himself with the Kaisanis, who were favourably received at Damascus, and who were kept under the very influential shadow of the court; but there were others like Ferazdâk, a pious and fervent Muslem, entirely devoted to the Prophet's family, and with it all a cynic and a libertine, who wrote verses to foster the cause of Zain al-Abidîn that so offended the Caliph Sulaiman that he committed him to the dungeon. Likewise those who occupied themselves in collecting and narrating traditions soon found that they had to reckon with severe state censorship. For, as Professor Guillaume has pointed out, "Tabari states that Mu'awiya I ordered that all hadith favourable to the house of Ali be suppressed, and that the glories of the family of Úthman be extolled. The Umayyad hand is perhaps most clearly seen in the traditions which were forged to emphasize the sanctity of Jerusalem vis-à-vis Mecca and Medina." Reference to Wensinck's Handbook of Early Muhammadan Tradition, under such heads as "Uthman" or "Jerusalem," will reveal the nature of this propaganda. And al-Zuhri has definitely stated concerning the Umayyads, "these princes have compelled us to write hadith." 

Al-Àhid ibn Êbanal (d. 241 A.H.), who is generally remembered for his resistance to the Mu'tazilite teaching, in so much that he was scourged by the order of the Caliph Mu'tasim because he would not affirm that the Koran was created, compiled a vast and most inclusive collection of traditions which has not been expurgated so as to entirely please the Abbasids. It includes traditions of obviously Syrian origin that are favourable to the Umayyads, as well as a very great many exceedingly detailed records that support the claims of the Shi'ites. The collections of Bukhari and Muslim are different in both these respects, for "the compilation of the canonical collections (al-Bukhari, Muslim, Abû Dâ'ûd, al-Tirmidî, al-Nasá'i and Ibn Mâdiya) dates from the time when the Abbasids were firmly in the saddle, and by this time systematic efforts had been made to extirpate the memory of the predecessors of the reigning house. We know that the names of the Umayyads were even removed from public monuments." 

Dominant public opinion was always a most influential factor in determining what traditions were to be circulated, as is evidenced by the experience of al-Nasá'i in Damascus. He had come from Khorasan and had lived in old Cairo until A.D. 914, when he went to Damascus with a collection of traditions that were favourable to Ali. The people whom he was addressing in the mosque, however, were not disposed to tolerate any such statements, and they suddenly became an angry mob and literally trampled him under foot. Some of his friends saved him from being immediately killed and got him out of the city, but at Ramla, in Palestine, he died from the severe injuries he had received in Damascus. But in many parts of the empire traditions favourable to the house of Ali, and making heroes of members of his family who were martyrs, were accepted without protest during the early days of the Abbasid caliphate. The last of the forty-six chapters in the Sahihul-Tirmidhi is devoted to the Virtues of the Household of the Prophet, and there are numerous traditions in praise of Ali that are on the tongues of pious Shi'ites at the present day that can be found in the great works of the six canonical writers.

There was a vast difference, however, when under the Buwaihid dictators the tide of politics turned against the Abbasids and in favour of the Shi'ites. We find that the Imams were exalted beyond the range of any ordinary imagination, that both the

---

1 Huarte, Arabic Literature, p. 51.
3 Idem, op. cit., p. 50.
4 Huarte, op. cit., p. 221.
Umayyads and the Abbasids were anathematized, and that new collections of traditions were systematically compiled. It was the first time in the history of Islam that the Shi'ites had had the advantage of a sympathetic dynasty, and the large number of books on religious subjects that were written and circulated during the Buwaihid period (A.D. 932-1055) shows how eagerly the oppressed ones, who had schooled themselves in dissimulation, now seized the opportunity to make effective use of their new freedom.

The list of Shi'ite writers on questions of tradition and jurisprudence that is given by Brockelmann in under two headings: the writers of the Zaidite sect, and the Persian Shi'ites. It is the latter who are more important for the study of the doctrine of the Imamate and whose great literary activity at this time gave rise to the standard collections of Shi'ite traditions, though it is not without interest to observe that the large community of Zaidites in the Yemen were working along similar lines. A considerable amount of information is available in regard to the outstanding traditionists of this period among the Persian Shi'ites in the Fihrist or “Index,” by at-Tusi, in the Kitāb al-Ulamā' or Tales of the Divines, by Muhammad ibn Sulaiman of Tanukabun, and in the Fihrist, by Ibnu'l-Nadîm. The fact of most significance is that in this Buwaihid period there were “three Muhammads” who wrote “the four books” which are still considered as the standard collections of traditions from the Shi'ite point of view.

KULAINI. The first and most highly esteemed of these great traditionists is Muḥammad ibn Yaḥūb al-Kulainī, who wrote the Kitāb al-ʿilm ad-Dīn, “A Compendium of the Science of Religion.” It is a large book that contains over sixteen thousand traditions, which are classified: as saḥīḥ or Certified, 5,072; as ḥasan or Good, 1,44; as muthakat or Authoritative, 1,116; as kawī or Strong, 302; and as za'af or Duplications, 9,485. The edition lithographed in Teheran in 1307 A.H. is in two volumes; chapters i-vii being included in the first volume, which is called the Usulul-Kāfî, or the “Roots of the Compendium”; while the second volume, including chapters viii-xxx, is called the Furu’l-Kāfî, or the “Branches of the Compendium.” It took al-Kulainī twenty years to write the Kāfî, and in recognition of his diligence in collecting the traditions he was called Thiqat al-Islam (the “Trustworthy Authority of Islam”).

When he died in Baghdad in 329 or 328 A.H. (A.D. 939), he was buried at Kufa. As there was a saying that the bodies of saints and highly honoured men of the faith were preserved from corruption after death, his grave is said to have been opened to see whether this saying was true. The report is that he was found in his grave clothes, unchanged in any way, and lying with a little child that had been buried with him. For this reason a place of prayer was built over his tomb.

AṢ-ṢADŪḵ or AL-KUMMI. The second of the great Shi'ite traditionists was Muḥammad ibn Aḥī ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn Musa ibn Babuwayh (d. A.D. 991), and who was known as aṣ-Ṣadūḵ or as al-Kummi. He is sometimes difficult to distinguish from his father, who was also a writer of religious books and who was called all the names that his son bore except Muḥammad. His father died in 329 A.H., which was ten years before the close of what is called the Lesser Occultation of the Twelfth Imam. The story is told of a conversation his father is said to have had with Abū Kāsim ʿUsain ibn Ruh, who was the third of the four agents of the Hidden Imam during that period of seventy-three years (256-339 A.H.). He said that he had asked

2 Huart (op. cit., p. 241) observes that “in the province of the Yemen, the Zaidite sect, which had taken possession of that country in the second century of the Hegira, and is still dominant there, reckoned among its teachers: aI-Kašīm ibn Ibrahiḥam al-Hasanī, who died in 860; his grandson, al-Ḥāfiz Ḥakīm (He who guides to the truth) Abūl-Ḥusayn Yahyā (859-910); another descendant of his, al-Mahdī Liḍnīḥ (He who is guided towards the religion of God) al-Ḥusayn ibn al-Ḥašim, who died in 1013; and the Imam al-Muʿayyad-Gīlḥāb Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥusayn (944-1020). The works of these writers, formerly unknown to European students, have been brought back from Yemen by Herr Gisler, and are now in the Berlin Library.”
3 The Kitāb al-Ulamā' (No. 96, p. 307), says that the name Kulaini should be pronounced as here indicated and not “Kalini,” as it appears in the Kamās.
the Imam's agent a number of questions, and when he left his presence he wrote a letter which he gave to ʿAlī ibn Jaʿfar ibn Aswād to deliver to him. In the letter he urged that the request that he might have a son would be brought to the notice of the Imam of the Age. After three days he received an answer from Abu ʿĀṣim, saying, "We have prayed for it." Subsequently the prayer appeared to have been more than answered, for as he said, "God gave me two sons, Muḥammad and Ḥusain." Aḥṣādūk therefore always maintained that he was born on account of the prayers of the Imam of the Age. However this may be his birth occurred in Khorasan, where his father had gone on a pilgrimage to the shrine of the Imam Rida. In 355 A.H., twenty-six years after the death of his father, he came to Baghdad, where he took advantage of the freedom that prevailed during the Buwaihid supremacy and carried on most arduous literary work for about thirty years. During this time he is said to have written three hundred treatises. One hundred and ninety of these works are named in the Fihrist, which Professor Browne has translated as "The Perfection of Religion," begins with Adam and extends through sixty-two chapters to the signs of the return of the Imam of the Age. This book he is said to have written at the command of the Imam himself, whom he saw in the public square in Baghdad at the time of his funeral.1 And Sayyid Murtaḍa, known as the Alamuʾl-Huda, was an imamzadeh, the great-great-grandson of the Imam Musa Kazim, and was the recognized leader of the Shiʿite community in Baghdad. Rumour has it that the Caliph had agreed with Sayyid Murtaḍa to include the Shiʿites as a fifth division in the Ijma’, calling them the Jaʿfari, and ranking them with the Shafiʿi, the Hanafi, the Maliki, and the Ḥanbalī, so that they would no longer have to resort to dissimulation (taqiyya). But the sum agreed upon for this concession was two hundred thousand tomans and Sayyid Murtaḍa could only raise half that amount.2

At-Tusi was born in 385 A.H. at Tus in Khorasan and came to Baghdad when he was twenty-three years of age. In his later life he left Baghdad and went to live in Najaf. This was because he lived for twelve years after the overthrow of the Buwaihid dynasty and he had been burned out in Baghdad. It was thought that this burning of his house was the work of opponents who had complained against him to the Caliph. Their complaint had been that in one of his books he had cursed the Companions of the Prophet, in something he had written about "the early oppressors being accursed." He was called before the Caliph to explain, but denied that he had ever had any such intention, saying, "O Commander of the Faithful, the first oppressor was Cain the murderer of Abel. He it was who thus started murder among mankind." The second reference he said was to Kaidar ibn
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1 Ibid., No. 97, pp. 307-314; and Tusi, Fihrist, No. 685.
2 Ibid., No. 98, pp. 314-317.
Salaf, the third to the murderer of Yahya ibn Zakharia, and the fourth to Ibn Muljam the murderer of Ali. Thus his ready memory had enabled him to make an apt reply and the charge against him had been dismissed. It was not long afterwards, however, that he died at Najaf at the age of seventy-five, in the year 460 A.H.

In addition to numerous books on the principles of belief, on worship, on questions of the law, and on biography, he wrote the Fihrist or "Index" of Shi'ite books to which reference has been made. But the books for which he is best known are the remaining two of "the four books." The first of these is the Tahdhib al-Ahkám, a "Correcting of Judgments," which has been lithographed in Persia in two volumes in 1316-1317 A.H. The second of these two books is the Istibsār, or an "Examination of the Differences in Traditions." The best text is the one lithographed in Lucknow in two volumes, with a comprehensive, table of contents at the beginning of each volume.

The fact that these four standard collections of Shi'ite traditions were not made until the Buwaihid period, A.D. 932-1055, whereas the canonical Sunnite collections were completed a full generation before the beginning of that period, is fully compensated for by the Shi'ite doctrine of the infallibility of the Imams. For whereas the Sunnite traditionists have to trace the isnad of each separate tradition back through seven or eight generations, covering a period of approximately two hundred years, until they arrive at the testimony of a contemporary of the Prophet, the Shi'ite traditionists need only ascribe a statement through three or four creditable witnesses to one of the Imams and trace it to his predecessors as far back as they desire. Through the Imams they had a main line back to the Prophet himself.

The first volume of Kulaini's Kāfi fi Ilm ad-Dīn, known as the Usulu'l-Kāfi, or "Roots of the Compendium," stands out from the other books as primarily a work on theology. The titles of its seven chapters are not included in the works of Ibn Babuwardi or of at-Tusi, but with one exception they are found in the works of one or more of the canonical books of the Sunnites, as appears in the following table of contents.

### EARLIEST COLLECTIONS OF SHI'ITE TRADITIONS

The one exception is naturally the chapter on al-Hujjat, "the Proof" of the right of the Imams.

#### Contents of the Usulu'l-Kāfi.

1. Faqlu'l-Ilm (p. 5), the "Value of Learning."  
   Cf. Bukhari, Bk. 3; Muslim, Bk. 47; Tirmidhi, 39.
2. Tawhīd (p. 12), the "Unity of God."  
   Cf. Bukhari, Bk. 97.
3. Hujjat (p. 58), the "Proof" of the right of the Imams.  
   Cf. Bukhari, Bk. 2; Muslim, Bk. 1; and Tirmidhi, Bk. 38.
4. Imān wa'l-Kufr (p. 237), "Faith and Unbelief."  
   Cf. Bukhari, Bk. 2; Muslim, Bk. 1; and Tirmidhi, Bk. 38.
5. Faqlu'd-Du'd (p. 392), the "Value of Prayer."  
   Cf. Muslim, Bk. 48; and Nasā'ī, Bk. 50.
6. Faqlu'l-Koran (p. 449), the "Value of the Koran."  
   Cf. Bukhari, Bk. 66; and Darimi, Bk. 23.
7. Kitabul-İshrat (p. 454), the "Book on Society."  
   Cf. Nasā'ī, Bk. 36.

The remaining books in this group of the earliest collections of Shi'ite traditions may be seen at once to be so similar in their contents that they can be reduced to an approximate Harmony. If the chapter headings of the Furu'l-Kāfi, which consists of parts ii and iii of Kulaini's Kāfi fi Ilm ad-Dīn, are taken as a basic outline, it will be evident how readily the tables of contents from the other books fall into place for easy comparison. The page numbers that are given are those of the lithographed texts that have been mentioned in this chapter, and which are in common use by present day Shi'ite lawyers and divines in Persia.

#### A Brief Harmony of Early Shi'ite Traditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Kulaini (Kāfi)</th>
<th>Suduq (Fakih)</th>
<th>Tusi (Tahdhib)</th>
<th>Tusi (Istibsār)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ėṭabāra (Ceremonial Purity)</td>
<td>ii—2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>i—1</td>
<td>i—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ėḥād (Menses)</td>
<td>ii—22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i—64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER XXVIII

LATER SHI'ITE SCHOLARS AND THEOLOGIANS

The central Asian peoples whose young men were employed as mercenaries to fight in Muslim armies gradually adopted the religion of their patrons. However, in the instances when they came in hordes and as conquerors, while they also adopted Islam, they preferred generally the opposite sect to that professed by the particular Muslim rulers they displaced. For example, the Shi’ite Buwaihids ousted the Sunnite Turks, and the Sunnite Seljuks came from Bukhara and overwhelmed the Buwaihids. Then came the irresistible invasion of the Mongols, with their inclination to favour the Shi’ites, and they were in turn followed by the Timurids, whose preference was for the Sunnites. In the beginning of the sixteenth century, accordingly, when the Safavid dynasty came into power, they made Shi’ite Islam the established religion within their domains. This later movement, however, was not due to an invasion, but was rather a union of Persian tribes to resist the further depredation and exploitation of their country by foreign peoples, and the enthusiasm of the Safavids for the Shi’ite faith was in full agreement with their distinctively Persian traditions and prejudices.

During the long periods of Sunnite supremacy the Shi’ite theologians had been driven to cover, for they took refuge in their doctrine of dissimulation (ta’ziya). But whenever the political and military dominance of the rival sect was destroyed, though it were by a foreign foe, then the Shi’ites came to light, and looking upon the invaders as God-sent deliverers, they eagerly curried favour and used every opportunity to write books to justify their faith. We have shown in the previous chapter how the early collections of Shi’ite traditions were compiled in the reign of the Buwaihids, and it is the object of the present
chapter to indicate conspicuous revivals in the writing of Shi'ite theological literature that occurred, first during the period of the supremacy of the Mongols, and afterwards under the Safavids.

The fall of the Buwaihids that followed the rise of the Seljuks brought to an end all preferential treatment for the Shi'ites. The new dynasty, which had sprung from a horde of Turkomans that had abandoned the Kirghiz steppes to settle in the region of Bukhara, were staunch Sunnites. They were capable administrators, who managed the military affairs of their empire thoroughly; and they were also interested in all manner of public works, and did much to encourage Arabic scholarship. But they looked upon the Shi'ites as enemies to the State, and the Nizam-ul-Mulk, their greatest patron of literature and science, has devoted sixty-seven pages in his Siyasa-Nama, or " Treatise on the Art of Government," to denouncing the Shi'ite heretics. Consequently, almost the only Shi'ite theologian of importance in the twelfth century was Shaikh Tabarsi, who gave up his more public work of teaching in Mashhad and retired to the quiet town of Sabzewar, where, after he was sixty years of age, he wrote his famous commentary on the Koran, the Kitab al-Jami' al-Jawami' fi Tafsir al-Koran.2

It was the period of the Crusades, and it was against these Seljuks, who were but recently removed from the simple life of the desert and the mountains, tribes of natural fighters and firm believers, "tampolied by town life and civilized indifference to religion," that the Crusaders had to contend.3 The Shi'ites of the period resorted to dissimulation, but many of them also were recruited in the armies and were scattered in all parts of the empire. It is recorded that a certain Shaikh Ali of Herat claimed that a manuscript that he had written concerning pilgrimages to the Shi'ite shrines had "been taken from him by the King of England, when engaged in the Crusades."4 The usual type of Shi'ites, however, that the Crusaders came to know were either subjects of the heterodox Fatimid dynasty, who had maintained themselves in Egypt, or they were those who belonged to the sect of Isma'ilis who were known as the "Assassins," and whose exploits have made Alamut a place of renown.5 It is likely that there were Shi'ites of other sects also who fought in the Muslim armies in the Crusades, for even to-day we read of heretic villages in Asia Minor whose people desire to avoid all intercourse with the Sunnites with whom they are surrounded.6 There are also Alivi Turks who are still living in Asia Minor and who are described as "pitiably simple, ignorant and despised, and therefore secretive, deceptive, and cunning." The fact that they recognize twelve Imams, and look upon Ali as a divine incarnation, would suggest that they owe their origin, not to the Isma'ilis, but to the Ali Ilahis, of the ghulat (erroneous) order of Shi'ites, who considered Ali as divine.7

Whatever may have been the extent of the Shi'ite participation in the Crusades, these campaigns to the West, under Seljuk commanders, were scarcely over when "the Mongol armies, divided into several immense brigades, swept over Khwarizm, Khorasan, and Afghanistan, on the one hand; and on the other, over Agherbaijan, Georgia, and southern Russia, whilst a third division continued the reduction of China."8 When at length the great new empire was divided among the sons of Chingiz Khan, Persia fell to the lot of Hulagu, who ruled, with his successors the Il-khans of Persia, for nearly a century.

Great Shi'ite Scholars under the Mongols.

Among the Shi'ite theological writers who flourished during the period of the Mongols were several exceedingly interesting
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3 Stanley Lane-Poole, Muhammadan Dynasties, p. 150.
men. First mention may be given to the philosopher, astrologer and theologian, Nasiru'd-Din aṭ-Ṭusi (d. 672/1274). At the time of the Mongol invasion of Gilân he was a prisoner of the Iṣmā'īlī chief, Ruknu’l-Dîn, at Maimundiz, and when that stronghold fell, he was one of the party of men of distinction who went with Ruknu’l-Dîn to surrender to Hūlāgū Khan. The Mongol ruler received him kindly and made him one of his closest friends. When Baghdad was taken it was Nasiru’d-Dîn who persuaded him not to hesitate to kill the Calif Musta’sîm. Afterwards, at Maragha, he ventured to suggest, since he had been made Hūlāgū’s wazir, that the victorious chieftain should not be content with merely works of destruction. The Mongol saw the point and commissioned him to build a great observatory on a hill north of Maragha. Twelve years were required to complete this work, and in connection with it he compiled astronomical tables that were published after the death of Hūlāgū, under the title Zij ul llkhani, which is still taught in the Persian government schools. In his eagerness to show that “events do not cause panic when they can be foretold,” he arranged, with the knowledge of Hūlāgū Khan, to roll a metal bowl down a hill in such a manner as to make a terrific noise and to thoroughly frighten the soldiers who were taken by surprise, whereas those who understood were merely amused.

He gathered together a tremendous library, to which he made large acquisitions at the time of the sacking of Baghdad. In Persian he wrote a short book on Ethics, the Akhlāk-i-Nāṣiri, which is still taught in the Persian government schools. In this book, according to Bar-Hebraeus, “he collected all the dicta of Plato and Aristotle on practical Philosophy, confirming the opinions of the ancients and solving the doubts of the moderns and the criticisms advanced by them in their writings.”

The chief work in Arabic is the Tajridu’l-Āk’dîd, or “Analysis of Beliefs,” which is mainly devoted to scholastic philosophy, and for which the Kīşāṣu’l-Ulama mentions several commentaries.

The story has been told that once when he went to Shiraz, the poet Sa’di came to see him. Nasiru’d-Dîn took him to task for having written, “It would have been right if the sky had rained blood upon the earth, in lamentation for Musta’sîm, the Amīru’l-Mumīnîn.” Sa’dî’s protest that he had so written only in dissimulation (takīya) was met with the answer, “When we had killed the Abbasid Musta’sîm, from fear of whom did you dissimulate?” Sa’di had no answer, and Nasiru’d-Dîn ordered him to be bastinadoed. He was so severely beaten, as the story goes, that his body was covered with the broken rods. They took him then upon their shoulders and carried him off to his house, and he lived for only seven or eight days. Some say that at the time he was one hundred and ten years of age.

There is a long prayer that is commonly used in salutations to the twelve Imams which is included in the official prayer manuals, and which is said to have been written by Nasiru’d-Dîn Ṭusi, after it had been revealed to him in a dream by Muhammad. In writing it out, however, he found he had forgotten a part of it, so he slept again and once more Muhammad appeared and repeated for him what he had forgotten.

Another of the influential Shi’ite writers during the period of the Mongols was Najmu’d-Dîn Ja’far ibn Yahya (d. 726/1325). He was the author of the Shadrây’ul-Islam, a book on Muhammadan jurisprudence which is one of the principal sources that is used in the outstanding European work that treat[s] of the Law of the Shi’ites, i.e., “Droit Musulman : Recueil de Lois concernant les Musulmans Schyites,” by M. Amédée Querry. It is said that when Najmu’d-Dîn was a young man he showed ability in writing poetry, but his father assured him that poets were under a curse and that he would not be able to reconcile
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1 Howarth, History of the Mongols, ii, p. 137–139.
2 Browne, op. cit., iii, p. 18.
3 Kīşāṣu’l-Ulama, No. 90, p. 297 (edit. lithographed, 1290 A.H.).
4 Ibid., No. 90. p. 291.
5 Ibid., No. 90, p. 286.
his necessary conduct as a poet with a devout life, and that accordingly he had better be a lawyer. ¹

The leading systematic theologian of the Mongol period was Hasan ibn Yusuf ibn Ali ibn'l-Mu'tahhar al-Hilli (d. 726/1325). On his mother's side he was a nephew of Najmu'd-Din, who has just been mentioned, and he is generally spoken of as the Allama-i-Hilli, or the "Sage of Hilla." Hilla was for a long time the recognized centre of the Shi'ites when Sunnite rulers were in authority in Baghdad. He travelled from place to place, studied for a while with Nasiru'd-Din Tusi, and worked constantly as a student and as a writer. The mass of work that he was able to turn off was a marvel to all who knew him. Of the seventy-five of his books that are named in the Kisasu'l-Ulama,² a similar list to that in the Amal al-Amil, the following major works may be mentioned³:

2. Minhadj al-Salih fi 'Ihtisar al-Mishbah, which is a work on prayer and religious obligations.
3. Minhadj al-Karana fi Ma'rifa al-Imam, a treatise on the nature of the Imamate. It is in ten chapters, but an eleventh chapter has been added, al-Badh 'I-Hadhi Ashar, on "The Principles of Shi'ite Theology," for which an English translation has been recently published by the Royal Asiatic Society.⁴
4. Minhadj al-Ya'kin fi Usul al-Din, which explains the fundamental principles of the Shi'ah creed.
5. Tadhkira al-Fuqaha, which is a work in three volumes on Shi'ite jurisprudence.

The Allama-i-Hilli lived to be seventy-seven years of age, having maintained his reputation in many controversies as a great jurist and theologian, and when he died his body was taken to Mashhad for burial.

When the empire of the Il-Khans in Persia and Mesopotamia literally disintegrated, owing to the jealousies and animosities of rival families, for approximately one hundred and fifty years, i.e., from 1349 until the rise of the Safavids in 1502, this whole region was under the unstable government of contending tribal states. But finally, far in the north-west corner of Persia, in the mountainous province of Adherbaijan, a horn of deliverance was raised up in an old town that had been a provincial capital in the days of the early Abbasids, the town of Ardebil. This distant town lay at a strategic point in the road system that had been established by the Mongols to the western frontiers, and here it was that a religious movement, of tremendous significance for Persia, started through the influence of an aged saint. The saint was Safiyyu'd-Din, who was a five-year-old boy when Hulagu Khan first came to Persia. It was claimed that he was a descendant of the Imam Musa Kazim (see chapter xxiv), and when he died in A.D. 1334, at the age of eighty-five, he was buried at Ardebil. His influence continued long after his death, for not only was his tomb regarded as a shrine and sanctuary, but his successors for three generations were semi-official saints for the whole region of Adherbaijan. In the fourth generation the scion of his house, Shaikh Haydar, "added the role of warrior to the profession of saint."¹ He was himself soon put to death, but his son, Isma'il, whose mother was Martha the daughter of Uzun Hasan, the "Tall Hasan" who was the Turkoman king of Armenia, began when he was nineteen years of age to preach aggressively the Shi'ah faith. At first he had scarcely more than a dozen followers, but he soon gathered together a fighting band of three hundred men. He made rapid headway in his raids in Persia, until he raised an army of sufficient size and captured Tabriz, when he boldly declared himself to be the Grand Sophi of Persia. The name Sophi was not from the Greek sophos, "wisdom," but was from a Persian word meaning "wool" or

² Ibid., No. 100, pp. 320-321.
³ Encyclopaedia of Islam, ii, p. 277.
⁵ Stanley Lane-Poole, op. cit., p. 273.
list are mentioned here with a few additional particulars from the first of these sources.

**Theologians of the Safavid Period**

*Nuru'd-Din Ali Abdu'l-Ali* (No. 84), was known as the Muḥaqqik-i-Thani, or the “Second Investigator.” Among his books were the *Jāmī'u'l-Maḥāṣīd*, a commentary in six volumes on the *Kawālid* of the Allāma-i-Hilli, and the *Risālat-i-Ja'fariyya*, which he wrote while he was still in Khorasan. In Persia he was highly esteemed and was particularly honoured by Shah Tāhmasp I. The date of his death was 940/1533.

*Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad* (No. 83) was called the “Saint of Ardebil” and is remembered for his successful intervention on behalf of others with Shah Ḵābās. In praise of his piety it was said that for a period of forty years he was not known “to stretch out his legs in sleep.” The story is related that once in the courtyard of the shrine at Najaf he lowered a bucket into a well, and when he drew it up it was full of gold, which he threw back into the well and said, “O Lord, Ahmad asked thee for water and not for gold.” He was accustomed to wear a tremendously large turban, and when he would go out into the street for a walk, and meet a man perchance who was poor and naked, he would tear off two or three yards of this turban and when he would go out into the street for a walk, and meet a man perchance who was poor and naked, he would tear off two or three yards of this turban for him. After having repeated this several times he would return with only a few inches of his turban left. One of his best known books was the *Majma'u'l-Ka'dīda wa'l-Burhdn*, which is a commentary on the *Irshād* of the Allāma-i-Hilli. Another book that he is said to have written, *Ḥaḍīth-Shi'at*, has been attributed by some to Majlisi, but the writer of the *Kīṣaṣu'l-Ulama* insists that it belongs to Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad himself, who died in 993/1585.

*Mīr Muḥammad Bahār-i-Damād* (No. 77) was the grandson of the Muḥaqqik-i-Thani, and he also was highly favoured by Shah Ḵābās. His best known book is the *Ṣirdu'l-Mustakīm*

---


"The Straight Path"). It is related that Shah Abbas urged him to ascertain how bees make wax and honey, so he had a house of glass prepared and put the bees in it so that they could be watched. They first darkened the glass house and then proceeded to build their hives and make their honey. As a writer Mir Damád was regarded as somewhat obscure and technical, for Mulla Şadru'l-Din claimed that he had seen him in a dream and that he took occasion to say to him, "People have been accusing me of heresy, whereas my beliefs are practically the same as yours."

And the ghost of Mir Damád replied, "The reason is that I wrote on the subjects of philosophy in such a way as to be beyond the understanding of the clergy, so that only philosophers could sense them at all, whereas you have put these things plainly in everyday language, and any ordinary schoolmaster who sees your writings can understand them and accuse you of heresy." The Kişâşü'l-Ulama names some six or seven other books that he wrote which were commentaries and expositions of scholastic philosophy. He died in the year 1041/1631.

Shaikh Muhammad Baha'ud-Din al-Amili (No. 37) wrote the Jami'-i-Abbasi, a popular manual of Shi'ite Law in Persian, of which an exceptionally clear text was lithographed in Isfahan in 1329 A.H. His collection of anecdotes in Arabic, the Kashkud or "Alms-bowl," has been printed at Bulaq and also lithographed in Persia. Two of his poems are well known, one the Nán u Halavá ("Bread and Sweetmeats") and the other, Shír u Shahar ("Milk and Sugar"). Mention is made of his arrival one night in Isfahan, and he got to thinking of the condition of that city, on account of the extensive wine business there, and said to his servants, "Let us leave, for God will send punishment upon this city and we also will be burned." The servants therefore got his baggage loaded and he mounted his mule and left the city. But before morning he again fell to thinking of the true state of Isfahan, and he turned to his servants and said, "Let us return, for there are several thousand devout worshippers, a great crowd, praying at night, and this assembly calls me back." He was a determined Shi'ite, who insisted on his right to curse Úthman. At the age of seventy-eight he died in 1031/1622, and is said to be buried at Mashhad.

Muḥammad ibn Muráda, from Káshán, was known as Mulla Muḥsin-i-Fa'íl (No. 76), and is described as more of a mystic and a philosopher than a theologian. He wrote the Abwáb al-Fíná ("Gates of Paradise"). To the western reader it is interesting to find the story that a foreign representative came to the Court of Shah Abbas, with the request from his sovereign that he be allowed to carry on religious discussions with the scholars in Persia, and suggesting that if he should be convinced of the right of Islam he would become a Muslim, and that otherwise they should become Christians. He had gotten the reputation of being able to tell what men held in their hands. Mulla Muḥsin was the chairman (sar ámad) of the meeting that was called, and he opened the discussion by asking the foreign envoy why his sovereign had not sent a scholar to interview them instead of an ignoramus. The foreigner replied, "You will not thus escape your agreement with me, but take something in your hand and I will tell you what you hold." Accordingly Mulla Muḥsin took in his hand a rosary of beads that were made from the sacred clay of the tomb of Husain. The envoy was seen to be in the sea of deep meditation, and Mulla Muḥsin asked, "Why are you hesitating?" He answered, "It is not that I am perplexed, but according to my way of thinking you have in your hand a piece of the earth of Paradise, and what I have been thinking of is how it could happen that a piece of the earth of Paradise should be in your hand." Mulla Muḥsin said, "You have spoken the truth, that I have in my hand a piece of the earth of Paradise, a rosary that is from the purified tomb of one born from the daughter of our Prophet, one who is an Imam, so it seems that the truth of our religion and the futility of your faith is apparent." And it is said the foreigner accepted Islam.

He gave it as his opinion that singing was permissible, and was seen reverently kneeling in his garden while a slave girl sang to him, and he wept. He is represented as in some respects exceed-
ingly simple minded, for once he dropped his penknife in the bazaar and about a year afterwards he remembered having done so and wanted to send someone to get it. When he was told that it could scarcely be found after so long a time, he remarked, "The people are Muhammadans, how is it that anyone would take my knife without my permission?" Nevertheless he is said to have written more than two hundred books and treatises, the titles of sixty-seven of which are given in the Kiṣaṣul-Ulama. He died in 1091/1680.

Mîr Abûl-Kâsim Fîndarîskî is mentioned in the Kiṣaṣul-Ulama along with Shaikh Baha’ud-Dîn (No. 37). He was from Astarâbâd in Mazandaran. When he was in India one of the rulers there asked him why he cursed Mu’âwiya. He replied with the question, "If the army of Ali was fighting with the army of Mu’âwiya, on which side would you be?" The Sultan answered, "With the army of Ali." Again he asked, "If Ali should say to you, smite the neck of Mu’âwiya, what would you do?" "I would smite him," said the Sultan. "Well then," said Fîndarîskî, "if you acknowledge that killing him would be permissible, then surely cursing him is allowed."

It was commonly believed that the body of Fîndarîskî had the quality of turning metals to gold, i.e., when iron or copper or brass were rubbed on his body they would turn to gold. Accordingly when he died there were Indians who knew of this who were eager to dig up his corpse and take it to their own country, so for this reason his grave was bound firmly in cement.

It is said that Shah Abbas regarded him highly but disapproved of the way he had of mingling with the lowest orders of society, and especially of his attending cock-fights. He died in Isfahan about 1050/1640.

Mullâ Sadrî’d-Dîn Muhammad ibn Ibrahim is included in the Kiṣaṣul-Ulama along with Mullâ Muḥsin (No. 76). He has been considered "the greatest philosopher of modern times in Persia." It was perhaps for this reason that he was usually at odds with the orthodox Shi’ite theologians, and that his largest influence was principally with the Shaikhî School. He went from Shiraz to Isfahan, where he studied with Shaikh Baha’ud-Dîn and afterwards with Mîr Dâmâd, from both of whom he received the licence to teach. He was in business for a while, however, in the vicinity of Kum. Seven different times he went to Mecca, and it was on his return from the last of these pilgrimages that he died in Basra. It was probably about 1050/1640.

Among the books of Mullâ Sadrî was a commentary on the Kafi of Kulaini in three volumes, and his two best known works on theology are the Asfâr-i-Arba’a, or "Four Books," and the Shawâhid’u-Rubûbiyya, or "Evidences of Divinity." In addition to these were various commentaries on particular portions of the Koran, notes on Avicenna’s Shifâ, etc.¹

Abru’-Razzâd-i-Lâhiji had studied with Mullâ Šadrî. He wrote two well-known books in Persian, the Sar-mîyâ-i-Imân ("Substance of Belief") and the Gawhar-i-Murda ("Pearl of Desire"). In common with Shaikh Tabarsi, he is said to have held the strange belief in a relation between the sound of words and their "essential meaning"—that having heard the sound one should be able to sense the meaning.

Mullâ Muḥammad Taḵi-i-Majlîsî (No. 36) devoted himself to gathering and arranging a great number of traditions, from every possible source, during the Safavid period. He was thought to have had Šûfî tendencies, but this may very well have been due to his association with all sorts of people in his work of collecting traditions. The story is told of how he took a liking to one of his pupils, Akhûnd Mullâ Muḥammad Salîh, who was not properly clothed. After he had supplied him with shoes and clothing, he saw that the boy was desirous of getting married, so he took him into the women’s apartments of his own home and showed him his daughters and told him to take his choice. The boy did so, and after that he studied in Mullâ Muḥammad Taḵî’s own library (No. 34). According to the Rawdât’u’l-Jannât he died in 1070/1659.

Mullâ Muḥammad Bākîr-i-Majlîsî (No. 33) was the last and the greatest theologian of the Safavid period. Thorough and diligent

¹ Browne, op. cit., pp. 427-452.
as a scholar, he has the distinction also of having perceived that
the masses should be reached in their own language. While his
monumental work on the traditions is in Arabic, the Bihdrdl-
Anwdr ("Oceans of Light"), he managed to put the bulk of
that vast amount of material about the Prophet and the Imams
into a series of readable manuals in Persian. His remarkable
success in thus making the sources of the Shi'ah faith intelligible
to the people of Persia in their own language has made him
undoubtedly the most influential of all the Shi'ah theologians.
He died in 1111/1699.

In addition to his famous Ha5ul-Ya5in ("The Real Truth"),
which is reported to have been instrumental at the time when it
was written in converting seventy thousand Sunnites to
"the true faith," the following is a list of others of his well-known
books in Persian:

Ay\\u0126l-Hay\\u0126 ("The Fountain of Life"),
MHshkhat\\u0126l-Anwdr ("The Lamp of Lights"),
H\\u0126lyat\\u0126l-Mulla\\u0126k\\u0126 ("The Ornament of the Pious"),
H\\u0126yat\\u0126l-Kulub ("Life of Hearts"),
Th\\u0126h\\u0126ta\\u0126l-Za\\u0126rin ("The Pilgrim's Present"),
Jala\\u0126l-Uyun ("The Clearing of the Eyes"),
Zad\\u0126l-Ma\\u0126d ("Provision for the Hereafter"),
Tadkhira\\u0126l-A\\u0126m\\u0126 ("Record of the Imams").

CHAPTER XXIX
THE DOCTRINE OF THE IMamate

THE main issue that Majlisi had to face, when the Safavid
dynasty was encouraging Shi'ite theologians to think
through their distinctive doctrines and to write books for
the religious instruction of the people, was the question as to
whether an Imam was really necessary. The theory had caused
division in Islam and much bloodshed, and sober minds were
ready to seriously consider whether as a matter of fact it was
essential to the faith. A clear statement of the "historic imam-
ate," showing how the question of succession repeatedly gave
rise to new sects, has been given by Ibn Khaldun, and the various
sects have been minutely described by Shahrastani.1 It is
impossible, however, to appreciate the fervour of a Shi'ite
theologian, who is convinced of the absolute importance of
this subject, except by following his own arguments. To this end,
therefore, the theological considerations that are set forth by
Majlisi to establish the necessity of the Imamate are here
translated.2

1 Ibn Khaldun, "Prolegomena," in Extraits des Manuscrits de la Biblioth\"eque
See English translation of this section by present writer in The Modern World,
Vol. XXI, No. 1. Cf. Shahrastani, Religious and Philosophical Sects, ed. Cureton,
p. 108-145; also Ibn H\\u0126m, The Heterodoxies of the Shi'ites, trans. Friedlander,
J.A.O.S., Vols. 28 and 29.
2 Majlisi, Hayatu'l-Kulub (Life of Hearts), Vol. III, pp. 1-23. This is a most
useful book of 345 pages, but without an index or a table of contents and with
only two chapters. The first chapter, on "The Necessity for the Existence of an
Imam," treats the subject from a theological point of view, and is divided into
the following nine sections: (1) Concerning the Necessity for the Imamate—and
showing that no time is without an Imam; (2) The Sinlessness of the Imams;
(3) The Imamate on the Authority of God and the Apostle—and that each
Imam must appoint his successor; (4) The Necessity of Recognizing the Imams;
(5) To Deny One Imam is to Deny Them All; (6) The Necessity of Obeysing
the Imams; (7) Guidance and Salvation is only through the Imams; (8) The Two
Precious Trusts, the Koran and the Household; and (9) Concerning the Designa-
tion of the Several Imams. The second chapter, entitled "Verses from the
Koran That Are Interpreted as Referring to the Imamate," is of a strictly
systemsical nature. It occupies 259 pages of the book and is divided into forty-
three sections. It would be difficult to find anywhere a clearer or more com-
prehensive outline of the distinctly Shi'ite interpretations of the Koran than is
here afforded.
The Necessity of the Imamate

"It should be understood that there is disagreement among scholars on this question of the appointment of the Imams. Was their appointment necessary after the end of the period of prophecy? If we say it was necessary, do we mean that it was a necessity for God himself or rather for the Imams? Or, in either case, is it to be considered as a rational necessity, a belief that reason itself demands, or is it proved merely by the traditions?

"All the recognized Shi'ite scholars hold that the appointment of the Imams was an actual necessity for God himself, and that this is demonstrable both by reason and by the traditions. The Ash'arites, the Sunnites and the writers of their traditions, and some of the Mutazilites, believed that the appointment of the Imams was a matter that depended on the wish of mankind, and that it was not a rational necessity but rested merely on traditions. One group of the Mu'tazilites taught that the appointment of Imams was allowable on the condition that it could be accomplished without employing force.¹

"The word imám means an Example or Leader, and when used at the time of prayer it generally signifies the prayer-leader; but in the literary sense, when the word imám is used, the reference is to a person who has come from God to be the caliph or nd'tib (representative) of His Highness the Possessor of the Apostleship (i.e., Muḥammad), and there are times when the word is used to designate the Prophet himself. Several traditions suggest that the degree of Imam is higher even than that of Prophet, for it was after God had given the rank of Prophet to Abraham that he said, 'Truly I appoint you as a Leader (imám) of men' (Koran, ii. 118). Some authorities have said that an imám is a person appointed of God to be a ruler in matters of religion and of state, much like a prophet, only a prophet speaks from God without the mediation of any man, whereas an imám speaks by the mediation of a prophet.

¹ Goldziher, Vorlesungen, ch. iii, sect. 5.

(Majlisi's opinion.) "This distinction is hard to establish because many of the prophets were obedient to the five specially distinguished ones and taught mankind their law.¹ And numerous traditions show that our Imams acquired learning from God directly through the Holy Spirit. In the traditions several differences are indicated between a prophet and an imám which we hope to explain later. And in honour of Muḥammad, since he is the seal of the prophets, the use of the simple term prophet (nabi) is not considered to be sufficiently comprehensive. . . . Evidently whatever advantage lay in the person of the Prophet is also to be attributed to the person of the Imam—all that pertains to warding off evil, to guarding law, to restraining men from violence and oppression and all kinds of disobedience, and even the divine necessity for the appointment of the succeeding Imam.

"For the necessity of the existence of the imám there are many proofs to be found in such books as the Shíf of Sayyid Murtazā and the Talkhis of Shaikh Ṭusi. We will now give several of these proofs.

1. From the Kindness of God. "We must presume that kindness is one of God's characteristics, for obviously God will do that which is best in behalf of his servants; for reason demands that we should consider that the works of the Merciful and the Eternal are distinguished by wisdom and expediency. Whenever the best, which is the preferred and the most convenient, is not prohibited, then the refusal of it, or the changing of it without advantage would be unworthy of the All Powerful, the Rich, and the Merciful. Since the necessity of giving the best is established, kindness also must be shown to be necessary to God, for kindness is among the commands that are capable of human attainment, on account of the ease with which it can be exercised. It is understood, of course, that God's kindness would not be carried so far as to cause injury or harm, and that the real ground of merit or of censure would rest on the intention of the action.

¹ The Ulūl-ʾArwā (Possessors of Constancy) are said to have included Noah, Abraham, David, Joseph, Job, Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad (Cf. Hughes Dictionary of Islam, p. 650), but the five most distinguished ones were Noah, Abraham, Moses, Jesus and Muhammad.
Those who have written on what reason considers to be good or bad, and on the necessity of the best, are agreed that kindness must be conceived as a divine attribute, for the reason that we observe that the duties of worshippers have many advantages and profits, both in this world and the next. . . . And it would seem also to be clear that kindness is responsible for the existence of the Imam, for ordinary intelligence leads people, whenever they are organized as a people, to have someone to restrain them from rebellion and corruption and violence and oppression, to withhold them from various forms of disobedience, and to establish them in faithfulness, in the forms of worship, in practices of justice, and in habits of civility. For it is in this way that the conduct of peoples becomes regular and orderly, and that they approach the best and forsake the base.

2. The Guardian of the Law. "The second proof lies in the necessity of a guardian for the Law of the Apostle, to protect it from change or misinterpretation, and from additions or subtractions. The verses of the Koran are stated briefly and most of the commands are not obvious. An authoritative interpreter from God is needed, therefore, to make the legal deductions or conclusions from the Koran. This is opposed to the opinion of Umar, for at the time when the Apostle was about to die, and asked for a pen and ink that he might write a declaration for the nations, so that they should never be lost, Umar objected and said, 'Surely the man talks nonsense, sufficient for us is the Book of God!' This was from Umar, the Accursed, who did not know the interpretation of a single verse of the Koran, and on every matter on which he had difficulty, either he or his friend, Abu Bakr, went secretly to Ali. The Sunnites themselves have related that seventy times Umar said, 'Without Ali, Umar would have been destroyed.'

"And indeed, if the Book of God had been enough, then why are there so many differences among those who follow it? For example, there is the verse, 'You are the one making afraid and the one leading every people,' in which case 'the one leading' refers to the same person as 'the one making afraid.' Others, however, have said that the meaning is, 'You are the one who makes afraid the unbelievers and the base, and for everyone there is a leader.' In this case the one declaration is made dependent upon the other, and furnishes a proof text that no epoch is left without its imam or leader. . . . In the Basa'ir al-Darajd it is related that the Imam Bakr said that the Apostle of God is 'the one making afraid,' and that after him, in every age, 'there is a leader among us who guides mankind towards that which the Apostle of God has revealed; that the leader who followed the Apostle was Ali ibn Abu Talib, with the imams who came after him, each following the other until the Judgment Day.' Ibn Babuwa'ah had recorded in the Kamlud-din, also, that when explaining the verse, 'To every people a leader,' Mu'ammad Bakr said, 'The reference is to the Imam, for in every time there must be a leader for the people among whom the Imam lives.'

"Another example where explanation is required is the verse, 'And now have we caused our word to come unto them that they may be warned' (Rodwell, Surah xxviii. v. 57). The majority of commentators explain this as meaning that God gave them verses, following one after another, and likewise incidents, and exhortations, and prohibitions, and counsels, and parables, so that they would comprehend good and evil. But there are many traditions that have come down from the Household of the Prophet that indicate that the real point of this verse is that the Imams were to come following one after another. . . .

"Ibn Babuwa'ah (Szuduk) has recorded in both the Majalis and the Kamlud-din that the Imam Zain al-Abidin said: 'We are the leaders of the Mu'ammadans and the Proofs of God among all men, and through us, on the Day of Judgment, the Shi'ites will approach Paradise with their faces and hands and feet pure white, as though they had been washed with light. As the leaders of the faithful we will save the people of the Earth from the wrath of God. As long as the stars are the guards of the sky, the angels also have no fear of the Judgment, for as long as we are on the Earth the Judgment will not come and punishment will not occur.
But when we shall be taken from the Earth, this will be a sign of its destruction and of the death of all those dwelling upon it. When the stars fall from their places, this will be a sign of the end of the heavens and of the scattering of the angels.' And he said again, 'We are those through whose blessing God maintains the heavens, that they should not fall upon the Earth except by his permission at the day of Judgment. It is by our blessing that God maintains the heavens, that they do not fall and destroy their inhabitants; and it is by our blessing that God sends the rains and shows forth his mercy, and brings forth the bounties of the Earth. For if there were no Imam on Earth to represent us, verily the Earth itself would collapse, with all those who dwell upon it.'

"So we see that the Imam declared that from the time when God created Adam the Earth would never be without God's Proof or Representative, either as an evident and public proof, or concealed and hidden. In any event the Earth shall never be without God's witness until the Judgment Day. For if there should be no Proof of God upon the Earth, there would be no worship of God, for the way of worship men learn from him (the Imam), as he is the one who directs men in worship.

3. Advantages from the Imam in Concealment. "When the Imam Zainu'l-Abidin was asked, 'How could men profit from a Proof of God that was concealed and hidden from them?' he replied, 'They would profit in the same way they do from the Sun when it is concealed by a cloud.' From this it is evident that even in the time of his concealment, the grace and blessing of the Imam reaches the Earth. If there should be mistakes among the uninformed, he will guide them in ways they will perceive, and yet they will not know it was he. And there are many times when his concealment works for the blessing of the majority of men. For God knows that if the Imam should come, the majority of mankind would not accept him. And in the personal presence of the Imam the obligations that would fall upon men would be more difficult, such as fighting in the jihād (holy war) against those opposing the Faith. There are many times when unseeing eyes and blind hearts have not the strength to look upon the light of the Imam, just as lots do not have the strength to look upon the light of the Sun. Kings and nobles, also, during the concealment of the Imam, have faith in his coming, but when he shall actually come and reduce the noble and the poor to one level, many will not be able to endure this and will disbelieve.

For when Ali, the Amiru'l-Mu'minin, was distributing rewards, he treated Talha and Zubair in the same way as a slave he had freed but the day before, and it was this that caused them to forsake him.

"In considering the favour of the Imam during the time of his concealment, it is enough to observe that belief in his being and in his imamate should be accepted as necessary and as meriting the highest reward. Sayyid Murtaḍa, in the Shīfi, has given several answers to the statement that a concealed imam can be of no profit to mankind. The first is that all the time there is the expectation that the Imam will appear, and this expectation in itself makes for abstinence from numerous sins. There is this difference at least between the non-existence of an imam and simply his concealment. The second answer is that the Most High has by his grace given the Imam, and the reason he is concealed is on account of his enemies among men. The situation is similar to that of the Prophet in Mecca, when the unbelieving Kuraish prevented men from coming to him, especially when he was in the ravine of Abu Ṭalib with others of the Beni Hashim. During the time he was in exile, and up until the time when he appeared as a Prophet in Medina, there can be no doubt that his status was that of a Prophet and was always advantageous to men. The third answer is that it is perfectly possible that God knows that there are friends of the Imam during his concealment who would deny him if he appeared, so that his appearance would thus become a reason for their loss of faith. The fourth answer is that it is not at all necessary that all should profit equally. It might be that a select number would see him and be thus advantaged, just as they say that there is a city where the descendants of the Imam live and that the Imam will go to that
city. Although the people of the city may not see him, yet they will utter their requests to him, as it were behind a curtain.

"After mentioning these considerations, Sayyid Murtaḍa goes on to say that the profit or advantage that comes to the people from the Imam cannot be completed except by several commands from God, commands that must first be accepted and obeyed. These commands have come down through the Imams and must be obeyed. God's part has been to send the Imams, and to make the Imams to fulfil their tasks, and to overthrow whatever stands to the Imam, ' I had heard about the reputation for scholarship them able to discharge the duties of their Imamate-in their

And carry out the commands of God. The Imam's task, therefore, is to accept these obligations and to arrange to carry them out. What we need to do in reference to the Imamate is to assist the Imams to fulfil their tasks, and to overthrow whatever stands between us and them, that we may obey them and serve them and carry out their orders.

4. Analogy from the Mind, the Imam of the Senses. "Both Kulaini and Ibn Babuwayhi (Sudūk) tell the story of an illustration that was used by Hisham ibn Salim, who was one of the most learned supporters of the Imam Ja'far as-Ṣadiq. Hisham said to the Imam, ' I had heard about the reputation for scholarship that was enjoyed by Amru ibn Ubaid (a Sufi scholar among the Sunnites), and that he was teaching at Basra. But I did not like his conceit. So I went to Basra, and since I arrived on Friday I went at once to the masjid or place of prayer. There I saw that a large crowd had assembled about Amru. He had a coarse, black, woollen scarf bound about his waist, and another scarf of the same kind over his shoulder. The people were asking him questions. I forced my way into the midst of them and sat down. Then I said, "O learned scholar, I am a stranger and I have a question to ask, if you will permit me?" He consented. I asked, "Do you have eyes?" He replied, "My son, what sort of a question is this?" I said, "This is my question." He said, "Ask whatever you wish, even though it be foolish." Then I repeated, "Have you eyes?" He said, "Yes." I asked,"What do you see with them?" He said, "Colours and people." I said, "Have a nose?" He said, "Yes." I asked, "What do you do with it?" He said, "I smell smells." I asked, "Have you a mouth?" He said, "Yes." I asked, "What do you do with it?" He said, "With it I speak." I said, "Have you ears?" He said, "Yes." I asked, "What do you do with them?" He said, "With them I hear sounds." I asked, "Have you hands?" He said, "Yes." I asked, "What do you do with them?" He said, "I pick up things." I said, "Have you a mind (literally a heart)?" He said, "Yes." I asked, "What do you do with it?" He said, "I reason out what the senses tell me." I said, "Are the senses not enough, and are they not independent of the mind?" He said, "No, they are not enough, and they are not independent of the mind, even though they should all be in health." And he continued, "My son, when these senses are doubtful as to something they have smelled or heard or tasted or touched, they refer to the mind, which gives assurance and banishes doubt." Then I said, "So God has made the mind the governor of the body, to banish the doubts of the senses?" He said, "Yes." Then I remarked, "O Abu Marwan, so the Lord of the Worlds has not left your members and senses without an imām or guide to explain what he desires and to banish their doubts. Can we think, therefore, that he has left all creation in confusion and has not given mankind an imām in order that they may take their doubts and uncertainties to him, that he may guide them to the truth and set them free from doubt?" When I said this he was silent and did not answer. But he favoured me by coming and asking me, "Are you not Hisham?" I said, "No." Then he asked, "Where do you come from?" I said, "I come from Kufa." Then he exclaimed, "Of course you are Hisham!" and he seized me and led me forward to sit with him, and said nothing until I arose."

5. Man a Little Universe (Majlisi's statement). "Man is a little universe which is a prototype of the great universe, as was said by Ali, the Amiru'l-Mu'min: 'Do you think that you are a small thing? Know that the universe is wound up and hidden
in you.' For the bones in the body are similar to mountains, and the flesh is like the soil; the little and the large veins are like streams of water; the head, the centre of power and reason, which is the noblest part of the body, is like the sky, which holds the stars that give their light to the earth; the power of the brain, which is given to the whole body, is like the light of the stars which is given to the Earth; and as there are rulers and kings on the Earth, and some are more powerful and more esteemed than others, so the supreme ruler of the body is the speaking spirit which rules the heart. As on Earth the compass points to the North, so (when facing the East), the heart, which is the reason for the life of the body, is to the North. And as kings have viziers to regulate the affairs of state, so the liver functions for the whole body. And as the land casts off its surplus to be washed into the sea, so also the human body throws off what it does not need.

Another section from the same source may be translated to show the nature of the orthodox Shi'ite conception of divine authority for the Imamate.1

The Imamate on the Authority of God and the Apostle

"The Imamate is on the authority of God and the Apostle and is not to be determined by the agreement or choice of men. It is necessary for each Imam to appoint his successor. . . . The Abbasids said that the Imamate may be either by appointment or by inheritance, and all the Sunnites say that it may be either by appointment or by choice, i.e., by the agreement of those in responsibility. But reasonable proofs that the right of the Imamate is based solely on divine appointment are numerous.

"1. Since the Imam must be free from sin, and sinlessness is a state which only God can know, therefore the appointment must lie with God, for he alone knows who is sinless.

"2. In observing the course of human history and development, those who are reflective will readily understand that whenever a people do not have a governor who is strong and a ruler who is severe—someone to restrain them from injustice and anger, someone to prevent them from following their own lusts or doing things that are forbidden—the majority of that people will resort to brute force, and will misappropriate property, etc. There will be much useless killing, and for this reason all kinds of corruption and many disturbances, so that mankind will rapidly deteriorate. This can not be God's will, for we read, 'For God is not pleased with corruption.' We are justified in assuming that God will be disposed to take away or prevent corruption. But this can only be accomplished in any age when the authority of the government is given into the hands of one who seeks the way of public advantage and the salvation of the people. He will look after the laws and be concerned about the general welfare of mankind in this world, as well as the prospects in the next world. Such a person is the Imam. And if for any particular age the Most High should not appoint an Imam, then God would appear as being willing that corruption should continue, whereas corruption is deplorable and cannot be reconciled with the will of God.

"3. It is established both by reason and by tradition that the favour and grace of the Most High is unlimited towards his servants, for He guides them in the right way and directs them to that which is advantageous in this world and the next. For in several places in the Koran it is said, 'And God is kind to his servants,' and one of the proofs of the perfection of his kindness and the unlimited nature of his favour towards all of his servants is that in arranging even the little things in their affairs he has not been neglectful. For example, the method of removing the hair and of trimming the moustache, the manner of entering and leaving the toilet, the way of cleansing with water or with stone, and directions in sexual matters—all such little things have been explicitly provided for by the words of the Apostle, the Possessor of Kindness and Learning, who has set forth in detail these things for his servants, so that all may understand.

"Now surely the appointment of the vicegerent or representative of the Apostle, the one to guard the Law and teach the Faith to men, the one to protect the people from evil and from

those opposing them, surely this is more imperative than the little things that have been mentioned. Since God did not deem these little things as beneath his notice, how can we imagine that he would ignore anything so absolutely necessary as the chief need of the Faith? It is clear, therefore, that the appointment of the caliph or representative, the one to command all peoples, has been determined, and that God must have sent a revelation to the Apostle concerning the Imams. And all Muslims agree in this, that other than Ali, the Amiru'l-Muminin, the caliphs were not so appointed. Ali, therefore, must be the one recognized as having the appointment of the Apostle.

"4. The fourth proof is in accord with the belief of the Sunnites that it was God's practice, from the time of Adam to the time of Muhammad, that the prophets should not leave this world until they had appointed their successors. Besides this, it was the custom of the Apostle, whenever he went out from Medina for a few days, whether for battle or otherwise, to designate someone to exercise authority in his place. He did this when he went to Mecca. He did the same thing for every town or village where there was a group of believers, and also when he appointed commanders for the army. He did not leave these matters to the choice of the people, but he himself sought the command of the Most High before making such appointments. Accordingly, in this situation of the utmost importance, involving laws and commands for the followers of Islam until the Judgment Day, can we conceive that the Apostle would have been neglectful of this, or that he would have left it to the choice of the people?

"5. The fifth proof is that the office of the Imam is like that of the Prophet in that each has the function of complete authority over all the followers of the Faith in matters of religion and of the state. The people themselves are incapable of judging who is worthy for this responsible office. With all the various opinions that they would have, if we assume that they might make this choice, it could only be according to their limited understanding and changing purpose, and it would not be for the common welfare or in harmony with the wisdom of God. Each one would vote according to his personal advantage. Such a procedure might be put into effect by a mere rule of force, and could be reconciled with the requirements of an autocratic and oppressive kingdom, but it would not be a suitable way to determine the Imams or to direct a government that is based on Law. It is as unreasonable to think that the people would be able to choose the Imams as it would be to imagine that they are capable of choosing their Prophets, which would manifestly be impossible. Another remarkable thing is this, that if a King dismisses the governor of a city and does not appoint anyone in his place, or if the head man of the village leaves the village and does not appoint anyone in his stead to oversee the affairs of the village, but on the contrary leaves everything to the choice of the people, how is it that people who claim that it is not necessary that God and the Apostle should appoint the Imams would most severely censure such a King or such a head man of a village? Can conduct of this sort be unbecoming on the part of a King or a head man of a village, and yet be regarded as acceptable and perfectly worthy of God and the Apostle? There are those, however, who say that the Apostle left the world and did not leave a successor in his place, but that he left the choice of the Imam to the people.

"6. On the assumption that the people should be free from all personal prejudices, and should not have vain desires of their own, but should give themselves entirely to the work of choosing the Imam, nevertheless, since they would all be subject to mistakes, it is altogether possible that their choice might be a mistaken one, and that they would reject the right and choose the wrong. For we are aware that in the choice of Kings and Sultans and other prominent men, it often happens that for a time they are esteemed to be trustworthy and capable and deserving, but it afterwards turns out that they are the very opposite.

"7. If we assume further that the choice of the people should prove to be correct, notwithstanding, it is perfectly evident that the Knower of Secrets knows better as to who is best qualified for each and every work. It is more appropriate for God himself
to exercise this choice. For if God should have the superior knowledge and yet should not make the choice himself but assign it to others there are grave difficulties involved, for this would be a case of God's preferring an expedient which would not be the best, a mistake which we cannot attribute to the All Powerful and the All Wise.

"8. If the Imamate were to be determined by the choice of the people there would be two probable consequences. In the first place their choice might well be a mistake, and since God would know beforehand that they would make this mistake, i.e., in spite of his knowledge and power and wisdom he would commit the direction of the Faith and Practice of the Muslims to an assembly that would be certain to make mistakes and to choose an unjust governor—surely this would be exceedingly unsatisfactory, a procedure in fact that we could not attribute to a wise God. And in the second place, if God should know that they would choose a good Imam, yet the recognition of this Imam, the duty of making him known to the people in general, the task of getting people to obey, the necessity of frustrating opposition and suppressing jealousies—all this would be unspeakably difficult for the people to accomplish, whereas for God himself it would be exceedingly easy. Hence we are bound to consider that to give work of such difficulty to others, and to thus force upon the people in their weakness a matter that would involve all this trouble, would be absolutely unworthy of God the Most High, of whom it is said, 'God wisheth you ease, but wisheth not your discomfort' (Koran ii. v. 131).

"In summing up what has been said, it is clear that after the matter of prophecy itself our faith has had no other such real need as for an Imam. Muslims have required of God no other such favour as the existence of an Imam, for if there were no Imam, in a short time there would be no influence left in the Faith and it would disappear entirely. Without an Imam the faith and condition of Muslims everywhere would be left incomplete and in disorder. If God, therefore, had not appointed the Imam, if God had not sanctioned the Imamate, it would have been the same as withdrawing the influence of his Prophet from the world, and in that case both the Faith and God's favour would have been incomplete. Whoever says that this is the case most certainly gives the lie to the Koran and to the Prophet of God, the Merciful, and to deny the truth of the Koran and the Prophet is infidelity."
CHAPTER XXX

THE "SINLESSNESS" OF THE PROPHETS AND THE IMAMS

The number of prophets that God has sent in past times is usually estimated by Muhammadans as 124,000, but the majority of these are minor prophets of whom little is known. The A'ka'idush-Shi'ah, the "Beliefs of the Shi'ites," contains a statement that has been conveniently summarized by Professor Browne:

"The number of the true prophets antecedent to Muhammad, the seal of the prophets and the last of them, is variously stated, as from 140 to 124,000. It is necessary to believe that these, whatever their number, were true and immaculate (ma'sum), that is, that during the whole of their lives they were guilty of no sin, major or minor; that they all enunciated the same essential truths; and that the revelations which they received were essentially identical, though in detail the later abrogate the earlier, to wit, the Koran the Gospel, and the Gospel the Pentateuch. These three, together, with the Psalms of David and the Books of Abraham, are the principal scriptures, but the total number of revealed books is estimated by some as 124,000. Of the prophets sent to all mankind, four were Syrian, i.e., Adam, Seth, Enoch (or Idris), and Noah; five were Arabs, i.e., Hud, Salih, Shuayb, Isma'il and Muhammad; and the remainder were of the children of Israel. The five great prophets are called the Ulu'1-Azm, including Noah, Abraham, Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad." 1

The theologians of the Shi'ites are practically in agreement concerning the doctrine of the sinlessness of the Prophets and the Imams. In the statement in which Majlisi treats of the two together, he says:

"They are to be considered free from all sins, great or small. No sort of sin can be attributed to them, no oversight or forgetfulness, and no mistakes in interpretation. Neither are they to be thought of as having sinned before the time of their being appointed prophets, not even in their childhood. No one has objected to this doctrine except Ibn Babuwa'h and Shaikh Muhammad ibn Walid, who have maintained that God could allow them to forget something now and then, either for his own purpose, or when it was something that was in no way essential to their service. But all agree that belief in the sinlessness of the prophets is one of the necessary beliefs of the Shi'ah faith." 2

Nine proofs are given which are stated briefly as follows:

1. If the prophets have been sent to be obeyed they must surely be without sin.
2. It is not possible to think of it being necessary to obey a prophet in some things and not in others.
3. If a prophet sins, then you must obey him, for if you deny him you trouble him, and to trouble a prophet is forbidden: "Those who trouble God and His Apostle have been cursed by God in this life and hereafter."
4. If a prophet sins it is necessary for the hearers of his testimony to refuse it.
5. If a prophet should sin, then his status ought to be lower than that of ordinary men.
6. If a prophet should sin, he would deserve reproof, and the curse and punishment of God.
7. Prophets command men to obey God, so if they themselves do not obey God they are condemned by this verse: "Do you command men to do good and forget your own souls? Although you read the Book of God, do you not stop to think about it?"
8. Since Shaitan said to God: "By your glory, I swear that I will cause all to be lost except those pure ones of your servants," so if the prophets should sin, they are among those whom Shaitan has caused to be lost, and not among the pure ones of God's servants.
9. If the prophets are sinners, then they should be reckoned among the evildoers, and God has said, "My covenant and the Imamate will not come to evildoers."

While in the above statement Majlisi had primarily in mind the doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets, in the third volume of his Hayatu'l-Kulub, which is devoted to showing the significance
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1 Majlisi, Hayatu'll-Kulub, Vol. I, pp. 11-12. This book is in three volumes, (1) The Prophets before Muhammad, (2) The Life of Muhammad (see English translation of this volume by Merrick, entitled The Life and Religion of Muhammad, Boston, 1850), and (3) The Imamate.
of the Imamate, the belief in the sinlessness of the Imams is also laid down as fundamental:

"Know that all Shi'ah scholars are agreed that the Imam is free from all sins, whether great or small, from the beginning of his life until the end, and whether intentional or accidental. No one has objected to this teaching except Ibn Babuwaihi and his teacher, Muhammad ibn Walid. They considered that it was permissible to believe that before his appointment to the Imamate it was possible that a man should make mistakes. For example, he might slip up in his prayers, or in the observance of some of the forms of worship or commands of the faith, but we are not to think that he would make any mistakes in explaining the commands of the faith, for in this they also do not allow the possibility of any sort of error. All the sects of the Shi'ites, except the Isma'ilis, are united in this, however, that they recognize no limitations to the sinlessness of the Imams."

The verse in the Koran which is deemed the most important to prove the necessity of believing in the sinlessness of the Imam is that which was revealed to Abraham, "For I have appointed you as a leader (imam) for mankind. Abraham then inquired, 'And those who come after me?' To this God answered, 'My covenant does not come to evildoers'" (Surah ii. 118).

"Now we know," says Majlisi, "that every sinner is cruel and the oppressor even of his own soul," and the explanation of Ibn Babuwaihi in the Kisal is quoted in interpreting this passage: "It is not to be supposed that anyone should have the right of an Imam who was an idol worshipper, or one who for one moment would associate a partner with God, even though he should eventually become a Muslim. 'Force' is to be understood (in the evil sense) as the placing of something in the wrong place, and the greatest instance of it is the assumption of a partner for God. This is clear from what the Most High has said, 'For the joining of Gods with God is the great impurity.' Also we could not consider that the Imamate belonged to anyone who had done that which was forbidden, whether the offence were great or small, even though he should afterwards repent, for the command to scourge another cannot be allowed to one who himself deserves scourging. The Imam, therefore, must be sinless.

"The sinlessness of an Imam cannot be known, however, unless he has received divine appointment and has been designated to his office by the word of his Prophet. It is not something to be noted in his external appearance, something that can be seen, like black or white or such qualities. Sinlessness is rather a hidden virtue which can be recognized only by announcement from God, who knows all that is concealed."

To elaborate and further explain the doctrine, various traditions are assembled. Hisham ibn Hakam, who was a favourite young scholar among the Shi'ites in the time of the Imam Ja'far as-Šadık, was asked, "Is the Imam sinless?" He said, "Yes." He was then asked, "How can you know that he is sinless?" To this he replied more at length, "All sin has four varieties, not five—greed, envy, anger and lust. The Imam can have none of these. He can have no reason to be greedy, for the whole world is under his seal (this reference is to Solomon's powerful ring), and he is the guardian of the treasury of Islam. He cannot be envious, for envy is something that one has toward a superior, whereas the Imam has no superiors. Also he cannot show anger for any carnal reason, but only in the service of God, when he may be called upon to punish others so that those who oppose him should not succeed in obstructing the commands of the Most High, for mercy of course should not be allowed to be an obstacle to the advancement of the faith. Likewise he cannot seek the lust and pleasure of this world, as his preference and love is for the world to come. In much the same way as this world is the object of our love, he looks to the next world; and have you ever seen anyone who has abandoned a beautiful face for an ugly one? Or have you ever seen anyone refuse delicious food for something bitter, or soft clothing for coarse, or an everlasting reward for merely temporary advantages?"

When the fourth Imam, Zainu'l-Adidin, was asked, "What meaning does sinlessness have?" he answered, "Sinlessness is that quality which enables a man to seize firmly the strong 'life-line' from God, i.e., the Koran, so that the Imam and the Koran are never to be separated until the Judgment Day. The Imam will direct men to the Koran and the Koran will direct them to the Imam. For this is the meaning of the word of God, 'Verily this Koran guideth to what is most upright'" (Surah xvii. 9), namely.
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1 Majlisi, op. cit., iii, p. 23 ff.
that this Koran directs men to a people who are the most upright of all peoples, and to a way which is the straightest of all ways—which refers to the way of obedience to the true Imams.”

At this point Majlisi adds the personal comment, “The explanation of sinlessness as the seizing of the ‘life-line’ from God, is either that God guards the Imam from sin by his devotion to the Koran, or the meaning may be that God has made him devoted to the Koran, so that he may fulfil in his conduct whatever is in the Koran, and know the meaning of all its contents.”

In as much as it is universally believed among Muslims that every person has two recording angels, literally “illustrious writers,” it is interesting to observe that there is a tradition in the Kanz al-Fawayid, cited from a man called Karajaki, which asserts that the Apostle of God said that the angel Gabriel had informed him that the recording angels of Ali had declared that from the day they were appointed to associate with him until the present time they had never written any sin against him. Approximately, the same statement is made also on the authority of Amr ibn Yasir, i.e., that the Apostle of God had said that the two angels who recorded the works of Ali had boasted over the other recording angels of their good fortune in being appointed to associate with him, for he had never committed any act that deserved punishment from God.

Psychological Explanations

In the same connection Majlisi endeavours to correct what he considers as mistaken ideas of the doctrine of sinlessness which would make it a necessary characteristic of the prophets and the Imams: “Know that those who accept the doctrine of sinlessness have been in error at times in regard to the point as to whether a sinless man has the power to commit a sinful act. Some of those who say that he is not able to do so claim that there is some special quality in his body or in his soul which has the effect of making it impossible for him to be involved in sin. Others have said that the condition of sinlessness involves in itself the power to be obedient and to refrain from sin. Most theologians, however, agree that the Imam does have the power to commit sin. Some of them have interpreted isma, or immunity from sin or error, as a command which God gives his servant, a favour bestowed upon him, in order to lead him to obedience, and that it is in this way that he does not become involved in sin. There is the restriction, nevertheless, that he is not to be regarded as constrained or compelled by force. Others have said that sinlessness is a habit of the soul, by reason of which the person will not fall into sin. Still others have said that it is a gracious gift from God to his servant, so that he will not give up his obedience or commit any act of sin. In this gift there are four things, first, that his soul or his body should have some special characteristic worthy of being a habit and strong enough to forbid transgression; second, that a special knowledge comes to him to enable him to perceive evils in sin and foresee its consequences, as well as to appreciate the advantages and profits in obedience; third, that there is constant repetition of this knowledge by means of revelation from God; and fourth, that he is continuously admonished by God concerning that which is forbidden and that which it is best that he should not do; with the consequence that he is able to know what is right. Whenever he is tempted to do something that is not required, then God gives him specific warning. And he knows that God will not neglect to lead him to do that which is necessary and to avoid that which is obviously wrong. Accordingly, whenever these four qualifications characterize any one person, he would then be regarded as sinless. He has the capacity, however, to commit sin at any time, for otherwise he would not be entitled to any credit for avoiding sin and there would be no merit attained by his righteousness, and he would have no sense of obligation. Sinlessness in that sense would be meaningless, as the traditions show.

Also, we may observe that sinlessness would not be a desirable state if it is construed to mean that by oppressing others one could become sinless (which refers to the assertion that an imamate established by force could be recognized). The essential meaning of the term is that a man, by the power of reason, by
strength and worthiness of mind, by persistence in prayer and fasting, and by the guidance and help of the Most High, will thus arrive at a state where he will always be thinking about pleasing God. He will set aside entirely those desires and motives that are peculiarly his own, and will reach the place where he will not desire anything except the will of God; when he will fulfill this tradition, 'Through me he hears and sees and walks.' In this way it becomes impossible for him to abandon obedience to God or to commit sin—not even the minor offences.

"He becomes like one who stands before a King, always ready with perfect love and affection, good-will and gratitude, to serve him with the utmost veneration and fear, and who recognizes that the King manifests the greatest favour towards him. And he himself has the utmost love for the King. Thus it becomes impossible for him to do anything against the King's will, however easily it might be accomplished. One reason for this is his excessive love, for the lover is under the necessity of serving the beloved. A second reason is his sense of shame, for with such devotion he would be ashamed to do anything in the absence of the King that he would not do in his presence. In the third place he would have a kind of fear and anxiety, lest on account of the very special nature of the favour he had enjoyed from the King, who had given him so much power and authority, he might do something displeasing and that would thus deserve and probably receive the utmost punishment. What a punishment it would be for one who had enjoyed such love and honour to see that he had fallen in the esteem of his Sovereign. Evidently the one so favoured would find the actual committing of sin impossible, but not, however, in such a way as to make his merit compulsory. For compulsion occurs when the choice and will of men is not given opportunity, whereas in the case we are considering, the power of choice and will is in no way restricted, since he is free to do as sinners do if he wishes. For example, he can drink intoxicating liquor if he wants to.

"Again, it is related on the authority of the Imams, that prophets and apostles are of four degrees: (1) there is the prophet who does not prophesy to others; who sees visions in his sleep and hears the voices of angels, but when he is awake he does not see the angels, he has not been chosen, however, as a prophet for others and there is some other one who is his imām or guide. For example, there was Lot, for whom Abraham was the imām. And (2), there is the prophet who sees visions in his sleep, and who hears voices and sees the angels, but who is definitely sent to lead a group of men, be they few or many—as God has said regarding Jonah (Surah xxxvii. 147), 'And we sent him to a hundred thousand persons, or even more,—and yet there was another who was the imām for him. And (3), there is the prophet who sees visions and hears the voices of angels, and who is himself an imām for others. For example, Abraham was a prophet but not an imām until God said (Surah ii. 118), 'For I have appointed you an imām for mankind.' But when Abraham asked about his offspring God said, 'My covenant embraces not the evildoers,' i.e., those who worship idols or graven images.

"Salabi has related from the Imam Ja'far aṣ-Ṣadīk that God has indicated the purity of those of the Household from uncleanness, i.e., from doubt and sin, in the 'verse of the purification,' in which he said, 'For God only desireth to put away filthiness from you as his Household, and with a cleansing to cleanse you' (Surah xxxiii. 33). And Muhammad ibn Abbas and Ibn Mahiyar in his Commentary, have related from the Imam Ṣadīk that 'the Most High does not abandon us, for if he would abandon us to ourselves, then we, like other men, would be in sin and error.' But God has said in regard to us, 'Pray until I give you salvation.'"

At this point in his argument Majlisi undertakes to reply to objections that have been offered. "You must understand," he says, "that the Shi'āh theologians are all in agreement as to the freedom of the Imams from any kind of sin. Notwithstanding, in many of the prayers there are expressions that mention the sin of the Imams. In some of the traditions, also, there are cases where we are apt to think that the Imams have committed sins. These can all be explained, however, in one or other of several
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ways. (1) Sometimes there are instances of their not having done the preferred things and of their having done what was not the best to do. These failures they have spoken of as sins. And there are inconsequential matters that the Imams have spoken of as sins in that they considered them unworthy of their exalted rank and of the rest of their actions. It has been observed that usually their desires are fixed on God and his service, and their thoughts are bound to exalted things, so that when occasionally they descend from these heights, and busy themselves in eating or drinking or in sexual matters, things that are inconsequential, sometimes they call these acts sinful and ask forgiveness for them. As an illustration, have you not observed how most servants, if they happen to be occupied in such personal things when their master appears, instinctively ask to be forgiven as though they had done wrong? (2) When they do particular things in their association with mankind, acting for their benefit and guidance, as they have been commanded by the Most High, they afterwards return to the place of devotion and nearness and communion with God. This privilege of communion is more precious to them than the other, and they may speak of themselves as erring in the other, and ask for pardon and sometimes weep. Notwithstanding that this association with men has been by the command of God, and recognizing that this is a matter that is beyond proper illustration, yet it is very much as though a King should assign some of his most intimate associates to a particular service, and for this purpose they should have to go away from the King's presence, and we can see how on their return they might speak of themselves as though they had been at fault for their absence from their place of devotion and affection. (3) Since their knowledge and skill and purity is from the kindness and grace of God—for if this were not the case it would be possible that they should commit all sorts of sin—when they consider this fact they acknowledge God's grace and their own unworthiness. The significance of this is the same as though they were to say, 'If sinlessness were not from Thee, I would surely commit sin; and if it were not for Thy help, I would certainly do great wrong.'

"SINLESSNESS" OF PROPHETS AND IMAMS

(4) Remembering that the knowledge of God is not something that can be fully attained, and that the prophets and the apostles and the Imams are always making progress in their perfections, and advancing higher and nearer to God, consequently, every hour, in fact every minute, they are in varying degrees of fellowship with God and of knowledge of His truth. A previous degree of attainment may be recognized as lower, and the worship that was in place at that point may afterwards be considered inferior, so that they may think of themselves as having at that time been deficient, and for this reason they may ask to be pardoned. Or perhaps it refers to something like this, as when the Apostle said, 'I ask pardon every day seventy times.' (5) Since the knowledge of God that the Imams have is so vast, and the blessings they receive are so numerous, and they put forth such exertions in their obedience and worship, they do not consider themselves worthy before God and regard their obedience as imperfect and consider that they have shortcomings for which they ask forgiveness.

"Thus in addition to the first explanation, which is given by most theologians, I have added such other explanations as have occurred to me, and anyone who has tasted a drop of the wine of love will accept them all. 'He to whom God shall not give light, no light at all hath he' (Koran, Surah xxiv. 40). And Ibn Babuwa'aihi has remarked in his statement of beliefs that our belief in the prophets and the apostles and the Imams is that they are free and pure from every stain, and that no sin, whether great or small, can be attributed to them; for they do not disobey God in anything which God has commanded, and they do what he has directed, and anyone who refuses to grant them this quality of sinlessness has certainly not known them. Our belief is that they are endowed with perfection in conduct and learning, from the beginning of their works until the end of their lives, and on no condition are they in any way to be considered as imperfect or ignorant or transgressing."
CHAPTER XXXI
THE ORIGIN OF THE ISLAMIC DOGMA OF "SINLESSNESS"

SINCE the belief in the sinlessness of the prophets and of their successors, the Imams, is fundamental in Shi'ite Islam, it is important to inquire into its origin and development. It did not come by way of the canonical Jewish scriptures, for even a cursory study of the Old Testament shows clearly that the authority of the Jewish prophets was not attributed to their sinlessness, but on the contrary, the sins of the prophets are freely recorded as matters of fact. Adam, Noah, Abraham, Job, Moses, David, Solomon, Isaiah and Ezra can all be readily shown to have been sinners by the Bible records, and in several places, as it happens, by their own confession.

Likewise, if the New Testament is examined, it is easily seen that the writers of the gospels and the epistles attribute the status or quality of sinlessness only to Jesus. Those who first believed on him accepted him as the expected Messiah, and it was as the Christ and not as a prophet that he came to be regarded as sinless. Neither the disciples nor the apostles are represented in the New Testament as sinless or inerrant, and no doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets is developed in their writings; for the verse (Luke xv. 8) that refers in parable to the "ninety and nine righteous who need no repentance" is to be regarded as a recognition of Pharasaical pretensions rather than an acknowledgment that there actually are any such persons.

The suggestion has been made that the idea of attributing sinlessness to the prophets may have come into Islam from the influence of particular ones of the Jewish apocryphal books. Reference is made to the "Prayer of Manasses." Manasseh, the King of Judah (695-641 B.C.), had seduced Judah and the inhabitants of Jerusalem, but when he was taken captive by one of the armies of Esarhaddon and brought in fetters to Babylon, he "humbled himself greatly before the God of his fathers." His prayer of repentance is not given by the chronicler (2 Chron. xxxii), though mention is made in a verse that may be a later interpolation of its being written in the History of the Seers. The "Prayer of Manasses" that is included in the Apocrypha is thought to have been written as late as the time of Christ, and in this brief prayer we find what I believe is the earliest Jewish statement of a doctrine of the sinlessness, not so much of the prophets, but of the patriarchs. For the writer of this prayer declares, "Thou therefore, O Lord, that art the God of the Just, hast not appointed repentance to the Just, to Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob, which have not sinned against thee; but thou hast appointed repentance unto me that am a sinner." The "Testament of Abraham" also speaks of Abraham as being without sin. In so much as it is a fact that the Koran itself, as well as earlier and later Muhammadan theology, was greatly influenced by apocryphal stories and teachings, it is not impossible that they may have had something to do with the development of the Muhammadan doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets, but it is the writer's belief that there was another factor that may be regarded as demonstrably a more potent and more immediate cause.

But before undertaking to develop the thesis that the Islamic dogma of the "sinlessness" of the prophets is a direct outgrowth or corollary of the Shi'ite doctrine of the Imamate, it is of the utmost importance to observe that the sinlessness of the prophets is not supported by the Koran. In its references to Adam and to Moses and to David, for example, we find statements regarding their sins. Adam was banished from Paradise for disobedience to God. It is true that the Devil is made responsible to some
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3 Tisdall, Religion of the Crescent, Appendix.
extent for the fall of Adam and Eve, but at the same time they were punished for their transgression:

"And we said, 'O Adam! dwell thou and thy wife in the Garden and eat ye plentifully from wherever ye list; but to this tree come not nigh, lest ye become the transgressors.' But Shaitan made them slip from it, and caused their banishment from the place where they were. And we said, 'Get ye down, the one of you an enemy to the other; and there shall be for you in the Earth a dwelling place, and a provision for a time.' " (Surah ii. 35-36.)

In the acknowledgment of their fault, also, Adam and Eve are represented as using the same expression in the Arabic as that used by God in warning them:

"O our Lord, with ourselves we have dealt unjustly: if thou forgive us not and have not pity on us, we shall surely be of those who perish" (Surah vii. 22).

The Koran also mentions that Moses killed a man and fled to the people of Midian, and that he afterwards confessed:

"'This is the work of Shaitan; for he is an enemy, a manifest misleader.' Then he said, 'O my Lord, I have sinned to my own hurt, forgive me.' So God forgave him, for he is the Forgiving, the Merciful" (Surah xxviii. 21-25).

The account in the Koran of David's sin (Surah xxxviii, 21-25), shows that two pleaders came to David with a case for him to judge, the one charging the other with having taken his one ewe when he already had ninety-nine ewes of his own. David pronounces judgment, after which follows the statement: "And David was sure that we had tried him, so he sought the protection of his Lord, and he fell down, bowing time after time to him" (verse 24).

In connection with these statements in the Koran about the sins of Adam and Moses and David, a comparison of some of the words and expressions that are used in describing other characters whose sins are freely admitted would not be out of place. In the following references the expressions in italic type are used also by the prophets in acknowledging their sins:

1. (Surah xxix, 38-39) "And Corah and Pharaoh and Haman. With proofs of his mission did Moses come to them, and they behaved proudly on the Earth: but us they could not outstrip. For everyone did we seize in his sin. Against some of them did we send a stone-charged wind: some of them did the terrible cry of Gabriel surprise: for some of them we cleaved the Earth: and some of them we drowned. And it was not God who would deal wrongly by them, but they wronged themselves."

2. (Surah iv, 67) "We have not sent any apostle but to be obeyed, if God so will: but if they, after they have sinned to their own hurt by unbelief, come to see thee and ask pardon of God, and the Apostle ask pardon for them, they shall surely find that God is he who turneth unto man, Merciful.

In addition to the fact that the Koran neither states nor confirms the doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets, it is significant to observe that in the earliest Muhammadan apologetic literature versus Christianity, particularly in two such presentations of orthodox Muslim beliefs that date from the third century after the Hegira, this doctrine is not enunciated. The first of these records is a brief letter that was written by Abdullah ibn Isma'il, the Hashimite, to Abd'ul Masih ibn Ishaq al-Kindi. This letter dates from the reign of the Caliph Mamun (A.D. 813-833). Although the writer's express purpose was to convince his friend of the truth of the claims of Islam, this letter makes no reference whatever to the teaching of the sinlessness of the prophets. In the reply, also, which is known as the "Apology of al-Kindi," notwithstanding the fact that much space is devoted to setting forth reasons for refusing to consider Muhammad as a true prophet, the doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets is not referred to, either directly or by implication. Likewise in the similar doctrinal statement that dates from the caliphate of Mutawakkil (A.D. 847-861), the Kitabu'd-Din wa'd-Dawlah, or "The Book of Religion and Empire," there is no discussion whatever of this...
The doctrine of the sinlessness of the Imams are those who also through ignorance ye harm others, and speedily have to repent belief we see also the influence of the rationalistic type of argument"

Another verse that is associated with this in the "For mankind." Historically considered, it is more probable that the teaching of the sinlessness of the prophets in Islam owes both its origin and its acquired importance to the development of the theology of the Shi'ites. In order to establish the claims of the Imams, as over against the claims of the Sunni Caliphs, the discontented Shi'ites evolved the doctrine of the sinlessness of the Imams, and of the prophets also, in so much as they acted as Imams or as guides for mankind, and whom God made it necessary for men to obey. In the way the Shi'ite theologians state the proofs for obedience to the Shi'ite Imam, and this is the reason they have been able to accept guides for mankind, and whom God made necessary for men to obey. In the way the Shi'ite theologians state the proofs for this belief we see also the influence of the rationalistic type of argument that characterized the Mu'tazilites. In the elaboration of the doctrine great stress is laid repeatedly on God's saying to Abraham (Surah ii, 118), "For I have appointed you as a leader (imám) for mankind."

Another verse that is associated with this in the proof of the sinlessness of the prophet-imams is: "O Believers, if any bad man come to you with news, clear it up at once, lest through ignorance ye harm others, and speedily have to repent of what ye have done" (Surah xlix, 6). The query is, How could God require men to obey Abraham if Abraham was a sinner? And Majlisi asks, "How could any reasoning mind accept the teaching that the imám or guide of mankind should himself be one of the sons of Hell, for the Most High has appointed Hell as the place for the unrighteous, according to the verse, 'And for those who transgress, their abode is the fire'" (Surah xxxii. 20). "The scholars of the Sunnites," he says, "who do not accept the doctrine of the sinlessness of the Imams are those who also fail to see that cruelty and corruption should disqualify an Imam, and this is the reason they have been able to accept..."
evidence in the *Tabsiratu'l-Awwam* or "Consideration of the Common People," the early work in Persian on Comparative Religion that was written by Sayyid Murtaza, the Alam al-Huda, during the same period. For this useful writer openly ridiculed the Sunnis for holding the contrary belief.

The doctrine of *iṣna* was not mentioned in the Canonical Hadith (Wensinck, "The Muslim Creed," p. 217). It was stated as an orthodox belief, as early as the latter part of the tenth century in the Fīkh Akbar II, not long after Kulaini (Kāfī, i, 98) had included it in traditions from the Imams. There is question, however, as to how rapidly it was accepted in the Sunnite community, for as late as al-Ghazzali (d. A.D. 1111), we find an expression of what would seem to be a contrary opinion:

"The proof of the invariable necessity of repentance in all cases is that no one of mankind is free from bodily sin. The prophets also were not free from it, for the Koran and the Traditions mention the sins of the prophets, together with their repentance and weeping for them. For in case a man should be free from bodily sin, he would not be free from sins in his heart. And if in any way he should be free from sins in his heart, still he would not be free from the suggestions of Shaitan, which bring in scattering thoughts which distract a man from thinking of God. If indeed he should be free from the suggestions of Shaitan, he would still not be free from neglect and imperfection in his knowledge of God, both as to his attributes and his works. All this means deficiency and there are causes for it. The way to get rid of these causes is to be occupied with things opposite to them, and thus return from one way to an entirely different way. In this sense the meaning of repentance is 'returning.' It is inconceivable that anyone should be free from the deficiency that makes it necessary, for sin is a fact in the experience of every man. The Prophet, peace be upon him, has said, 'There is a covering of sin on my heart until I have asked God's forgiveness seventy times during the day and night.' And for this confession the Most High honoured him when He said, 'May God forgive your former and your latter sins.' Therefore, if this was true of the Prophet, what must be the condition of others?"

But about a century later than al-Ghazzali there lived among the Sunnites the influential writer Fakhr ad-Din ar-Rāzī (d. A.D. 1210). As Dr. Goldziher has pointed out, he was one of the most zealous advocates of the doctrine of the sinlessness of the Prophets. Besides treating the subject in the appropriate places in his Commentary on the Koran, he wrote a separate book, entitled *Iṣnaṭa'l-Anbiya* or the 'Sinlessness of the Prophets,' in which he took up each prophet mentioned, giving his explanations and references and disproving other opinions with copious argument." Like his famous predecessor al-Ghazzali he was one of the Shi‘īs, and also like al-Ghazzali he was strongly influenced by the devotional methods of speculative thinking that characterized the Ṣūfīs, who, like the Mu‘tazilites of earlier times, were a transmitting medium for the exchange of ideas between the Sunnites and the Shi‘ītes. Usually whatever the main group has learned from the other has come through either the Sūfīs or the Mu‘tazilites. For example, the famous Sūfī al-Shārānī, who lived more than two centuries before ar-Rāzī, wrote that the Prophet could see behind him as well as in front of him, could see in the dark, could change his own height, and that his body never cast a shadow for it was full of light. Such conceptions are attributed to ideas the Shi‘ītes had formed very early in regard to their Imams, to whom the Prophet must of course not be inferior, and which, as we have seen, have been continually influenced by the underlying conception of the 'light of Muhammad.'

It was due to the writings of ar-Rāzī, therefore, that the present dogma of the sinlessness of the prophets was finally included in the *IJMA* or general agreement, of the Sunnite theologians. The contribution of the Mu‘tazilites was the distinction between the 'great' and the 'little' sins. According to ar-Rāzī most

---

4. See chapter xii; also art. "Incarnation," in the *Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics."
of the Mu'tazilites taught that it was not permissible to consider that the prophets should intentionally commit 'great' sins, but they might intend the 'little' ones, on the condition that they should be inconsequential and not be loathsome or base.

In the accepted creed of al-Faḍlālī, who ranks as a modern Sunnite theologian, some six hundred years later than ar-Rāzī, we read that the "Forty-third article of belief which it is necessary to accept is the trustworthiness of the apostles, that is, their being preserved from falling into things forbidden or disliked."¹ The creed of an-Nasāfī, who died two generations before ar-Rāzī, contains merely the statement that "a great sin (kabira) does not exclude the creature who believes from the Belief (imān) and does not make him an unbeliever,"² which is the connection in which the Mu'tazilite distinction between sins was first and more generally accepted. But an-Nasāfī makes no declaration whatsoever about the sinlessness of the prophets.

It is interesting to observe that in the detailed instruction that is given for inflection in reading the Koran, "after reading the verse 'Adam disobeyed his Lord and went astray' (Surah xx. 116), the reader should not pause but quickly pass on to the following words, 'Afterwards His Lord chose him for himself and was turned towards him.' The idea is, that as Adam was one of the Anbiyā'-ul-Azm, the six chief prophets, the stress should be laid on God's forgiveness of his fault and not on his disobedience."³ Thus even Adam may be qualified to share in the necessary sinlessness of the prophets and the Imams.⁴

---

¹ MacDonald, Development of Muslim Theology, Jurisprudence and Constitutional Theory, p. 347.
² Idem, op. cit., p. 311.
⁴ In his recent article in the Encyclopaedia of Islam on "Imān," Dr. Goldziher observes that the Sunnite theologians differ as to the extent of the immunity of the prophets from error and sin, for questions arise "as to whether the immunity existed before or only after the prophetic calling, and as to whether it is from all kinds of sin or only applies to minor slips. It is applied in unlimited fashion to Muhammad only, in opposition to his own judgment. Among Sunnī authorities Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī in particular extends the imān (immunity from sin and error) to all prophets in the highest degree." The philosopher and physician Ibn Sīna (d. A.D. 1037) accepted the statement that the prophets "are in no way subject to error or forgetfulness." (Goldziher, Vorlesungen, ch. v, sect. 9, note r, with reference to Die Metaphysik Avicennas, trans. by M. Horton, pp. 88 and 19). Cf. T. Andrae, Die Person Muhammeds, pp. 124-134.

---

CHAPTER XXXII

THE PROPHETS AND THE IMAMS AS MEDIATORS

The doctrine that the prophets are mediators before God in behalf of their followers was developed very early after the death of Muhammad. That it was not the intention of Muhammad to teach that he or the prophets would have this responsibility is suggested, however, by the verse in the Koran which says: "No soul shall labour but for itself; and no burdened one shall bear another's burden" (Surah vi. 164), which indicates a belief in individual responsibility before God. We find also that Muhammad ridiculed the Jews for the way they trusted complacently that their punishment would be mitigated because of their prophet's mediation or by virtue of their privileges as people of the Covenant:

"Hast thou not marked those who have received a portion of the Scriptures, when they are summoned to the Book of God (the Koran), that it may settle their differences? Then did a part of them turn back, and withdrew far off. This—because they said, 'The fire shall by no means touch us, but for certain days'—their own devices have deceived them in their religion" (Surah iii. 22-23).

On the last sentence in this passage al-Baidawi comments, "that the fire would not touch them, except for a few days, or that their fathers, the prophets, would mediate for them, or that the Most High had promised Jacob that he would not punish his children beyond the stipulations of the Covenant." The following verse in the Koran reads, "But how, when we shall assemble them together for the day of (which) whose coming is no doubt, and when every soul shall be paid what it hath earned, and they shall not be wronged?" (Surah iii. 24).

In modern Islam, however, both the Sunnite and the Shi'ite
accept the intercession of Muhammad on the Day of Judgment as a necessary belief. "And it must be believed that he (Muhammad) will make intercession (shafa'a) on the day of Resurrection in the midst of the Judgment, when we shall stand and long to depart, even though it be into the Fire. Then he shall intercede that they may depart from the Station (mawqif), and this intercession belongs to him only."1 Ample ground for this authoritative declaration of al-Fadali's is found in the traditions, where there are numerous references that show both that intercession was one of Muhammad's prerogatives in contradiction to the prophets and that it is a function that is exercised likewise by other prophets and martyrs and individual members of the Muslim community.2 It is said in fact that "seventy thousand will enter Paradise through the intercession of one member of the community."

One of the traditions that shows the far-reaching necessity of the intercession of Muhammad is as follows:3

"It is recorded that when Adam was punished and sent into the world on account of his sin, he repented of his sins with weeping and sorrow, but his repentance was not accepted, until at length he took Muhammad, the Apostle of God, for his mediator, saying, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!' God asked him, 'Whence knowest thou Muhammad?' Adam replied, 'At the time when thou didst create me, the foot of the Throne was straight opposite my sight, and I beheld written upon Allah. Then I knew that the dearest and noblest of beings in the world on account of his sin, he repented of his sins with tears, and said, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!'" God asked him, 'What dost thou wish to do?' Adam replied, 'I wish to intercede for my sins.' God said, 'Thou shalt intercede, but I shall intercede also.'"

"At length he took Muhammad, the Apostle of God, for his mediator, saying, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!' God asked him, 'Whence knowest thou Muhammad?' Adam replied, 'At the time when thou didst create me, the foot of the Throne was straight opposite my sight, and I beheld written upon Allah. Then I knew that the dearest and noblest of beings in the world on account of his sin, he repented of his sins with tears, and said, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!'" God asked him, 'What dost thou wish to do?' Adam replied, 'I wish to intercede for my sins.' God said, 'Thou shalt intercede, but I shall intercede also.'"

One of the traditions that shows the far-reaching necessity of the intercession of Muhammad is as follows:3

"It is recorded that when Adam was punished and sent into the world on account of his sin, he repented of his sins with weeping and sorrow, but his repentance was not accepted, until at length he took Muhammad, the Apostle of God, for his mediator, saying, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!' God asked him, 'Whence knowest thou Muhammad?' Adam replied, 'At the time when thou didst create me, the foot of the Throne was straight opposite my sight, and I beheld written upon it: There is no God but Allah; Muhammad is the Apostle of Allah. Then I knew that the dearest and noblest of beings in the world on account of his sin, he repented of his sins with tears, and said, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!'" God asked him, 'What dost thou wish to do?' Adam replied, 'I wish to intercede for my sins.' God said, 'Thou shalt intercede, but I shall intercede also.'"

"At length he took Muhammad, the Apostle of God, for his mediator, saying, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!' God asked him, 'Whence knowest thou Muhammad?' Adam replied, 'At the time when thou didst create me, the foot of the Throne was straight opposite my sight, and I beheld written upon it: There is no God but Allah; Muhammad is the Apostle of Allah. Then I knew that the dearest and noblest of beings in the world on account of his sin, he repented of his sins with tears, and said, 'O God, forgive my sins for Muhammad's sake!'" God asked him, 'What dost thou wish to do?' Adam replied, 'I wish to intercede for my sins.' God said, 'Thou shalt intercede, but I shall intercede also.'"
together with our mother the Virgin, will accept sufferings according to the best of our ability.' Standing by the grave of Muḥammad, before departing on the fatal journey to Kerbala, Ḥusain says, 'How can I forget thy people, since I am going to offer myself voluntarily for their sakes?' and Muḥammad tells him he has taken off from his heart the burden of grief he had for the future state of mankind; and Ḥusain departs with this speech, which savours of Sufism: 'I have found behind this veil what my heart has sought after for years. Now I am made free. I have washed my hands of life. I have girded myself to do the will of God.' And so throughout the journey and on the field of battle, he and all those about him are continually referring to this voluntary expiation of the sins of his people; and he dies with this thought, in meek compliance with the will of God, and will awake at the Resurrection with the intercessory power he has purchased with his blood.

"Without the introduction of this important element of self-sacrifice to idealize the character of Ḥusain, the unvarnished tale might not call forth the intense sympathy with which it is received among the Shi'a. When Ḥusain has been represented as a self-renouncing redeemer of men, and his sufferings voluntarily undergone out of love for mankind, the tragedy wears a new interest and gains a wider influence. The Persian sects have always shown a leaning towards asceticism and the renouncing of self—or what they fancied such—and this sacrifice of Ḥusain immediately appealed to their predisposition. But more than this; the story of a life surrendered for others' sake, the sad devotedness of Ḥusain, stir a feeling that exists in every heart—a certain admiration for self-denial which the most selfish men feel—a sort of admiration for high ideals of conduct which has a corner in the most unromantic heart. It is the sorrowful resignedness, the willing yet tortured self-dedication of the martyr, that touches. One may see in it a Christian side to Islam. In the dry severity of the Arabian faith there is too little of the self-giving love which renounces all, even life itself, for the sake of others; there is more of the stiff-necked pharaonic pride which holds up its righteous head on its assured way to the pleasures of Paradise. The death of Ḥusain, as idealized by after ages, fills up this want in Islam; it is the womanly against the masculine, the Christian as opposed to the Jewish, element that this story supplies to the religion of Muḥammad."1

With Muḥammad as the special mediator for the community of Islam, and with Ḥusain as the "self-renouncing redeemer," it is easy to see how the present widespread reliance on the intercession of saints and martyrs has come as an altogether natural sequence. Strange as it may seem in the light of the rigid logic of the early faith, it is no more extraordinary, perhaps, nor less natural to a highly imaginative people, than the changed attitude that came to prevail towards Muḥammad. The fact is that both of these developments are astounding to anyone who studies them in their wider significance. Muḥammad wanted to be an ordinary son of man, but later biographers have represented him as "the incarnation of Divine Light": and as Dr. Hurgronje has pointed out, "the intercession of saints has become indispensable to the community of Muḥammad, who, according to Tradition, cursed the Jews and Christians because they worshipped the shrines of their prophets. Almost every Moslem village has its patron saint; every country has its national saints; every province of human life has its own human rulers, who are inter­mediate between the Creator and the common mortals."2

While this observation is particularly applicable to the Shi'ite community of Islam, in that prophets, martyrs, saints and mystic poets are popularly considered as possible mediators or advocates with God for their friends, yet in the systematic writings of Shi'ite theologians it is noteworthy that this general belief in intercessors has been modified and restricted by the central principle that the Imams are the true guides. In the following section from the Hayātū'l-Ḳulub,3 Majlisi makes it very clear that the true intercessors for mankind are the Imams.

1 Stanley Lane-Poole, Studies in a Mosque, p. 218 ff.
2 Hurgronje, op. cit., p. 85.
3 Majlisi, Hayātū'l-Ḳulub, III, ch. 1, sect. 7.
Guidance and Salvation is only through the Imams.

"The Imams are the mediators between God and mankind. Except by their intercession it is impossible for men to avoid the punishment of God."

"Ibn Babuwañhi has recorded that the Imam Ṣadik related, 'Our responsibility on behalf of mankind is great indeed, for if we call upon them to accept us they will not do so, and if we let them go their way they will not find other guides.' Likewise the same traditionist remarks that the Apostle of God said to Ali, 'There are three things that I swear to be true. The first is that you and your descendants are mediators for mankind, as they will not be able to know God except through your introduction. The second is that you are to present to God those who will enter Paradise, i.e., those who recognize you and those whom you recognize. The third is that you are the absolute mediators, for those who will go to Hell will only be those who do not recognize you and whom you do not recognize.'

"It is said also that the Imam Ḥasan Askari wrote a letter in which he said, 'God, by his favour and mercy, has put obligations upon you, not because of his own need of anything, but altogether out of his mercy, because there is no other God for you. God's object has been for you to be able to distinguish between good and evil, and that he should make trial of your desires, so that your purposes should be pure, that you may advance in his mercy and that your situation in Paradise may be prosperous. This is why he has required of you the ceremonial circumambulation of the Kaaba, when you wear the īhrām; and has made necessary for you fixed prayers, the giving of an appointed portion to the poor, fasting, and friendship for those of the Household. This friendship is like a door before you, leading you to your duties—a door that has its key within it. For if it had not been for Muhammad and his descendants, you would still have been helplessly scattered among the four-footed beasts and would not have comprehended any of your duties. Are you able to enter a city except through its gate? Accordingly, God has rewarded you that after the coming of your Prophet, the Imams came with authority to lead you. For on the day at Ghadir Khum God said, 'This day have I perfected your religion for you, and have filled up the measure of my favours upon you, and it is my pleasure that Islam be your religion' (Surah v. 5). And the Prophet went on to explain, 'I have made obligatory for you and your friends several duties. What you have is permitted to you, your husbands and your property, and what you eat and drink, and that God should remember you and give you blessing bountifully, so that it will be evident who obeys God in secret.' And again God has said, 'Say: For this I ask no wage of you, save the love of my kin' (Surah xiii. 22). Accordingly you should understand that whoever withholds his gift really withholds something from his own soul, for the advantage would be for himself, as God is independent of your help, whereas you are poor and needy in relation to God. Therefore, after truth has been revealed to you, do what you think best. "But God will behold your work, and so will his Apostle, and the faithful" (Surah ix. 106). Your return will be to him who knows all things, whether evident or secret. He will give word concerning what you have done. A favourable end awaits those who are pious, "and praise be to God, the Lord of the Worlds" (Surah xxxvii. 182).

"It is related also from the Imam Bakir that the Apostle said, 'O Āli, when the day of Judgment comes, we will sit, you and I, with Gabriel at the Sirāt (the rope bridge going to Paradise), and no one will be able to pass this bridge unless he has a permit, showing that he is not deserving of Hell and that he has been friendly to you.'

"And Shaikh Tusi has called attention to a statement from the Imam Sadik, who said, 'We are mediators between you and the Most High.' And from the Imam Ḥusain he has related that the Apostle said to the Amiru'l-Mu'minin, 'O Āli, you and your followers are in Paradise.'

"And Shaikh Tabarsi gives the testimony of a man from Basra who came and said to the Imam Bakir, 'Hasan al-Basri teaches that those who hide learning even the people of Hell will rebuke and torture.' The Imam answered, 'If this were the case, then the believers from the household of Pharaoh would have been destroyed, for God has said concerning them, 'And a man of the family of Pharaoh who was a believer but hid his faith' (Surah xi. 29). Learning was always hidden and secret, from the day when God sent Noah as a prophet. So Ḥasan al-Basri has the choice to go to the right or to the left. For my part, I swear before God that learning can only be attained from the Household of the Prophet, peace be upon them.' And the Imam Bakir went on to say, 'We have a great burden on behalf of mankind. If we call them to God they will not accept our invitation, whereas if we leave them to themselves they will have no guidance.'

"Also it is related in a credible tradition that the Imam Bakir said, 'Through us God should be worshipped and may be known, and through us mankind may know God's unity, and that Muhammad is the mediator of God, who intercedes with God for men.' Again it is said that he declared, 'Whoever calls upon God through our mediation is saved, and whoever calls upon...
God through others is not only destroyed himself but is the means of destroying others."

This fundamental belief of Shi'ite Muslims in the Imams as mediators is easily illustrated from the official prayers that are appointed for use when visiting their shrines. One of these prayers, which is written so as to be applicable to any or all of the Imams, and which is therefore called the Ziarat-i-Jami'a, or "Prayer of Visitation for All," is here quoted, with Majlisi's introductory remark:

"By this prayer, which is appropriate for each and every Imam, their help may be sought, needs may be made known to them, and blessings may be solicited. When the Imam Rida, the eighth Imam, was asked how the pilgrim should pray at the tomb of his father, the Imam Musa, he replied: 'You must pray in the mosques which are in the neighbourhood of his tomb, and it is sufficient to use the following prayer in behalf of every Imam:

I

Peace be to the friends of the Chosen Ones of God;
Peace be to the Trusted and Favoured of God;
Peace be to the Helpers and Representatives of God;
Peace be to the places where God has been made known;
Peace be to the places where God is remembered.

Peace be to those who have revealed God's commands;
Peace be to those who call upon God;
Peace be to those who obey what God has approved;
Peace be to the tested followers of God's will;
Peace be to those who are Proofs for God (the Imams);
Peace be upon their friends, for they are the friends of God;
As likewise their enemies are the enemies of God.
Those who have known them have surely known God;
And those ignorant of them are ignorant of God.
Those who take them by the hand, and commit themselves to them,
Have given their hands to God:
But those who abandon them have truly abandoned God.

"I bear witness before God that I am loyal to whoever is loyal to Thee, and I am ready to fight those who are not loyal to Thee.

The Friendship of Ali is my Stronghold

Aṣ-Ṣudūk, mercy be upon him, has related in the ʿUyuni Akhbāriʿ-ʾRiḍa, unto him be peace, that Ahmad ibn al-Hasan al-ʿAttān said, Abduʿr-Rahmān ibn Muḥammad al-Husainī said to me, Muḥammad ibn Ibrahim ibn Muḥammad al-Fuzārī said to me, Abdulla ibn Bakhīr al-Huwārī said to me, Abūl Ḥasan Ali ibn Amr said to me, al-Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Juhūr said to me, that Ali ibn Balāl said, on the authority of Ali ibn Musa ar-ʿRiḍa, peace be upon him, from Musa ibn Jaʿfar, from Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad, from Muḥammad ibn Ali, from Ali ibn al-Ḥusain, from Ḥusain ibn Ali, from Ali ibn Abu ʿṬalib, upon him and upon them be peace, from the Prophet, the favour of God be upon him and his family, from Jibra’īl, from Mīkāʾīl, from Isrāʿīl, from the Tablet (al-lauh) and Pen (al-kalam) (of Divine decrees), that God the Most High said: ‘‘The friendship of Ali is my stronghold, and whoever enters my stronghold is safe from my punishment.’’

Dated in the month Rajab, 1323 A.H.

‘‘The unrighteous Muḥammad ibn Abūl-Ghasim al-Husainī has written it.’’
I have faith in Thy works that are manifest, in those also that are hidden, and in Thy purposes. I give all to Thee. May God curse all the enemies of Muhammad, whether Men or Jinns, from the first to the last. I pray God for their destruction, but peace be upon the Prophet and his successors.”

A second prayer for the use of pilgrims to the shrines of any of the Imams is attributed by Ibn Babawalhi to the Imam Ali Naqî. Someone asked him, “O descendant of the Prophet of God, teach me the good and perfect word, that I may repeat it whenever I visit the tomb of any of the Imams.” He replied, “When you enter the court of one of these tombs, stand and say:

‘I bear witness that there is no God but the one God, and he has no partner; and I bear witness that Muhammad, blessing be upon him and his descendants, is the servant of God and was sent by Him.’

The Imam then added these instructions, “It is necessary that you should have bathed, and when you enter the court and see the tomb, stand and repeat thirty times, ‘God is great.’ Proceed, then pause and repeat again thirty times, ‘God is great.’ Afterwards you may go close to the tomb and repeat forty times, ‘God is great,’ when the one hundredth time will have been completed. Then pray as follows:

‘Peace be upon thee, O ye of the Household of the Prophet, of the place of the Prophet, the place frequented by the angels, where revelation was given, where mercy was shown, where learning is kept and wisdom is complete; the place of guidance and where forgiveness is perfect. You are the Lords of all Bounty, of the Choicest of Those Sent, the Descendants of the Best of God’s Creation—may the mercy and blessing of God be upon you.

‘Peace be upon the true Imams, upon the Lights in Darkness, upon the Manifestations of Piety, the possessors of Reason, the Masters of Sagacity, the Caves of Refuge, the Heirs of the Prophets, the Exalted Examples (from God), those who summon men to goodness, who are the Proofs of God upon the Earth, at the beginning and at the end—may the mercy and blessing of God be upon you.

‘I testify that there is no God but the one God, and He has no partner. He has given witness to himself, and so have the angels and the learned of those whom He has created borne witness to Him. There is no God except the God who is mighty and wise, and I bear witness that Muhammad is His servant, the Chosen servant, and the Apostle who has pleased Him, and whom He sent with the true Faith, that he should make it prevail over all religions (Surah xlviii. 28), notwithstanding the opposition of those who associate partners with God.

‘I bear witness that you are indeed the Imams, who indicate the True path, the ones who have found Guidance, who are Sinless, the Noble, the Near to God, the Pious, the Upright, the Elect, the Obedient to God, who are firm adherents to His commands. You are those who fulfil His will, and who are saved by His goodness, for God chose to impart His learning to you, chose you to understand what is not revealed—so you know His secrets; and God has appointed you to exercise His authority, and has made you victorious by His guidance. He has given you His own proof, his Light, and has helped you with His Spirit. He has designated you to be His Representatives, His Caliphs on the Earth; His Proofs for His creatures; Helpers of the Faith; Guardians of His mysteries; the Repositories of His learning; the Trusted with His wisdom; the Interpreters of His revelation; the Supporters of His unity; the Witnesses for His creation; the Standards for His servants; the Minarets for His cities; and the Proofs of His way. God has chosen to keep you from errors and rebellion. He has kept you from pollution and impurity (Surah xxxiii. 33). Therefore you have exalted God’s glory and have dignified His name and praised His goodness. You have constantly thought on Him and have kept his covenant. In your obedience to Him you have received counsel in your outer and your inner lives. Thus you have summoned men to God by wisdom and good preaching (Surah xvi. 126). You have given your lives to please Him and have endured what has happened to you for His sake. You have established the Prayers and the Alms, have commanded the good and forbidden the evil, and you have conducted for God the kind of holy war that needs to be carried on.’"

When the pilgrim has finished his prayers at the appointed places in the shrine of an Imam and is ready to say “Farewell,” he should pray as follows:

“Peace be upon thee, the peace invoked by those who say farewell, not wishing you evil, not depreciating you, and not with
envy: may the mercy and blessing of God be upon thee, O Household of the Prophet, for He is the Glorious and the Accepted. Peace be upon thee, the peace of the friend that does not desert thee or change His attitude towards thee; who does not try to offer anything to thee, and who does not approach thee indirectly, and who does not come reluctantly. May God not let this visit to thee be my last, this coming to the place of your martyrdom, the place of your burial. Peace be unto thee, and not try to offer anything to thee, and who does not approach thee indirectly, and who does not desert thee or change His attitude towards thee; who does

...
of the simple-minded among those rejecting the Imams, they
shall, like other unbelievers, remain in Hell for ever.

"A few writers maintain, that after a very long time indeed,
there is some hope that they may be delivered. The simple-
minded include those who through weakness of reason are unable
distinquish between right and wrong. There is no proof of
their responsibility. They are such unfortunates as those who
have been born and brought up in the *harem* of a Sunnite king,
who have not heard of differences in belief; or in case they have
heard, who have had no one to show them the truth of the
Imamate. For them there is hope of salvation at last. My own
opinion is that except for the simple-minded there is no hope of
salvation whatever for those who deny the Imams, but their lot
is everlasting punishment. For both the Sunnites and the Shi'ites
have a tradition that the Apostle said, 'Whoever dies and does not
know the Imam of his own time, dies in the state of ignorance in
which men died before the appointment of the Prophet of God,'
i.e., those who died in unbelief or in ignorance of the tenets of the
faith. Some of the scholars of the Sunnites say that the meaning
of the expression 'imam-i-zamaniyya' is the *Quran*,
but every
reasoning man knows that, while it is possible to refer this to the
Book, still that is not its obvious meaning. The significance of
the word *zamaniyya* (of the time) makes this evident, namely,
that every time has its *imam*, whereas the *Quran* is for all the
times. Others say that the expression refers to the Apostle, but
this may be answered in the same way, for no one would call an
imam who died 'the imam of the time,' so evidently the true
idea is that in every time there should be an imam whom men
might know. With the exception of the Shi'ites, however, there
are no Moslems who teach that there is an imam for every
time and that no time is without its imam. . . . Kulaini and Na'mani
have related traditions from Abu Basir, who said that he asked
the Imam Riqa about this verse, 'Who is more surely lost than
he who follows his desires without guidance from God.' The
Imam replied that the person referred to was anyone who held
his faith according to his own thought, without following any of
the imams sent by God. . . . Ali ibn Ibrahim and Ibn Babu-
waihi and others have related on good authority from the Imam
Baqir that God does not excuse, on the Judgment Day, anyone
who says, 'O Preserver, I did not know that the children of
Fatima were Thy representatives among mankind, and for all the
Shi'ites. Then this verse was quoted (Surah xxxix. 54),
'Say: O my servants who have transgressed to your own hurt,
despair not of God's mercy, for all sins doth God forgive. Gracious, merciful is He!' But the Imam's point was that the
Shi'ites are those who deserve the forgiveness of their sins, not
others of mankind, for the others are to remain in Hell for ever.

"Himyari has related from the Imam Riqa that whoever
desires that there should be no separation or curtain between
himself and God, and trusts the mercy of God, and believes that
God intends to have mercy upon him, his course of action is to
love the Household of Muhammad and not be at enmity with
them, but rather to follow the imam of the time from among
them. Whoever does this will always be depending on the mercy
and generosity of God, and God's intention to have mercy upon
him will not be thwarted. (Here several additional traditions
are omitted.)

"Accordingly we see that the knowledge of God is explained
as meaning the knowledge of the *imam*, for it is impossible to
know God except through knowing the Imam. Otherwise men
might think of God as one who would create mankind and then
leave them helpless, not appointing any imam for them, and
hence they would not esteem God as kind and generous. . . .

"Kulaini and Barqi and Na'mani have all related from the
Imam Baqir that whoever worships God 'whoever worships God with the sort of worship'
that involves great exertion and bodily fatigue, but who does
not believe in the righteous Imam, who by God's favour is sinless,
then his worship cannot be accepted, for his efforts are not
worthy in the sight of God. He is therefore but one of those
who are lost and bewildered. He is like a sheep who has lost
both his shepherd and his flock, and is wandering hither and
yon all day. As darkness came on he thought he saw his flock
and their shepherd and went and joined them for the night. But when the shepherd was ready to take them out to pasture the next morning, the sheep saw that it was not his own shepherd at all, so he again became a wanderer, seeking his own flock and his own shepherd. Then he saw another flock and wanted to join it. The shepherd of that flock called him, seeing that he was a wanderer, seeking his own flock and his own shepherd. But again he was disappointed, for it was not his shepherd, to lead him to his own pasture and to bring him to his own fold at night. He was a wanderer again, when a wolf came and found him, and took advantage of his being alone and ate him.

Such is the man who wakes to find that he does not have an Imam. He finds himself a wanderer, and if he continues in this state he will die the death of an unbeliever.

The Covenant Agreement

The Imam who is spoken of as the Master of the Age (sahib al-zaman) is the Twelfth Imam, Muhammad ibn Askari, who is considered to have disappeared in about the year 260 A.H., but who still lives in concealment. He, therefore, is the imam-izamaniyyah (the imam of the time or age) whom all loyal Shi'ites must acknowledge. By a trustworthy tradition from the sixth Imam, Ja'far as-Sadiq, Majlisi observes in the Tuhfatu'z-Za'irin, it is said that whoever repeats the following pledge or covenant for forty mornings will be among the established companions of the Imams, and if he should die before the appearance of the Twelfth Imam, God will cause him to rise from the grave and to minister to the Imam at the time of his coming. For every word that he repeats of this creed, God will give him one thousand degrees of merit and will forgive one thousand of his sins.

"O God, Thou who art the Master of the Great Light, and the Master of the Exalted Throne, and the Preserver of the Swollen Sea (Koran lii. 6), and the Sender of the Taurat and the Injil and the Zabur, and Master of the Shade and the Sun-light, Thou who art the one sending down the great Koran, the Master of the Nearer Angels (i.e., Gabriel, Michael, Azrail and Israfil), and the Master of the Prophets and of the Apostles; O God, I pray towards Thy generous face, Thy light-giving countenance, Thy Kingdom is of old, O thou Living and Eternal; I beseech Thee by Thy name, which hath enlightened the Heaven and the Earth, by Thy name which hath ordered all things at the beginning and at the end; O Thou who wert living before other life, O Thou who wilt live after all life, when there shall be no life; O Lord, Thou giver of life to the dead and who dost decree death to the living, O Thou living God, there is no God besides Thee.

"O God, bless our Master the Imam, our Guide and Leader, the one who serves Thee, peace be upon him and his pure feathers, and upon all the believers, male and female, in the East and the West, among the hills and on the plains, on the land and on the sea. For myself and on the part of my parents, I wish blessing upon the Ornament of the Throne of God, upon the Ink of His Scripture, and in the measure I estimate his Knowledge, let him see the exaltation of his Book.

"O God, I renew my covenant through the Imam this morning, and for the time my life continues, as a covenant and agreement and promise through him, and as my sacred obligation. I will not avoid it or break it. O God, keep me among his friends and companions and defenders, those to arrive quickly at his service and to meet his needs, those who carry out his commands, those who resist opposition to Thee, those who anticipate Thy will and find their opportunity for martyrdom in his behalf.

"O God, if only death stands between me and the Imam, the death that Thou hast decreed for Thy servants as inevitable, then deliver me from my grave—arrayed in my grave clothes, my sword evident, my naked lance in my hand, myself in readiness to answer the summons of my leader, in commands immediate and remote.

"O God, show me his good face, as pleasing as the new moon, that he may look upon me for the healing of my eyes and that he may hasten his coming; and do Thou facilitate his coming, and prepare his way, that his staff may guide me: and foster his rule and strengthen his arm. O God, through him restore Thy cities,
and through him revive Thy servants, for Thou hast promised and Thy promise is secure. 'But corruption has appeared on the land and the sea on account of what the hands of men have wrought' (Koran xxx. 41, edit. Muhammad 'Ali).

"And manifest to us, O God, Thy Representative, the descendant of the daughter of Thy Prophet, the namesake of Thy Apostle, that he may overthrow all that is vain and worthless and establish the truth for those who are worthy. O God, appoint him as a place of refuge for Thy oppressed servants, a helping friend to those who have no friends but Thee, that he may hasten the fulfilment of what has been neglected from the requirements of Thy word; and make him a champion of the standard of Thy faith and of the saying of Thy Prophet, may the mercy of God be upon him and his successors. And, O God, guard him within Thine own fortress from the evil of those who oppose him. And, O God, make Thy Prophet to rejoice at the sight of him and of those who obey his call. O God, be merciful to the helpless, and take away grief and sorrow from this people by granting his presence, and hasten his appearance. 'They, forsooth, regard that day as distant, but we see it nigh' (Koran lxx. 6-7). So may it be, by Thy mercy, O Thou Most Merciful of all who have mercy."
Another century passed, in which their Buwaihid protectors were overthrown, but the Imam continued to remain in the "Great Concealment." A third century passed, a century characterized by oppression, rebellion and dynasties of slaves, but the Imam they prayed for failed to come. And these were the centuries of the Crusades, in which the "people of the Household" participated, but they had no "guidance." On the side of Islam the authority to command in the Holy War was with Saracens and the heretical Fatimids as they repelled the invading armies of the nominally Christian peoples of Europe. Yet the Imam delayed his coming, and even greater calamity fell upon the peoples of Islam. For early in the fourth century after the last of the wakils, the thirteenth century of the Christian era, invading hordes of Mongols came down into Persia, killing and destroying with unmitigated cruelty, and yet in spite of all the ruin and suffering, the longed-for "Master of the Age" did not appear. And as late even as the beginning of the sixteenth century, at the time of the rise of the priest-kings in Adherbaijan, the new dynasty of the Safawids, the only communication with the hidden Imam was in dreams that these kings claimed to have seen.

But toward the close of the eighteenth century there arose a school of heterodox Shi'ite theologians that was known as the "Shaikhs." They were first a group of devotees who gathered around Shaikh Ahmad Ahsai, who lived from about 1741 until 1826. Shaikh Ahmad had come from Ahsa in the province of Bahrein, and he first attracted attention as a teacher of religion and philosophy during his residence in the pilgrimage cities of Kerbala and Najaf. There he saw the people, who were his own people, living in an atmosphere of mourning and despair, and yet they were constantly praying for the return of the Imam, "their own shepherd to lead them to their own pasture." Shaikh Ahmad then went up on to the plateau in Persia, where, after he had visited Kermanshah and Teheran, he made his residence in Yezd. For twelve years he lived in Yezd, though during this time he made several pilgrimages to Mecca. He made a visit also to Haji Mulla Muhammed Ta'ki of Kazvin, who was at that time the outstanding champion of the sternest kind of Shi'ite orthodoxy. It was after this visit that he was officially
pronounced a heretic. He was then seventy-five years old and he left Kazvin to make another pilgrimage to Mecca, but when he was two or three stages from Medina, at a place called Haddé, he fell ill and died on the 28th of June, 1826.

Professor Browne, in his account of the Shaikhis, has shown why it was that Shaikh Ahmad was looked upon as unsound in his teaching. "He believed that the body of man was compounded of parts derived from each of the nine heavens and the four elements; that the grosser elemental part perished irrevocably at death; and that only the more subtle celestial portion would appear at the resurrection. This subtle body he named the huwarkilya body (a name supposed to be of Greek origin), which was believed to be similar in substance to the forms in the "world of similitudes" (alam-i-mithal). Similarly, he denied that the Prophet's material body had, on the occasion of his night journey to heaven (mi'raj), moved from the spot where it lay in a trance or sleep. He was much given to fasts, vigils, and austerities, and believed himself to be under special guidance of the Imams, especially, as it would appear, of the Imam Ja'far as-Sadík. He regarded the Imams as creative forces, quoting in support of this view the expression, 'God, the Best of Creators' (Koran xxvii. 14), 'for,' said he, 'if God be the best of creators, he cannot be the sole creator.' He also adduced in support of this view the tradition wherein the following words are attributed to Ali: 'I am the Creator of the heavens and the earth.' He even went so far as to assert that in reciting the opening chapter of the Koran the worshipper should fix his thoughts on Ali as he repeats the words, 'Thee do we worship.'"

In the Kiṣaṣu'l-Ulama the following works of Shaikh Ahmad are mentioned: a Commentary on the Ziydrat-i-Jam'i'a (four volumes); Answers to Questions; a Commentary on the Arshiyya of Mulla Ṣadrā; a Commentary on the Mashir of Mulla Ṣadrā; a Commentary on the Tabšira-i-Alláma; and the Fawā'id with Commentary.

The second outstanding leader of the Shaikhis was Hájí Sayyid Kazim, of Resht (d. A.D. 1844). His home as a boy was at Ardebil, near the venerated tomb of Shaikh Sa'da ud-Din Išāk, the mystic teacher who was said to have been a descendant of the Imam Musa Kazim and who was the esteemed ancestor of the Safawid dynasty. Sayyid Kazim was only twelve years old when one night there at Ardebil he had a vision in which one of the Imams seemed to communicate to him that he should go and study with Shaikh Ahmad Ahsai, who was then living at Yezd. He went accordingly to Yezd, where he remained as a student and close associate with Shaikh Ahmad until his honoured teacher died, when he was himself unanimously recognized as the leader of the Shaikhī school. For the following seventeen years he continued to disseminate the Shaikhī doctrines, devoting himself mainly to writing. It was before he was fifty years old, however, that he took sick suddenly in Baghdad and died without having chosen any successor. Of his numerous writings, one hundred and twenty-three separate works are mentioned in the Essai sur le Cheikhisme, by A. L. M. Nicolas.

The following brief outline of the teachings of the Shaikhis is based on a recent article by Cl. Huart in the Encyclopædia of Islam:

Principal Beliefs of the Shaikhis.

They protested against the ill-considered acceptance of an immoderate number of traditions.

They regarded the twelve Imams as "the effective cause of creation, being the scene of the manifestation of the divine will, and the interpreters of God's desire. If they had not existed God would not have created anything; they are therefore the ultimate cause of creation. . . . God can only be understood through the intermediary of the Imams."

They asserted that man possesses two bodies: the first is formed of temporal elements and is like a robe that dissolves in the grave; whereas the second subsists as a subtle body that belongs to the invisible world. It is this second body which is resurrected on this Earth and then goes to Paradise or to Hell.

They maintained that knowledge is of two kinds: the first is essential, and has no connection with contingencies; but the second is created, and the Imams are the gates to this knowledge.

They insisted that it was not possible for known things to be eternal. The term ilm-i-imkān, or the "knowledge of possibility," they considered to be applicable to beings before their existence, and they applied the term ilm-i-akwānī, or "knowledge of beings," to all things that exist. But the command (amr) to exist must necessarily precede the thing created (khallāb).

After the death of Hājī Sayyid Kazim the Shaikhīs were anxiously awaiting the appearance of someone to assume the leadership of the party. A number of them went together to the mosque of Kufa, where the twelfth Imam is expected by many to reappear. There they sought for guidance in the choice of their spiritual director. While they devoted themselves to fasting and to prayer that their new leader should be revealed, one of their most influential men is said to have been led to go to Shiraz in order to confer with a certain Mirza Ali Muḥammad, whom he had previously known at Kerbala. The question as to whether Mirza Ali Muḥammad might not be the chosen leader was in his mind. While he visited him at Shiraz he was favourably impressed by a commentary he had written on the Surah of Joseph, and after a few days' further consideration, he announced publicly that it was his personal belief that Mirza Ali Muḥammad was indeed the bāb or "Gate" of communication with the absent Imam. Thus the conviction of the Shaikhīs, that there must be some visible form of present-day revelation from the "hidden Imam," took a very significant form.

The figure of the bāb or "Gate" goes back to one of the oldest and most important of the traditions of the Shi'ites. It is related that the Prophet said, "I am the city of knowledge and Ali is the gate thereof."1 It was a matter therefore of the greatest consequence both religiously and politically, when Mirza Ali Muḥammad was thought to have been designated as the "Gate." Those who protested against what they regarded as his intolerable presumption soon had him brought before a company of the leading Shi'ite theologians in Tabriz, and there they questioned him as to the meaning of his pretensions. He courageously stood his ground, however, for when he was asked to explain what he meant by the term bāb, he replied, "It is the same as in the holy tradition, 'I am the city of knowledge and Ali is the bāb or gate thereof.'"

The boldness of his developed doctrine may be clearly shown by a brief quotation from the Persian book of the Bābis that is called the Beyān, and which was composed by Mirza Ḥāji Muḥammad during his imprisonment in Mākū:

Wahīd ii, ch. i.—"God commanded in his own speech, 'Whose book is the Koran?' All believers said to Him, 'It is the book of God.' Afterwards it was asked, 'Is any difference seen between the Furkan (i.e., the Koran) and the Beyān?' The spiritually minded answered, 'No, by God, all is from our Lord,' and none are mentioned but those endowed with discernment.' Then the Lord of the World revealed, 'That Word is by the tongue of Muḥammad the Apostle of God, and this is my Word by the tongue of the Person of the Seven Letters, the Gate of God.'"

Wahīd ii, ch. iv.—"For God hath assimilated refuge in himself to refuge in His Apostle, and refuge in His Apostle to refuge in His executors (i.e., the Imams), and refuge (in His executors to refuge) in the Gates (Abwab or Bābs) of His executors. . . . For refuge in the Apostle is identical with refuge in God, and refuge in the Imams is identical with refuge in the Apostle, and refuge in the Gates is identical with refuge in the Imams." . . .

In the claims that were made by the Shaikhīs for Mirza Ḥāji Muḥammad, we easily recognise that they were a group in the Shi'ite community that had grown tired of the ever prolonged Greater Concealment. Over nine hundred years had passed since the close of the period of the Lesser Concealment, since the death of the last wakīl, and in their support of Mirza Ḥāji Muḥammad the Shaikhīs were virtually enunciating another period, when the expected Imam should be visibly represented again, but this time by a "Bāb" instead of by a "Wakīl."

But as Professor Browne has pointed out,1 not all the Shaikhīs accepted the new doctrine. There was Ḥāji Muhammad Karim

1 Tirmidhī, Sahīh 46, 20; cf. Goldsack, Selections from Muhammadan Traditions, p. 15.

1 Browne, op. cit., p. 241.
sense and gave little weight to its laws in regard to ceremonial purity. He found other meanings, for example, for the divine Judgment, for Paradise, for Hell, and for the Resurrection. As Dr. Goldziher has observed, he held that "the Resurrection is every new periodic manifestation of the divine spirit in relation to a preceding one. The latter comes to new life through its successor. This is the meaning of the 'meeting with God,' as the future life is designated in the Koran." 1 In this same connection Dr. Goldziher has also pointed out that Mirza Ali Muḥammad taught the brotherhood of all mankind; that he was desirous of raising women from their low position to a state of equality with man; that he undertook to set forth a nobler conception of marriage, based on the function of the family; and that he sought a general reform of education. His disposition to give attention to combinations of letters according to their numerical values, attaching particular importance to the number nineteen, was neither original nor surprising, but rather in keeping with a common tendency among Persian writers. As the new "Bāb," after a thousand years of the complete concealment of the Imam, he did not teach that the channel of revelation would be closed again at his death, but that the same divine spirit would continue to be manifested.

In so much as the official religion of Persia was orthodox Shi'ite Islam, the claims of the "Bāb" were regarded as dangerous. Mirza Muḥammad ʿAlī and his followers were consequently persecuted and proscribed, until finally, in A.D. 1850, he himself was put to death. Those of his followers who managed to escape took refuge in Adrianople, where they were under the protection of the Turkish Sultan. There it was that Baha-Ullah declared himself to be the "more perfect manifestation" that was proclaimed by his master, and through which the master's work was to be raised to a higher level.

At this point, however, another division occurred, for a group of the Bābis followed one of the Bāb's disciples who was known as Subḥ-i-Ezāl (Dawn of Eternity), who established his head-

---

1 Goldziher, Vorlesungen, ch. vi, sect. 10.
quar-\v{e}\c{s} in Fumagista, Cyprus, and who proposed to carry on the work of the B\d{a}b in the form given to it by his master.

The more influential group were the followers of Baha Ullah ("Splendour of God"), who was born in 1817, and died of fever in 1892. In 1844, when Mirza Ali \Mu\v{h}ammad first announced his mission, Baha Ullah was but twenty-seven years of age. He is said to have accepted the doctrine of the B\d{a}b\d{s} before he met the B\d{a}b, but he soon became one of the outstanding disciples and was recognized by the majority as their master's successor. He taught that the B\d{a}b was the \textit{ka'im}, "the one who rises," and that he himself was the \textit{kayyum}, "the permanent one." In the course of his teaching the designation he preferred for himself was the \textit{mazhar} or \textit{manzar}, the "revelation" of God, in which he said the beauty of God was to be seen as in a mirror. He was himself the "beauty of Allah," whose face shines between the heavens and the Earth as a precious, polished pearl. Through him alone, he said, the \textit{being} of God can be known, whose \textit{emanation} he is. In 1852, as one of his followers attempted to take the life of Nasiru'd-Din Shah, Baha Ullah was exiled to Baghdad. He went afterwards with a company of his followers to Constantinople, then to Adrianople, and finally to Akka, where he lived and carried on his work from 1868 until his death. In Akka he worked out a system of doctrine which was radically different from that of the followers of the Koran, and that was also not restricted to the beliefs of those who accepted the Beyan. The principal one of his written works was called the \textit{Kitab-i-A\d{k}das} or "The Most Holy Book," and for this book he claimed divine origin. The translations of the Bible that were beginning to be circulated at the time in Mu\v{h}ammadan lands were commonly designated as the "Holy Scripture," the \textit{Kitab-i-Mu\d{k}addas}.

Baha Ullah sought to evolve from Islam and from the Beyan and from the special revelations he claimed to receive "a larger conception of a world religion which was to unite mankind in a religious brotherhood." In his political teachings he professed cosmopolitanism, and he held that no preference should be given to him who loves his country, but rather to him who loves the world. In this sense he regarded himself as the manifestation of the world spirit to all mankind and sent epistles to rulers of Europe and Asia, and "to the Kings of America and the Chiefs of the Republic." In his letter to Napoleon III, he predicted the empire's downfall four years before Sedan. With a world mission in view, he commanded his followers to prepare themselves, by the study of foreign languages, for the mission of apostles to the world.

As has been suggested, in his teaching the ethical and social factors were emphasized. War was strictly forbidden, and the use of weapons was allowed only "in case of need." Slavery was also forbidden, and the equality of all men was taught as the nucleus of his new gospel. As to marriage, he regarded monogamy as the ideal, but he permitted bigamy. He recognized divorce and allowed remarriage, on the condition that the separated parties had not married again, which is exactly opposite to the provision in the law of Islam. But the \textit{shari'at} ("Law") of Islam he regarded as completely superseded, and he introduced also new forms for prayer and ritual. Bodily cleanliness he ordained as a religious duty and condemned the continued toleration of unclean bathing places. He recognized no professional spiritual rank, and claimed that those who were true religious teachers should work without compensation. Civil law, he said, was necessary on the ground that man must be guarded from his own barbarity.

That Baha Ullah was indeed a man of keen judgment and receptive to higher ideals of social ethics is obvious, and as has been pointed out, some of his principles are admittedly an echo of Christianity. But in his fundamental positions it must be remembered that he professed to be leading a system of faith and thought that had its origin in the expectation of the return of the Shi'ite Twelfth Imam. However much may have been included by his eclectic method in the further development of his doctrines, his authority depended on the pretensions of the B\d{a}b, who found his opportunity in the restlessness of the Sh\d{a}ikhs for the return of the Imam. But in so much as the established Shi'ite
RELIGION of Persia did not allow individual freedom of conscience, or even freedom for the discussion of religious subjects, Baha Ullah was compelled to spend his life agitating his reforms from outside the bounds of his native country.

Abbas Effendi, the son of Baha Ullah, was called Abd al-Baha (the slave of Baha) and also Ghusn Azam (the great branch), and he succeeded in carrying the views of his father to a still more comprehensive development. He was born in 1844 and died in 1921, and by the modifications he also introduced, the teachings of his father are made to conform still more nearly in some respects to the intellectual thought of the Occident. He made a wide use also of the books of the Old and the New Testaments. Considerable publicity was given to the whole movement by the fact that a certain Dr. Khayrullah, who was one of the ardent admirers and followers of Abbas Effendi, made a tour of the United States in 1912 to lecture in his behalf. Professor Browne's book, *The Babi Religion*, shows the letter that Dr. Khayrullah persuaded a number of Americans to write to the "Great Branch," and also contains a translation of the shorthand notes that were taken of the lectures.

"According to a Bahai statement, Abd al-Baha (or Abbas Effendi) had covenanted in his will that after his passing there would be a continued and perpetual centre of guidance for the Cause, a Guardian, the office to be hereditary... Abd al-Baha appointed his eldest grandson, Shogli Effendi, to be the first of this line of Guardians. Shogli Effendi descends on his mother's side from Baha Ullah through Abd al-Baha, and on his father's side from a collateral branch of the family of the Bab." The pamphlet by Mr. Remey, from which the above statement is quoted, contains also a translation of the supposed will of Abbas Effendi, and it is not without interest to observe how similar the discussion of the right of succession of the various Bahai leaders is to the old question of the designation of the successive Imams.

It will be evident to any careful student of Islam in Persia that the teachings of the Shaikhis and the Babis, the Ezels and the Bahais have all had their origin in the long Shi'ite expectation of the return of the Twelfth Imam. The efforts put forth by these several sects, one after another, have been movements of groups that were not contented to go on giving their formal testimony to the Imams of the past. Especially in their closer contact with modern civilization, eager and inquiring minds in Persia have been anxiously seeking to find for themselves and their people some vital, present-day knowledge of God. But notwithstanding, the great bulk of the population are still looking to the golden domes over the tombs of the Imams and frequently repeat the following creed or testimony as a summary of their officially adopted hope and faith:

"I testify, O my Leader, in thy presence, that there is no God but God; that He is One and has no partner. And I testify that Muhammad was his Servant and Apostle, and that Muhammad was the only worthy friend of God. I testify also that Ali was the Commander of the Faithful, the Manifestation of God; and that Hasan was God's Manifestation; and that Husain was God's Manifestation; and that Ali the son of Husain was God's Manifestation; and that Muhammad, the son of Ali, was God's Manifestation; and that Jafar, the son of Muhammad, was God's Manifestation; and that Musa, the son of Jafar, was God's Manifestation; and that Ali, the son of Musa, was God's Manifestation; and that Jafar, the son of Ali, was God's Manifestation; and that Hasan, the son of Ali, was God's Manifestation. And above all I witness that thou (O hidden Imam, the Master of the Age), art a Manifestation of God."1


---

2 Remey, *A Series of Twelve Articles Introductory to the Study of the Bahai Teachings*. 
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At-Tusi, Muhammad B. Hasan (1076), Tahâhib al-Akhâm, "Correcting of Judgments," lithographed in Persia, 1899; Istibdr, "Examination of the Differences in Traditions," lith. in Lucknow.


Sayyid Razi, Abu'l-Hasan Muhammad B. Musa (1073), Nahjul-Balagha, The Open Road of Eloquence (containing discourses attributed to 'Ali), lithographed in Meshed, 1892.


Amili, Muhammad B. Muhammad, Ithna Askarîyya, The Sect of the Twelve Imams, written in Meshed, 1657, and lith. in Teheran, not dated.


Shairkh Muhammad Ja'far, Urjenu'l-Ahmadîyya, 1907.

(d) GEOGRAPHERS AND TRAVELLERS


(e) Biography and Bibliography

Al-Tusi, Muhammad B. Hasan (1067), Fihrist, "Index" of Shi'ite writers and their books. Bibliotheca Indica, Calcutta, 1853.
Ya'qut (1219), Dictionary of Learned Men, ed. by D. S. Margoliouth "Gibb Memorial" series, Vol. VI, in 7 parts, 1910-1925.
Al-Khawnsari, Rawdat'l-Jamadi (written 1869-70), lithographed in Teheran, 1888.

(f) Comparative Religion

Al-Baghdadi, Abu Mansur (d. shortly after 1037), Kitab al-farik baina'l-Firdaw, Cairo, 1910.
Al-Ghazzali (1058), Ihya Ulum ad-Din, "Revivification of the Religious Sciences," edited and translated by M. L. Gautier; also printed in Cairo, 4 vols., 1289 A.H.
Majlisi, Mulla Muhammad Bakir (1369), Tadhkira't-i'Al'ma, Memoirs of the Imams, lithographed in Persia, 1913.
Ja'far Shariq, Kanun-i-Isdalim, transl. Herklotz, 1832.
Sayed Muhammad Mahdi, Khulasatul-Akhbar, lithographed in Kerbala, 1879.
Haji Mirza Akazi, Akhiddush-Shia, Beliefs of the Shia, lithographed in Meshed, 1879.
Mudaris, Mulla Muhammad Rida Imam, Jamatu'l-Khulud, "Perpetual Gardens," written in 1713, is a tabular Index to the lives of the Prophets and the Imams. Lithographed in Teheran, 1841.

(c) Shi'ite Apologetics, Prayers and Pilgrimages

Husain Wa'iz-i-Kashef (1504), Raudhatul-Shuhada, or Garden of Martyrs, lithographed in Lahore, 1870.
— *Hilyatu'l-Mu`ital*, “The Ornament of the Pious,” lith. in Persia, 1859.


(d) History, Geography and Travel


MUHAMMAD TAKI KHAN, HAKIM, *Ganj-i-Danish*, A Treasure of Learning, lith. in Teheran, 1887.


III. ENGLISH, AMERICAN AND EUROPEAN AUTHORITIES

(e) Muhammad and the Koran


KOELLE, Muhammad and Muhammadanism, 1889.
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Baillie, A Digest of Muhammadan Law, 2 vols., London, 1869; particularly the second volume, "Imameea."
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doctrine that rigid moral standards need not be insisted upon in the case of Governments and administrations. It teaches that truth is as valuable and indispensable in the case of statesmen as in the case of private citizens and that transgression is as evil and condemnable in the case of an administration as in the case of an individual. It prescribes equitable treatment and fair dealing for a Government not only towards its own people but also, as in the case of individuals, towards its neighbouring Governments.

The Qur'an rejoins constant alertness upon a believer. It exhorts Muslims to be diligent and condemns cowardice, bullying and fanaticism. It encourages the exercise of reason and reflection. It prohibits suicide and all acts or conduct that may result in self-destruction. It enjoins upon Governments the obligation of safeguarding their frontiers. It prohibits aggression but enjoins unyielding resistance to it. In a war it prohibits surprise night-attacks. It insists upon the rigid observance of treaties and enjoins that no opportunity of making peace should be missed.

The Qur'an On Slavery

The Qur'an does not permit the enslavement of one's countrymen or foreigners. It does, however, permit the taking of prisoners of war but prescribes that every prisoner is entitled to his freedom on payment of ransom (47:5). No person may keep another in captivity after the latter has paid his ransom. If a person who has been taken prisoner in a war is unable to pay his ransom immediately, he is entitled to earn his freedom by means of labour. If he is not able even to do this, the Qur'an exhorts Muslims to help him and to find means for procuring his liberty (24:34). It may, however, be that a prisoner may prefer to remain with his Muslim master rather than return to his non-Muslim relations and live under a non-Muslim Government. In such a case the Qur'an prescribes that he should be fairly and equitably treated. The Holy Prophet has said that in such a case the master should feed his prisoner on the kind of food he himself eats and should clothe him with the kind of garments he himself wears and should provide him with a mount like his own or should share his own with him (Bukhari).

The Qur'an stresses the equality of all mankind. It is the first Scripture that teaches that mankind is one community. It recognizes the division into countries, nations and tribes but explains that this is only for the purpose of identification and that with regard to rights all mankind are equal. It deprecates all distinctions based on racial, economic or other fancied superiority. It warns people that those who arrogate any kind of superiority to themselves will be humbled and laid low and that those upon whom they look down will one day be raised above them. If this sublime doctrine, taught by the Qur'an, were universally accepted, the principal obstacles in the way of the establishment of world-peace would be removed.

The Qur'an prohibits everything that has a tendency to incite people to folly and frivolity. For instance, it prohibits gambling and the use of intoxicants. It disapproves of all frivolous and light conduct. It prohibits the use of ornaments and silk garments by men, but permits women to use them to a moderate extent.

Human Soul

The Qur'an is the only Scripture that deals at length with the subject of the human soul and its creation. Other Scriptures either ignore the subject altogether or merely speculate upon it. The Qur'an says: "And they ask thee concerning the soul. Say, 'The soul is by the command of my Lord; and of the knowledge thereof you have been given but a little' (17:86). This verse refutes the theory that human souls are self-existing and eternal and that they remain, as it were, in reverse in some universe and descend to the earth from time to time and enter into human bodies. It points out that the human soul, like other created things, is created by God and develops under Divine guidance. It also indicates that the birth of the soul is not a process distinct from the birth of the body. The series of developments that bring about the birth of the body also bring about the birth of the soul and provide for its progress and uplift. This subject is dealt with in greater detail at another place in the Qur'an where it is said:

Verily, We created man from an extract of clay; then We placed him as a drop of sperm in a safe depository; then We fashioned the sperm into a clot; then We fashioned the clot into a shapeless lump; then We fashioned bones out of this shapeless lump; then We clothed the bones with flesh; then We developed it into another creation. So blessed be Allah, the Best of creators (23:13-15).

This verse explains that a human being is born as a result of the nourishment which man and woman take to sustain life and to maintain their strength. This nourishment produces in the human body matter by means of which procreation takes place. When this matter enters into the womb, a portion of it which is charged with the faculty of procreation adheres to the womb and begins to draw sustenance. Within a few days it begins to thicken and grows into a flexible substance in which bones begin to form and later on, when these bones are clothed with flesh, the stages of physical procreation are completed. Simultaneously with this development a sort of distillation takes place as a result of which this growth develops animal characteristics and ultimately results in the birth of an intelligent human being. The verse shows clearly that the soul does not enter the body from outside but is a distillation from the substance which is being developed in the mother's womb. It is no doubt distinct from the substance from which it is distilled and has the effect of bestowing upon that substance animal characteristics and of converting it into a human being endowed with reason and intelligence and the faculty of progress. To illustrate this one may, as a rough comparison, draw attention to certain chemical processes whereby certain substances combine to form a new substance possessing distinct qualities of its own. For instance, alcohol is distilled from beet, wheat, corn or tracle. It possesses qualities different from those of the basic substance from which it is manufactured. Whereas the raw material of alcohol is liable to rot, alcohol is a preservative. Again, while the use of the raw material does not directly affect man's intellectual powers, alcohol is very potent in that respect.

In short, the Qur'an puts forward an altogether new conception concerning the birth of the human soul which is not to be found in any previous Scripture. As has already been stated, some of these Scriptures are altogether silent on this subject. They confine themselves to dealing with man as they find him and do not feel the need of discussing the subject of the creation of man and of the human soul. Those that purport to deal with this subject put forward one of two theories. One of these theories is that human souls are not created by God but are eternal and self-existing like God Himself. God causes these eternal souls to enter into human bodies at the appropriate time. The second theory is that souls are created and not self-existing but God created the requisite number of them at the same time as He created the universe, and that these souls are kept in reserve and out of this reserve God causes some to enter into human bodies from time to time. The Qur'an is the first and only Scripture which puts forward the correct view on this matter. It teaches that the birth of the soul is the ultimate stage of the evolution of the human body in the course of its creation. It does not enter the body from outside but is born as a consequence of the changes that the body goes through in the course of its development.
Yet it is something distinct from the body. It is not merely the motive power of the human body but a distinct and permanent entity distilled from the substance of the body, just as alcohol and vinegar, though distilled from corn or fruit, are nevertheless distinct from the substances from which they are manufactured. By bringing this truth to light the Qur'an has entirely revolutionized the attitude of man towards the relationship between the body and the soul. Those who believe that human souls are self-existing and eternal; or that they were all created in a bunch at the inception of the universe and are sent down to the earth as required from time to time, repudiate any suggestion that physical conditions and physical development have any effect upon the development of the soul. On the other hand, the Qur'an, by revealing the truth and reality, has emphasized that the condition and development of a person bear the closest relationship to his spiritual condition and physical development. It is true that even under the Quranic teachings every human body is bound at a certain stage to develop a soul, but the teaching of the Qur'an draws pointed attention to the principle that if care is devoted to the development of the human body along healthy and hygienic lines, the personality resulting therefrom will be more dynamic and more intelligent than if such development is neglected. By drawing attention to this fact the Qur'an has opened up new avenues for man's intellectual and spiritual development.

Some people assert that the soul possesses no faculties of its own and cannot, therefore be affected by any changes in the body. This is not correct. To say that the soul possesses no faculties is meaningless. If it possesses no faculties it can have no independent existence. It, certainly, possesses faculties but is able to manifest them only through the body. There are instances even in the material universe in which we find that certain things can manifest themselves only through coarser substances. For example, we are almost every moment brought up against the phenomenon that electricity can manifest itself only through other substances. In the same way, the faculties of the soul find expression in various ways through the body.

The Qur'an deals with all these and similar other subjects, but for obvious reasons they cannot all be discussed within the space of this Introduction. The Qur'an claims that it deals with all matters which are necessary for man's physical, moral and spiritual development and progress and in every age this claim has been found to have been justified. In this 20th century also when science and learning are supposed to have reached a high stage of advancement, we find that the Qur'an fulfills all real needs and that there is no matter connected with the physical, moral, intellectual or spiritual purity and advancement of man which is not adequately dealt with in the Qur'an. These matters can only be briefly alluded to here. The details may be gathered from the Translation and Explanatory Notes appended to the relevant verses. See also "The Larger Edition of the English Commentary" and the Urdu Commentary of the Qur'an.

**Qur'anic Plan Of Spiritual Universe**

Having briefly summarized the characteristics of the Quranic teachings, we would next draw attention to the plan of the spiritual universe which is described by the Qur'an. Our observation of the material universe shows that it works on a system in which several planets revolve round the sun, and the sun along with all its dependent planets travels towards a goal concerning which modern mathematicians allege that it is a centre to which several solar systems are related. Whether these speculations are based upon reality or not it is undeniable that the whole of the material universe is running on a system; otherwise it would have ended in chaos long ago. This system is governed by a code of laws which regulate different phases of matter and as a result of which the universe is filled with a vast variety of material substances and objects. The progress and development of the material universe are dependent upon the utilization and exploitation of these material substances and objects. The Qur'an teaches that the spiritual universe also works under a system which revolves round an all-embracing Centre which controls the whole universe. There is nothing in the universe which is outside or independent of the control of this Centre. This Centre is a Being, Self-Existing and Uncreated. He is not dependent upon anything else for the purpose of fulfilling His designs. He is neither begotten nor He begets, nor has He a partner or associate who shares with Him His powers or functions. This is set out very clearly in Surah Ikhlas (Chap. 112). In that Surah God directs the Holy Prophet to proclaim that the truth is that God is Unique in all His powers and attributes. On occasion, one may discover a resemblance between the attributes of a thing or person and some of the attributes of God but the resemblance is only apparent and superficial. For instance, we say that God exists and it may be said that men and animals and other things also exist. The word employed to give expression to the idea of existence is the same in both cases, but it does not signify the same reality in each case. When we say that God exists, we mean that He is Self-Existing and Perfect in Himself and is not dependent for His existence upon any other being or thing. But when we say that a man exists or an animal exists or some other thing exists, all that we mean is that so long as those causes and conditions continue the interaction of which resulted in the creation of the man or of the animal or of the thing, they will continue to exist; but if those causes and conditions are removed or are materially affected, the man and the animal and the thing would also cease to exist or would be materially affected. For instance, a man is said to be alive so long as the relationship between his soul and body continues to subsist. But this relationship is temporary and terminable and when it is terminated the human body still continues to exist but it is not alive. Again, the body is composed of a multitude of atoms which assume a particular shape and enter into certain combinations under certain conditions. When these combinations are dissolved, the body ceases to exist as a body. When a dead body is buried in the earth certain chemical changes take place in it and it decomposes. The atoms which had constituted the human body still remain in existence but the causes and conditions which had brought about their combination in the shape of the body having been altered, the body ceases to exist. The same happens when the body is decomposed by the action of water or of fire or of electricity. The atoms of matter which had constituted the body remain in existence, but they assume new shapes, and the body is no longer able to retain its shape or composition. These considerations do not apply to God. No external causes or conditions have brought Him into being or help to preserve His existence. He exists because He is Perfect and is above the limitations of time. It is alleged that the human brain is incapable of comprehending how God can exist above the limitations of time when all matter is subject to those limitations. But the truth is that God exists in a sense different from that in which man or other material beings or substances exist and the resemblance between the two in the matter of existence is only apparent and superficial. God is Unique and does not share any of His attributes with any other being or thing. At another place the Qur'an says that God is the Creator of the heavens and of the earth. He has created man and animals and all things in couples and has made provision for the progress of the material universe through this means (42 : 12). This means that men and animals and vegetables and even solids have been created in couples which may be described as male and female, or positive and negative, or by any other name and that the whole universe moves forward on the basis of everything having been created in couples. Again, the Qur'an says: 'And of every thing have We created pairs, that you may reflect' (51 : 50). This means that man, by contemplating everything in the universe and observing that everything has been created in couples, can conclude that none of these created things
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God Of All Peoples

The followers of some religions believe that God stands in a peculiar relationship towards them from which all other human beings are excluded. They believe that though God is the Creator of the whole universe, He is in a special sense the God of a particular people, for instance, the Israelites or the Hindus or the Zoroastrians. The Qur'an rejects this doctrine and teaches that not only is God Unique in His Being, but that He is the Source of the whole universe. The word Abad, occurring in the first verse of Surah Ikhlas, means unique and also unity, that is to say, the Source which is itself outside numbers but from which all numbers proceed. This verse indicates that God is equally the guide of the whole of mankind and entertains no special attachment to any particular people. Those who strive to attain nearness to Him He guides along the paths that lead to Him. Arabs, Jews, Persians, Indians, Chinese, Greeks and Africans are all equal in His sight, for He is the Source of the creation of all of them. He alone is the Unity which is the Source of the multiplicity of the universe. By proclaiming that He is not begotten the Qur'an refutes the central doctrine of Christianity as well as of several Hindu creeds, for he who is himself begotten could not be God since he would be dependent for his own creation upon somebody else. By pointing out that He has no rival the Qur'an refutes the doctrine inculcated by some religions that light and darkness are distinct and opposing forces and thus, in effect, set up two parallel gods.

God—The Ultimate Cause Of All Creation

The Qur'an also teaches that God is the Ultimate Cause of all creation; that is to say, that the whole of creation has proceeded from Him and reverts to Him. It says:

And thou art not engaged in anything, and thou recitest not from Him any portion of the Qur'an, and you do no work, but We are Witnesses of you when you are engrossed therein. And there is not hidden from thy Lord even an atom's weight in the earth or in heaven. And there is nothing smaller than that or greater, but it is recorded in a clear Book (10 : 62).

These verses show that the condition of a man's mind and that which he utters by words of mouth and that which he does by the exercise of his limbs are all manifest to God. Objects even as minute as an atom, or even smaller, are not hidden from His sight. He perceives the minutest as well as the grossest object and not only is everything within His knowledge, but all that is done or that happens is preserved in such manner as to produce its due result in its season. The verse says that all these things are recorded in an open Book. This means that whereas ordinary records are hidden from the eyes of men and after they are preserved they disappear even from the sight of the persons making them,
The Divine record of all that occurs is such that it speaks for itself, that is to say, every action leads to its result in accordance with the Divine law and the Divine Will. Again, the Qur'an says that since God is beyond physical perception, 'Eyes cannot reach Him' (6: 104), that is to say, God is by His nature different from all material objects and it is not, therefore, possible to perceive Him through any of the physical senses.

The Qur'an teaches that God has full power to carry out all His designs. It says: 'Allah has the power to do all that He wills' (2: 110). It should be noted that the verse does not merely say, God has power to do all things; for such phraseology would leave room for foolish people to raise absurd questions. It has, for instance, been asked whether God has power to destroy Himself, or whether He has power to create another god like Himself. It is obvious that for God to do anything such would be absurd and undesirable, and it is incompatible with His Majesty and Perfection to indulge in anything absurd or undesirable. The Qur'an has, therefore, refrained from stating that God has power to do everything and has, merely, said that God has power to carry into effect all that He may determine to do. God, being Perfect, determines only that which is perfect, and it would be the height of folly to attribute to God a desire to destroy Himself, or to create another god like unto Himself.

Principal Divine Attributes

The Opening Chapter of the Qur'an (Sūrah al-Fātiḥah) illustrates the operation of the attributes of God. It explains that the Divine attributes, the operation of which affects man in any manner, branch out from four principal attributes.

Of these, the first is Rabb al-'Alamin (رب العالمين); that is to say, God creates everything and then fosters everything gradually towards perfection.

Secondly, He is Rahmān (الرحمان). This means that without any effort on the part of His creatures He provides everything that is necessary for their development and progress.

Thirdly, He is Rahīm (الرحيم). This means that when those of His creatures that are endowed with will and intelligence voluntarily choose to do good and to resist evil, God bestows upon them the highest reward and that reward continues indefinitely.

Fourthly, He is Mālik Yaum al-Dīn (مالك يوم الدين). This means that the ultimate judgment concerning everything rests with Him. Everything owes its origin to Him and the end of everything is also in His hands. Man and other creatures may bring about temporary and ephemeral changes but have not the power to effect any permanent change in the universe. For instance, man has no power to create either matter or soul. He is equally without power to destroy either, though he may be able to effect temporary changes in matter and to give it different shapes. As the attribute of creation belongs to God alone the attribute of destruction also is peculiar to Him. Nothing can ultimately be destroyed till God decrees its destruction. This is an obvious truth and the Qur'an expresses it by stating that God is the Master of the time of judgment. That is to say, the final judgment regarding everything that is set in motion rests in His hands and these judgments are arrived at by Him in His capacity of Master of the universe and not merely as a judge who adjudicates upon the rights of the parties before him. A judge is bound to make an impartial adjudication upon the matter in dispute between the parties having regard to the rights and obligations of each. God is not so bound, for though when He pronounces His judgment no man is wronged or is cheated of his due, He is free to remit as much as He may choose out of what may be due to Himself. He does not insist upon the proverbial pound of flesh. As a master may treat his servants with mercy and act benevolently towards them and bestow favours upon them, so may God forgive His creatures and overlook their defaults and bestow His favours upon them. Failure to appreciate this attribute of God has led to the adoption by Christians of so untenable a doctrine as that of Attonement. The Christian doctrine is that God has, like a secular judge, no power to forgive any person his default. In making this erroneous assumption Christians overlook the fact that a judge is called upon to adjudicate between two parties and that he himself possesses no rights in respect of the subject-matter of the dispute. The relationship between God and His creatures is, however, very different from that between a judge and the parties to a dispute. God is both Claimant and the Authority entitled to make the award. A judge is never himself a claimant. He is called upon merely to determine the rights of the parties before him. In other words, a judge has to arrive at a determination between two persons, one making a claim against the other. These persons may be both private individuals or one representing the State and the other a private individual. God determines between Himself and His creatures. He thus occupies dual position. He is both Claimant and Judge. As Claimant He is entitled to remit the whole or as much as He chooses of His claim. Such a remission would be mercy and not injustice, for the remission relates to God's own claim and does not operate to deprive any person of his right. This is in perfect accord with reason.

On the other hand the doctrine of Atonement is wholly opposed to reason. If belief in the crucifixion of Jesus is indispensable for the remission of sins, then how did the Prophets who appeared before Jesus and their followers attain salvation? Was it not necessary for the crucifixion to have taken place at the inception of the universe so that all mankind should have attained salvation? Again, how is it that sins may be remitted through belief in the crucifixion of a person, but not through repentance which is the natural means of purification of the heart. Belief in an external matter is not a natural means of purification of the heart, whereas remorse and contrition, which inflict a kind of death upon a man's longings and desires and inspire him with a fresh determination to lead a virtuous life, are a natural and certain means of purification of the heart. It is surprising that Christians should believe that a sinner's heart is purified by belief in the crucifixion of Jesus and that God in consequence forgives him his sins, but they should refuse to accept the truth that when a sinner experiences remorse and admits his fault before God and asks His forgiveness, God forgives him his sins and errors.

We observe that in the transaction of their daily affairs Christians themselves follow this principle. When a man commits a fault and is then overtaken by true remorse and, admitting his fault, promises to make amends, they forgive him. For instance, this principle is followed in their schools. When a schoolmaster finds that a pupil has been at fault or has been negligent concerning his studies or his other duties and the former is convinced that the pupil is contrite and is prepared to make amends for the future, he forgives him. Yet, if the doctrine of Attonement were sustainable, all that should be necessary in such a case should be for the pupil to say that though he has been at fault he is a believer in the crucifixion of Jesus and that his fault should be overlooked. But this is not what happens. The master insists that to be forgiven the pupil must show that he is ashamed of his default and is ready to make amends for the future. He is not prepared to forgive merely on the assurance that the pupil believes in the Crucifixion.

It may, however, be alleged that belief in the Crucifixion is tantamount to purification of the heart. This is, however, belied by the conduct of Christians all over the world. The evils and the vices that prevail in Christian countries can scarcely be matched in any other part of the world.
Then what is it that the Christians have gained through belief in the Atonement? If they assert that they will attain salvation through belief in this doctrine, this would be untenable, as we have already shown that salvation can only be attained through true repentance and that the Prophets who appeared before Jesus and their followers attained salvation only by this means. If Christians claim that the Atonement brings about purity of heart, this has not been achieved by Christians in spite of their belief in the Atonement. We do not mean that the purity of no Christian's heart is due to belief in the Atonement. If the heart of a Christian is purified, it is purified, like the hearts of other people, through himself: ‘This kind can come forth by doing, but by prayer and fasting’ (Mark 9:24).

Other Attributes Of God

It is not possible to enter into a detailed explanation of the various attributes of God referred to in the Qur'an, either expressly or by inference, as stemming from the four principal attributes which have just been described. A brief mention of them may, however, be made here:

(1) Al-Malik i.e. The Sovereign.
(2) Al-Qudda'i.e. The Holy One.
(3) Al-Salam i.e. The Source of Peace.
(4) Al-Mu'min i.e. The Bestower of Security.
(5) Al-Muhaimin i.e. The Protector.
(6) Al-'Aziz i.e. The Mighty.
(7) Al-Jabbar i.e. The Subduer.
(8) Al-Mutakabbir i.e. The Exalted.
(9) Al-Khalqi.e. The Creator.
(10) Al-Bari'i.e. The Maker.
(11) Al-Muṣawwar i.e. The Fashioner.
(12) Al-Ghafrur i.e. The Great Forbearing.
(13) Al-Qahhar i.e. The Most Supreme.
(14) Al-Waḥhab i.e. The Bestower.
(15) Al-Razzqaq i.e. The Great Sustainer.
(16) Al-Fattah i.e. The Opener (of the doors of success for mankind); The Judge.
(17) Al-'Azim i.e. The All-Knowing.
(18) Al-Qābi'd i.e. The Controller; He Who keeps all things within limits; The Seizer.
(19) Al-Bāsiq i.e. The Enlarger; He Who enlarges the means of subsistence.
(20) Al-Khālid i.e. The Depasser; He Who brings low the proud.
(21) Al-Rafl'i.e. The Exalter.
(22) Al-Mu'izz i.e. The Bestower of honour.
(23) Al-Mudhilib i.e. The Abaser; He Who abases the haughty.
(24) Al-Sami'i.e. The All-Hearing.
(25) Al-Baṣīr i.e. The All-Seeing.
(26) Al-Ḥakam i.e. The Wise Judge.
(27) Al-'Adil i.e. The Just.
(28) Al-Lāji'f i.e. The Incomprehensible; The Knower of all subtleties; The Benignant.
(29) Al-Khabir i.e. The All-Aware.
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Some of these attributes appear to be repetitions but on consideration finds fine distinctions between them. For instance, several of these attributes relate creation as Khaliq Kulli Shai'in, Al-Badi', Al-Fātir, Al-Khaliq, Al-Bārî', Al-Muʿīd, Al-Musawwir, Al-Rabb. At first sight they appear to overlap, but in fact they signify different aspects.

Khaliq Kulli Shai'in means that God has created all things and signifies that He is also the Creator of matter and of souls. Some people believe that God fashions but does not create. They do not, for instance, regard Him as the Creator of matter or of souls; they believe that matter and souls are self-existing and eternal like God Himself. If God had been described in the Qur'ān merely as the Creator, these people could have claimed that they too believe in God as the Creator in the sense that He brings about the union of the body and the soul and thus fashions a man. This interpretation would have left the real meaning of the Qur'ān in doubt in this respect. By describing God as the Creator of all things, the Qur'ān has enlarged the scope of the attribute of creation, so as to include the creation of matter and souls.

Bādī' signifies that God has planned and designed the system of the universe and this system is not, therefore, accidental or copied from somewhere else.

Fātir means One Who extracts something by breaking the shell. The attribute Fātir, therefore, indicates that God has created matter with inherent faculties of development and that in due season He breaks open the shell or covering which confines the operation of these faculties and brings them into operation. For instance, a seed possesses the faculty of growing into a plant or a tree, but this faculty comes into operation only at a certain season and under certain conditions. When those conditions arise and that season arrives, the seed begins to develop its faculty of growth. This attribute thus indicates that God has created the universe in accordance with a set of laws and that all sections of the universe continue to develop in accordance with those laws. All the time certain parts of the universe go on traversing preparatory stages and their inherent faculties come into play in certain seasons and then new forms of life become perceptible.

The attribute of Khalq (creation) also signifies planning. Khaliq (Creator), therefore, also means that God has arranged all things in their proper order and that the universe is controlled by a system.

Bārī' signifies that God starts different manifestations of creation and then appoints laws in obedience to which the thing created goes on repeating and multiplying its species. This is reinforced by the attribute Muʿīd, which signifies repetition.

Musawwir signifies that God has given each created thing a shape which is appropriate to its functions. This shows that the perfection of creation consists not merely in endowing the thing created with appropriate faculties but is achieved also by giving it appropriate shape.

Rabb signifies that God after creating goes on fostering the faculties of created things by stages and thus leads them to perfection.

All these attributes thus signify different aspects of creation. In the same way several other attributes which appear at first sight to be overlapping or mere repetitions are, in fact, intended to signify very fine distinctions. Once the significance of each attribute is clearly grasped, one is able to appreciate the beauty and the glory of the spiritual universe that the Qur'ān describes.

Three Categories Of Divine Attributes

These attributes are either expressly mentioned in the Qur'ān or are deducible from Quranic verses. A consideration of these attributes helps to visualize the plan of the spiritual universe which the Qur'ān puts forward. They may be roughly divided into three categories:

First, those that are peculiar to God and are not related in anyway to His creatures, e.g.—Al-Hayy,—The Living; Al-Qādir,—The Possessor of power and authority; Al-Majīd,—The Glorious.

Secondly, those that are related to the creation of the universe and indicate the relationship between God and His creatures and His attitude towards them, e.g. Al-Khaliq,—The Creator; Al-Malik,—The Sovereign, etc.

Thirdly, those that come into operation in consequence of the good or bad actions of such of God's creatures as are endowed with will e.g. Al-Rahīm,—He rewards the voluntary good actions of man abundantly and repeatedly; Malik Yaum al-Dīn,—The Master of the Day of Judgment; Al-Afūw,—He overlooks faults; Al-Raʾūf,—He is Compassionate, etc.

(76) Al-Mun'im e. The Bestower of favours.
(77) Al-Muntaqim i.e. The Awarder of appropriate punishment; The Avenger.
(78) Al-'Afūw i.e. The Effacer of sins.
(79) Al-Raʾūf i.e. The Compassionate.
(80) Malik al-Mulk i.e. The Lord of Sovereignty.
(81) Al-Muqṣīṭ i.e. The Equitable.
(82) Al-Jāmi' i.e. The Gatherer; The Assembler.
(83) Al-Ghāniyy i.e. The Self-Sufficient.
(84) Al-Mughnī i.e. The Provider of the means of sufficiency; The Enricher.
(85) Al-Māni' i.e. The Withholder; The Prohibitor.
(86) Al-Dārī i.e. The Inflictor of punishment.
(87) Al-Nāfi' i.e. The Benefactor.
(88) Al-Nār i.e. The Light.
(89) Al-Hādī i.e. The Guide.
(90) Al-Bāqī i.e. The Originator.
(91) Al-Baṣīr i.e. The Survivor.
(92) Al-Wārith i.e. The Inheritor.
(93) Al-Rashīd i.e. The Director to the right way.
(94) Al-Ṣābir i.e. The Patient.
(95) Dhu'l 'Arsh i.e. The Lord of the Throne.
(96) Dhu'l Waqār i.e. The Possessor of staidness and gravity; He Who does everything reasonably and to fulfil a certain purpose.
(97) Al-Mutakallim i.e. The Speaker; He Who speaks to His servants.
(98) Al-Shāfi i.e. The Healer.
(99) Al-Kāfi i.e. The Sufficient.
(100) Al-Aṣjadi i.e. The Unique; The Lord of Unity.
(101) Al-Wāhīd i.e. The One.
(102) Al-Šāmad i.e. The Besought of all; The Independent; The Everlasting.
(103) Dhu'l Jālāl wa'l Ikram i.e. The Lord of Majesty and Bounty.
The New Testament makes little mention of the attributes of God. Neither the Torah nor any other single Scripture describes all these attributes. If, however, all the Jewish Scriptures are taken together, one finds mention in them at different places of a good many of the attributes that we have set out above, but even then all are not mentioned. It is commonly thought by Muslims that God possesses 99 attributes. This idea is related to certain Jewish traditions which are based upon the attributes of God mentioned in the Jewish Scriptures. The Qur’an makes mention of many more than the 103 attributes we have mentioned above but those we have not set out. In fact, many of the attributes of God, the operation of which is not connected with man, have not been mentioned in the Qur’an and it would, therefore, not be right to assign any particular number to the attributes of God. Wherever in Islamic literature any mention of a number is made in this connection it is only in contrast to the Jewish claim and not for the purpose of expressing the absolute reality.

The Vedas mention very few attributes of God and the same is the case with the Zend-Avesta. The truth is that as the Qur’an is the perfect Book and contains complete guidance for all stages of spiritual development, it sets out all the attributes of God the knowledge of which is necessary for man, including those that have been mentioned in previous Scriptures and those that have not been so mentioned.

Divine Attributes Not Contradictory

It is sometimes alleged that some of these attributes are inconsistent with others. For instance, God is Merciful and yet He punishes. He is free from all needs and yet He creates and makes provision for the guidance of mankind which indicates a desire on His part to bring into existence man and other creatures. Such criticism often originates in minds that are not given to deep reflection. They do not realize that a large part of the real beauty of the universe is due to its diversity and that what they regard as conflict, or greed, or mercy or benevolence manifests itself in respect of another.

The Qur’an teaches that for the regulation and adjustment of the attributes which affect mankind God has set in motion two laws. The first of these is: "...My mercy encompasses all things" (7:157); and the second is set out in the verse: "What is the matter with you that you expect not wisdom and staidness from Allah" (71:14)? The latter verse means that whatever God does is based upon wisdom. One of the attributes of God mentioned in the Qur’an is The Wise, which also indicates the same thing.

These two laws indicate that every manifestation of an attribute of God is for the achievement of a definite purpose or object. They also show that whenever there is a struggle between the laws demanding punishment and the laws necessitating the exercise of mercy, the latter will prevail and punishment will be subordinated to it. Contemplation of the operation of these two laws fills the heart of a Muslim with the love of God which pervades the whole of his being. A Muslim, for the purpose of creating love and devotion in his heart towards God, is not under the necessity of doing violence to his reason and of accepting the doctrine of Atonement and the crucifixion of a human being who bore the burden of all sins. It is enough for him that the Qur’an teaches that every manifestation of God’s attributes is governed by wisdom and has a definite purpose and object and that, being human, if he is on occasion guilty of a weakness or commits a fault and is then overtaken by remorse and contrition and makes up his mind to eschew all manner of error in the future, the love and forgiveness of God will completely cover him. This knowledge melts his heart and it is dissolved in an ecstasy of love for God. A Muslim realizes that though God is the Creator and the Sovereign, He forgives the trespasses of His servants, overlooks their faults, and makes all manner of provisions for their advancement. When He imposes a penalty, His object is not to inflict pain or to humiliate but to enable His erring servants to reform themselves and to march forward along the paths of progress. He realizes that God is ever ready to accept true repentance and covers up the faults of His servants and through remorse and repentance wipes them out altogether. He finds that God, Who is the Lord of Majesty and Exaltation, hears the prayers of His servants and that His eagerness to draw near to His servants is many times greater than the eagerness of His servants to approach near to Him. Realizing all this, his heart is filled with the love of God and he is driven towards Him in paroxysm of love and devotion even greater than that which drives an infant to the arms of its mother; and God too inclines towards such a servant of His with love and tenderness — many times greater than that of a mother who rushes to comfort her crying and distressed child.

Man—The Centre Of The Universe

The Qur’an teaches that God desired to bring into existence a universe which should serve as a manifestation of His Majesty and His Light and that this was the cause of the creation of the universe. It says that God created the heavens and the earth in six periods. Before that God ruled over water. God’s object in creating the heavens and the earth out of water was to bring into existence a being endowed with the will to choose between good and evil. These beings would pass through various trials and would seek to outstrip one another in doing good and thus show which of them had attained to perfection (11:8). This verse shows that before matter assumed its present form it existed in liquid shape, or, in other words, the creation of the material universe began with a pure atom of hydrogen and that the universe was gradually developed out of it. With regard to the pre-material stage the Qur’an says:

Do not the disbelievers see that the heavens and the earth were a closed-up mass, then We opened them out? And We made from water every living thing. Will they not then believe (21:31)?
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Do not the disbelievers see that the heavens and the earth were a closed-up mass, then We opened them out? And We made from water every living thing. Will they not then believe (21:31)?
The verse purports to say that the heavens and the earth were at first an amorphous mass and God then split them and formed them into a solar system and from the beginning He has always created life out of water. The verse indicates that the spiritual universe would also develop as the material universe had developed. God, in accordance with the laws which He had set in motion, split the mass of matter, and its scattered bits became the units of the solar system. In the same way God brings about revolutions in the spiritual universe. When the spiritual condition of mankind degenerates and the spiritual atmosphere becomes dense and oppressive, God causes a light to appear which causes a disturbance in the darkness and shakes it up, and out of this apparently lifeless mass a perceptually moving spiritual solar system is created which begins to reach out from its centre and eventually embraces whole countries or the whole earth, according to the impetus behind it. The creation of the material universe starts from water; so also the spiritual universe. God's creation comprises millions of species. The Qur'an says: "...None knows the hosts of thy Lord but He" (74 : 32). Man, however, occupies a position of dignity and honour among created beings for the reason that he serves as a mirror for the attributes of God. That is why Muslim mystics have called man a microcosm, meaning that man possesses the attributes of the whole of creation and may be regarded as an epitome of the universe. As a survey map, though on a small scale, indicates all features of the country which it depicts, in the same way there are represented in the human body all the characteristics of the universe. Man is, therefore, the axis or centre of the created universe. The Qur'an says that the whole universe is created by God for the service of man and our observation confirms that man rules over the whole of creation and that no part of creation rules over him. He is no doubt affected by changes of climate, by the light of the planets and the stars, by thunder and lightning, by storms and blizzards, by epidemics and pestilences, but he is not in any way ruled by these phenomena. Those that rule are often affected by those over whom they rule and yet there is never any difficulty in determining who rules and who is ruled. Thus though man is affected by the other parts of creation, he nevertheless rules over them. He controls rivers, oceans, mountains, winds, thunder, rain, herbs and medicines and is manifestly the central point of creation or at least of that part of creation which is related to our universe. God's creation is vast and there may be worlds in existence of which we have no knowledge. We cannot, therefore, speculate with regard to them.

Culmination Of Process Of Evolution

The Qur'an, contrary to the accounts given in the Old and the New Testaments, teaches that man was created through a gradual process. We have adverted to this subject above and have cited Quranic authority for the proposition we have stated. There is another verse in the Qur'an which shows that the creation of man was the culmination of a gradual process and that it is not correct to say that God formed man out of clay and breathed His spirit into him. The Qur'an says: "And He has created you in different forms and in different conditions" (71 : 15). That is to say, God has created man by making him pass through stage after stage and condition after condition. According to the Qur'an, therefore, man was not created suddenly at one stroke but as a result of a gradual process. In the same way man's intellectual development also proceeded gradually. The Qur'an shows that human beings were in existence before Adam but that they were not yet able to bear the responsibility of revealed Law. They lived in caves and in mountain fastnesses. It is for this reason that the Qur'an has called them by the name of jinn, which literally means those that dwell out of sight. Some people have applied this word to the genii of tales and fables, but the Qur'an does not support this interpretation. It expressly states that when Adam and his people went out of the garden (which again, according to the Qur'an, was a region of the earth and is not to be confused with Paradise), God warned them against Iblis 'who was one of the jinn' and told them to be careful of him and his people as they would all have to live together upon the earth wherein they would spend their spans of existence and wherein they would die (7 : 26, 28). Again, addressing Adam and his people and Iblis and his people God admonishes all of them to accept His Prophets when they should appear among them from time to time (2 : 39). All this shows that the jinn of the time of Adam and their leader Iblis were of the human race. The genii of the fables do not live in company with men nor are they in any other way connected with men. The Qur'an does not lend any support to the idea of the jinn of the fables. Those whom the Qur'an describes as jinn in connection with Adam were human beings who dwelt upon the earth but whose mental faculties were not yet fully developed. When the stage of the full development of the mental faculties of man was reached, God sent His revelation to the most perfect man of that generation, namely, Adam. This revelation was confined to a few social rules relating to the formation of a society and the provision of food and other means of maintenance for it. Those whose social sense was not yet fully developed refused to submit themselves to Adam. God punished them and gave Adam victory over them. For the future, God decreed that Prophets would continue to appear and those who believed in them would identify themselves with Adam and his people, and those who rejected them would identify themselves with the jinn who had opposed Adam. Each Prophet is raised to help forward the intellectual and spiritual evolution of man. Those, who are opposed to the next stage of evolution and are not willing to submit to the limitations and regulations which God seeks to impose through His Prophet to help forward the process of evolution, reject the Prophet.

In short, the Qur'an teaches that man's physical creation and development are the result of a process of evolution and in the same way his intellectual development is also the result of a process of evolution. Adam was not the first human being but was the first human being whose intellect was capable of accepting and bearing the responsibility of revelation. The Qur'an nowhere states that God desired to create man and therefore created Adam. The Qur'an expressly states that God decided to appoint a 'Vicegerent upon earth' and appointed Adam. This shows that at the time of the appointment of Adam as God's Vicegerent on earth there were human beings dwelling upon the earth but none of them had become the recipient of Divine revelation since their mental faculties were not yet fully developed. When the development of human intellect arrived at the stage where man became capable of forming a society and living in accordance with an organized system, God sent His revelation to Adam, who possessed the most highly developed intellect in his own age, and he thus became the first Prophet. He was not the first man but the first Prophet, and the revelation received by him comprised a few clear and simple social laws and a simple explanation of some of the Divine attributes.

At another place, the Qur'an says: "And We did create you and then We gave you shape; then said We to the angels, 'Submit to Adam'" (7 : 12). The verse means that God created man and then gave shape to his faculties and then commanded the angels.
to bow down to Adam. This verse also clearly shows that man had been in existence prior to the time of Adam. The development of man's intellectual faculties indicates that before the appearance of Adam man had already passed through several stages of evolution. The verse indicates that after man was created his faculties developed from stage to stage and assumed different shapes and he began to be distinguished from the other animal creation around him and when his intellect was developed to a certain degree, Adam was created and God sent His revelation to him.

Object of Man's Creation

The Qur'an teaches that man has been created to serve as a manifestation of God's attributes and to illustrate them in his life. It says, 'And I have not created the jinn and the men but that they may worship Me and receive the impress of My attributes' (51:57). (As has already been explained the word Jinn does not mean some species of invisible creation but is intended to signify certain classes of people). At another place the Qur'an says, 'He it is Who made you viceroyers in the earth. So he who disbelieves, will himself suffer the consequences of his disbelief' (35:40). This means that if a man voluntarily abdicates the position that God has assigned to him, he does not thereby in any manner injure God but merely disinherits himself from a position of honour and will suffer the consequences himself. These verses show that man has been created to illustrate in his own life the attributes of God and that he is the Viceroy of God upon earth. He is, thus, the central point of the material universe. Since Prophets are raised for the reform of mankind and to remind them of the object of their life and to guide them rightly towards its achievement, they become in their spheres the centres for mankind. In other words, man is the sun round which the material universe revolves and each of the Prophets is a sun round which the men, for whose guidance that Prophet is sent, revolve.

Law Of Nature And Law Of Shari'ah

The Qur'an shows that God has put into motion two kinds of laws for the purpose of reminding man of his duties and of helping him along the path of progress. One of these is the law of nature which is related to man's material progress. Since this law is not directly related to man's spiritual advancement, a contravention of this law results in material prejudice to man but does not involve God's displeasure or anger. The whole of the material universe is invested with the appropriate impetus of the law and is impelled by it. No direct revelation is made by God concerning the details of this law.

The second is the Law of Shari'ah which regulates man's spiritual progress. A contravention of this Law involves Divine displeasure, for it is only by conformity to this law that man can succeed in fulfilling the purpose for which he has been created and contravention of this law arrests his progress towards that objective. But every contravention of the Law of Shari'ah has not the effect of depriving a man altogether of his chances of achieving his objective. The Qur'an teaches that the Law of Shari'ah collectively helps a man to attain spiritual purity and exaltation. Just as every contravention of the law of nature does not involve a man in complete ruin and destruction, nor does every carelessness in the matter of diet induce disease, in the same way not every contravention of the Law of Shari'ah draws upon man the wrath of God or deprives him of all chance of reaching his goal. The very object of the Law of Shari'ah is to help man to attain spiritual perfection. Where an extensive system is designed to bring about a certain result, its failure in one respect may be corrected or compensated for by its success in another and the desired result may yet be achieved. The human body, for instance, is a complex organization and human life depends for its healthy continuance upon various factors like food, water, air, etc. On occasion one or more of these factors become tainted but this does not necessarily result in the organism becoming diseased. The defect produced by deficiency in one factor may be overpowered by the healthy working of the other factors. The same is the case with the Law of Shari'ah. It comprises rules and principles which are collectively designed for the spiritual advancement of man. Short of the repudiation of the Kingdom of God or of the authority of His Prophets any defect that may be produced in human conduct by an error or weakness is remediable. If the defect is serious, it can be remedied only through true repentance and sincere prayer.

The Qur'an indicates that in addition to these two laws there are two other laws which are constantly in operation, namely, the social law and the moral law. But these are in reality the extensions of the boundaries of the law of nature and the Law of Shari'ah. The moral law is the extension of the Law of Shari'ah and the social law is the extension of the law of nature and they act and react upon each other to a large degree. Many rules of social law are based upon morality and many rules of moral law are based upon sociology. Since man has been intended to live as a member of society, he is in need of both these sets of laws. The social law being a continuation, as it were, of the law of nature, man has been permitted a very large choice in the framing of it. The moral law being related to the Law of Shari'ah, its fundamentals are governed by that law, though some of its details are left to man's choice. The whole system of the universe runs on the basis of these laws. The law of nature and the Law of Shari'ah are both appointed by God and man has no share in framing them. The social law and the moral law, however, are a combination of Divine commandments and human regulations, and in this manner by associating human co-operation with the Divine design the very best guidance is furnished for the running of the universe. So long as these two streams continue to run in parallel channels, the world goes on progressing in peace and man is able to set up a beneficent system upon the earth which is in accord with the Kingdom of God, but when these two streams begin to run in opposite directions, or in other words, when human reason is diverted from the course which runs parallel to Divine guidance and is thus deprived of Divine blessing, the world becomes a prey to conflict and discord. It is then ruled neither by God nor by man, but becomes subject to the sway of satanic forces; for man can claim to be human only so long as he follows Divine guidance. When he ceases to do that, he descends to the level of the brutes.

To enable man to attain nearness to God it was necessary to leave him free to choose his course of action. The Qur'an teaches that man is free in one sphere and is subject to compulsion in another. He is free in the matter of the Law of Shari'ah and has no choice where the operation of the law of nature is concerned. He is subject to compulsion in this last sphere for the reason that his spiritual progress is not directly related to the operation of the law of nature. He has been given free choice of action in the sphere of the Law of Shari'ah inasmuch as he can become the object of Divine favour and bounty only by acting upon the Law of Shari'ah and no reward can be earned unless there is free choice in the matter of action. What a man is under compulsion to do can earn him no reward. The Qur'an recognizes that man's spiritual welfare and progress is affected by his material surroundings and to the extent to which it is so affected limitations are placed upon his actions, but it also teaches that man's actions are appraised by God with reference to his background and environment. For instance, if a millionaire spends a small fraction of his wealth in the service of humanity and a poor man possessing only the equivalent of that small fraction spends the whole of it in the service of his fellow-beings, they will not, in the eyes of God, be entitled to an equal reward. The millionaire...
devoted a thousandth or a hundred-thousandth part of his wealth to the winning of the pleasure of God and the poor man gave his all for that purpose. The reward earned by each will be in proportion to the sacrifice made by each. God looks not to the volume of a man’s actions but appraises them against their true background. He does not overlook the handicaps to which a man is subject and which limit the scope of his actions nor the conveniences which a man enjoys and which facilitate his actions.

Evolution Of Spiritual Universe Completed In The Holy Prophet

We learn from the Qur’an that as the material universe has developed gradually, a similar gradual process of development was prescribed for the spiritual universe. That is why the perfect code of laws was not revealed at the inception of the universe. Revelation was sent down to man in consonance with the stage of development reached by him. Eventually man arrived at a stage when he could bear the responsibility of the perfect Law of Shari‘ah. God in His wisdom then caused to appear the most perfect human being in the person of the Holy Prophet of Islam and revealed to him the perfect Law of Shari‘ah and the perfect Scripture. That perfect Law is Islam and that perfect Scripture is the Qur’an. The evolution of the spiritual universe was completed in the person of the Holy Prophet.

As man is the central point of the material universe and the respective Prophets were the central points of the nations to which and the ages for which they were sent, the Holy Prophet of Islam is the central point for the whole of mankind. The plan of the universe, therefore, put forward by the Qur’an is that the first central point of the universe is man. In their different spheres mankind revolve round their respective Prophets, the Prophets revolve around the Holy Prophet of Islam and he, in turn, revolves round and leads the whole universe to God and the spiritual universe is thus carried to its perfection.

Qur’an — The Perfect Scripture

It has already been stated that God has through His Prophets provided for the progress and perfection of man by means of the Law of Shari‘ah, the moral law and the social law. Since the Qur’an is the most perfect Scripture, it deals with all these three laws. ‘It sets out the Law of Shari‘ah and the moral law in full and states the fundamental principles of the social law leaving the rest of the field of social law to be developed and filled in by man himself. In the sphere of the moral law the Qur’an lays down this fundamental principle that it is the appropriate use of the natural faculties which constitutes high moral qualities. The suppression or stultification of natural faculties or desires is as much a moral offence as is complete submission to their domination. He who seeks to kill his natural faculties or completely to suppress his natural desires sets at defiance the law of nature. He whose mind is filled with, and whose energies are devoted to, the fulfilment of his natural desires sets the Law of Shari‘ah at defiance and is heading towards spiritual destruction. Both courses are fatal to man’s development. Neither the law of nature nor the Law of Shari‘ah can be defied with impunity. That is why the Qur’an teaches that since all things are created for the service and benefit of man, their use is permissible to him save that he is restrained from putting them to uses which are definitely harmful. According to this principle, celibacy is viewed by Islam not as a virtue but as a vice. In the same way abstention from the use of clean articles of food, drink and dress is not a virtue but a vice, inasmuch as restrictions like this amount to a defiance of the law of nature and ingratitude in respect of Divine bounties. It is equally a vice, however, to spend one’s time wholly in the pursuit of these objects and to devote oneself completely to their enjoyment, for in this way a man neglects the development of his soul, which is the real object of human existence. As it is sinful for a man to go on working incessantly and to abstain from all nourishment—for thereby he will bring about his own death and will leave his work unfinished—in the same way it is sinful for a man to devote himself entirely to the fulfilment of his physical desires and to abstain from useful activities, for such a man spends his life in the pursuit of the means and neglects the end. No end can be achieved without the provision of means and appropriate means cannot be created without keeping the true end in view.

Principles To Establish Social Order

To establish order in the social sphere and to give it a beneficent direction the Qur’an has laid down the following principles:

1. Absolute ownership vests in God alone and all things belong to Him.
2. He has subjected everything to the control of man for the collective benefit of the whole of mankind.
3. Since the object of man’s existence is spiritual perfection, he must be given a certain amount of freedom of choice in his actions and must be provided with a field for his activities.
4. Since the materials upon which human progress is based are the common heritage of the whole of mankind, the produce of human labour must be so distributed as to secure their proper share both to the individual and to the community.
5. For the proper regulation of the human social system some person must be entrusted with executive authority who must be elected as a result of consultation among the members of the community over whom he is to exercise authority. His function is not to frame laws but to enforce Divine laws.
6. Keeping in view the possibility of diversity in the political systems of different communities the Qur’an teaches that:
   a. In case of a dispute arising between any two or more political States, the others should combine to bring about a settlement of the dispute.
   b. If an amicable settlement is not arrived at between the parties to the dispute, the remaining States should pronounce an equitable award with reference to the matter which is the subject-matter of the dispute.
   c. If either of the parties to the dispute refuses to accept the award or, having accepted it, fails to give effect to it, the remaining States should combine to persuade the recalcitrant State to accept the award in the interests of international peace. If persuasion fails, they should compel the Government concerned by force to submit to the award.
   d. When the obdurate State has made its submission, the other Governments should refrain from going beyond the enforcement of the award relating to the original dispute and should not seek to secure any advantage for themselves, for this would lay the foundation of fresh disputes.

All this was prescribed by the Qur’an more than 13½ centuries ago. The United Nations Organization has adopted some of these principles but is in danger of failing in its purpose for neglecting others. The League of Nations failed for the reason that it did not give effect to the Quranic principle that an intransigent Government should be compelled by force to submit to an international decision or award. The United Nations Organiz-
should not seek any advantage for themselves at the expense of the vanquished nations and should confine their efforts to the enforcement of the settlement of the dispute which gave rise to the conflict. It is feared, therefore, that the United Nations Organization will experience the same fate which overtook the League of Nations; for peace can only be established and maintained on the principles laid down in the Qur'an.

Life After Death

The Qur'an teaches that on a man's death his soul enters a new universe and assumes a new body. That body is different from the physical body that is adjusted to the requirements of this life. It is a new kind of spiritual body possessing peculiar faculties for the perception of God's beautiful attributes. Perfect souls will be admitted immediately to the state described as Paradise. Those imperfectly developed in this life will encounter the state described as Hell which is a state of healing for spiritual ills. As the process of healing is completed in respect of each soul, it will attain Paradise; till eventually all souls will have attained Paradise and the state of Hell will be altogether terminated. All, having proceeded from God, will eventually revert to Him. Their joys, their pleasures and their comforts will all be spiritual. The remembrance and the love of God will be their nourishment and the vision of God will be their highest reward. The Qur'an expounds the principle that, as the perfect code of spiritual laws is the final and infallible authority, and that the revelation which was vouchsafed to him would be subordinate to the Qur'an and would contain no new Law. One of his revelations was, 'Every blessing is from Muḥammad and blessed is he who has taught', i.e., the Holy Prophet, 'and blessed is he who has learnt,' i.e., Āhmād, who was the recipient of this revelation (Haqīqat al-Wahy). Again, he received a revelation: 'A Warner has appeared in the world but the world did not accept him. God will, however, accept him and will establish his truth through mighty convulsions' (Barāhīn Aḥmādiyyah). In the terminology of the Qur'an a person means a Prophet and in one version of this revelation received by the founder of the Aḥmādiyyah Movement the word used in place of 'Warner' was 'Prophet' (Ek Ghalati Ka Izālah). His function was to bring man face to face with his Maker in this age of darkness and sow the seed of spiritual advancement in this material world through fresh Signs and revelations. When he first announced his claim he was alone and had no companion. He appeared in a small village of about 1,400 or 1,500 inhabitants which possessed no modern facility in the shape of a post-office, telegraph office or railway station. He announced then that God would establish his truth through mighty Signs and that his name would be carried to the farthest ends of the earth. In addition to the announcement that the Movement founded by him would be firmly established and would flourish and expand and that his followers would attain nearness to God, he further announced that within nine years of the date of the announcement a son would be born to him through whom many of his prophecies would be fulfilled and whose name would be carried to the ends of the earth. He would rapidly advance from success to success and would be blessed with the Holy Spirit. When Āhmād published his claims and the revelations received by him, he encountered a severe storm of opposition from all quarters and all communities. Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs and Christians all combined in opposition to him and resolved to compass his ruin. This opposition was in itself an indication that the Founder of the Aḥmādiyyah Movement was divinely inspired, for such universal opposition is normally encountered only by true Prophets. In spite of the fact that he was alone and was opposed by all communities, God began to strengthen his voice and by ones and twos people began to believe in him. Gradually his followers spread in the Punjab and then through other provinces of India and even outside.

THE MESSIAH'S PROMISED SON

When the Founder of the Aḥmādiyyah Movement died in 1908, his opponents declared that the Movement would die a natural death. The Aḥmādiyyah Community, however, combined, in accordance with Islamic principles, to elect the late Maulawī Nūr-ud-Dīn as the First Khalīfah. During the first Khalīfah some members of the Community who had been affected by Western ideas began to be critical of the institution of Khalīfah. Their views found some support among a certain section of the Community with the result that when Maulawī Nūr-ud-Dīn died in 1914, a vigorous and concerted effort was made by this section...
to abolish the institution of Khilafat altogether. I, who am the son of Ahmad, the Promised Messiah and Founder of the Ahmadiyyah Movement, was then only twenty-five years of age and was utterly devoid of all material resources. The executive organization of the Community was dominated by the section who had revolted against the institution of Khilafat. A very large majority of those members of the Community who were then present at Qadian and who were described as a mob by those who were in revolt against the Khilafat were determined that they would maintain the institution in accordance with the principles laid down in the Qur'an, and they insisted that I should take upon myself the burdens and responsibilities of the office of Khilafat: In these circumstances I agreed to accept the allegiance of the Community as the Second Khalifa and in that capacity began to serve the Community, Islam and humanity. Since the majority of those who were regarded as holding leading positions in the Community were opposed to the institution of Khilafat, the Community was faced with a crisis. Outsiders began to speculate that the dissolution of the Community and its disintegration was a matter of only a few days. At that time God revealed to me that He would succour me and give me victory and create dissension among the ranks of my powerful opponents and would break them. A great miracle then came to pass. The majority of those who were regarded as the educated and experienced section of the Community deserted it in the hour of its trial. Those who were regarded as men of substance and influence withdrew from it. Those who were looked upon as the intellect of the Community were cut off from it. Those who had revolted from the Khilafat began to proclaim that since the direction of the affairs of the Community had been committed into the hands of an inexperienced youth, the Movement would soon disintegrate. But the decrees of Him, Who had revealed the Qur'an and Who is the Author of the spiritual universe in accordance with the laws of which the world is progressing and Who had revealed to Ahmad, the Promised Messiah and Mahdi, that within nine years from 1884 he would be blessed with a son who would, under the grace and mercy of God, be known unto the ends of the earth and who would through the propagation of Islam become the instrument of the release of those held in bondage and of bringing into life those that were spiritually dead, were fulfilled and His Word was exalted. Every day that dawned brought with it fresh factors that contributed to my success and every day that departed left behind elements that hastened the failure of my opponents. God thus made me the instrument of the spread of the Ahmadiyyah Movement in all parts of the earth. At each step He has blessed me with His guidance and on numerous occasions He has honoured me with His revelation. Then the day arrived when He revealed to me that I was the Promised Son, the tidings of whose advent had been proclaimed by the Promised Messiah in 1884, five years before my birth. From that day the volume of God's support and succour began to swell even faster, and today Ahmadiyyah Missionaries are fighting the battles of Islam in every continent. The Qur'an, which had become like a closed book in the hands of the Muslims, has again been made an open book for us by God through the blessings of the Holy Prophet and the instrumentality of the Promised Messiah. Fresh sources of knowledge are revealed to us through it. Whenever any teaching or doctrine contained in the Qur'an is made the target of criticism on the basis of some new scientific development, God reveals to me the true answer contained in the Qur'an. We have been chosen as the instrument for elevating the banner of the dominion of the Qur'an. Deriving faith and certainty from the words and the revelations of God we are demonstrating the superiority of the Qur'an to the world. Compared with the resources of the world our resources are pitiful. Yet we are assured that in spite of the severest opposition, the dominion of the Qur'an will be firmly established. The sun may move out of its course, the stars may leave their appointed places, the earth may stop in its revolution, but nothing and nobody can now obstruct the victory of Islam and the Holy Prophet. The
dominion of the Qur'an will be established once more. Men will turn away from the worship of other men and of gods which they have fashioned with their own hands and will bow down in worship to the One God. In spite of the fact that the trend of human society appears to be in directions opposed to the teachings of the Qur'an, the Kingdom of Islam will be established again and so firmly that men will find it impossible to shake its foundations. God has sown a beneficent seed in the wilderness of the world that has been laid waste by Satan, and I proclaim that this seed will germinate and shoot up in the form of a tree which will spread and bring forth abundant fruit. The souls that aspire to soar high and are animated by longing to be united with God shall one day be roused and weaned from dreams of material prosperity and will be inspired with a passion to alight on the branches of this tree. All disorder shall then disappear and all travail shall be brought to an end. God's Kingdom shall be re-established on earth and God's love shall again become man's most valuable treasure. This revolution shall usher in an era of peace and order. All efforts to bring peace to the world and to remove disorder which are at variance with these principles shall be brought to nought.

TRANSLATIONS INTO OTHER LANGUAGES

The Qur'an directed the Holy Prophet to carry on his greatest struggle with the help of the Qur'an, which was the most effective weapon for this purpose (25:53). It is in pursuance of this direction of the Qur'an that this English Translation and Commentary are being published. Besides the English Translation, Translations in Russian, French, Italian, Portuguese and Spanish have been completed and will be published as soon as possible. Thereafter it is hoped that this series will be continued till it comprises Translations into all the principal languages. Translations into German, Dutch and Swahili have been published.

Missions of the Ahmadiyyah Movement have been established in various parts of the world for the purpose of the propagation of Islam and the exposition of the teachings of the Qur'an. There is a central Mission in England and Missions are also working in France, Spain, Italy and Switzerland. On the American Continent, there are Missions in U.S.A. and the Argentine, and plans are being pushed forward for opening Missions in Canada and Brazil. Missions have been established in all the countries of British West Africa and ten Missionaries are working in different parts of British East Africa. Missionary activities are also being carried on in Egypt, Sudan and Abyssinia. There are Missions in Palestine, Syria and Iran in the Middle East, and in Malaya, Java, Sumatra and Borneo in South East Asia. We believe that these Translations and Missions will inaugurate a successful campaign for the triumph of Islam, for, not only are our efforts directed towards carrying into effect that which has already been decreed by God, but have been undertaken in obedience to God's command.

In addition to offering this intellectual gift we invite the attention of all lovers of Truth, to whatever religion they might profess allegiance, to the golden principle that a tree is known by its fruit. The Qur'an yields its fruits in every age and those who are devoted to it become the recipients of Divine revelations and God manifests His powers through them. Why should we not, in our search for the Truth, seek assistance not only from reason and reflection but also from our observation of the fruits that other Scriptures yield? The world would be materially assisted in its search for Truth if Christians could persuade the Pope or other high Church dignitaries to put forward the revelations received by them as against those received by me for the purpose of determining which of them
are true manifestations of God's power and knowledge. The Pope and other ecclesiastics whose predecessors, in defiance of the pacific teachings of Jesus, were ever eager to incite Christendom to undertake crusades against Muslim States; should be only too eager to embrace the opportunity of participating in this spiritual crusade. If they were inclined to accept, or could be persuaded by their followers to accept, this invitation, it might prove an effective means for healing the spiritual ills from which mankind has so long suffered. The majesty and power of God would then manifest itself in an extraordinary manner and would help to establish the faith of man and guide his spiritual development.

In conclusion I desire to acknowledge the inestimable services rendered by Maulawi Sher ‘Ali, B.A., who has, in spite of feeble and failing health, devoted so large a portion of his time to the translation of the text into English. I also wish to thank him along with Mirzâ Bashir Ahmad, M.A., Malik Gulam Farid, M.A., and the late Ch. Abu’l Hashim Khan, M.A., for the labour they have devoted to extracting from my speeches and writings the material upon which the Explanatory Notes are based and to preparing these Notes*. I have not had the opportunity of perusing these Notes but, having regard to the experience and devotion of these gentlemen, I am sure they must have interpreted correctly that which I have through Divine grace been able to acquire in this behalf directly from the Qur’an or the teachings of the Promised Messiah. I would also like to take this opportunity of thanking Qâdi Muhammed Aslam, M.A., Professor, Government College, Lahore, and Sir Muhammad Zafrullah Khan, who have rendered this Introduction from Urdu into English. I pray that God may anoint all of them and their descendants with the perfume of His blessings and should watch over them and help them both in this life and the next, Amen!

I also desire to state that since 1 was a pupil of the late Ha’drat Maulawi Nur’ud-Din, Khalifat-ul-Masih I, a good deal of what I acquired from him is reflected in the Explanatory Notes. Thus these Notes are, in fact, based upon the interpretation of the Qur’an by the Promised Messiah, the First Khalifa and myself. Since God had anointed the Promised Messiah with His Spirit and had honoured him by bestowing upon him such knowledge as was requisite for the development of this and succeeding generations, I trust that this Commentary will serve to heal many of their ills. By means of it the blind shall see, the deaf shall hear, the dumb shall speak, the lame and the halt shall walk, and God’s angels shall so bless it that it shall succeed in fulfilling the object for which it is being published. Do Thou, O Lord, ordain that it be so!

*The reference is to the preparation of Explanatory Notes of only the first seventeen Chapters of the Holy Qur’an for “The Larger Edition of the Commentary”—Ed.